1	
2	THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	MONDAY, OCTOBER 5, 2009
9	CASTAIC SPORTS COMPLEX
10	CASTAIC, CA 91384
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     COMMISSION MEMBERS:
 3
     LESLIE G. BELLAMY, CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2
 4
     WAYNE REW, VICE CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4
5
     PAT MODUGNO, SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5
6
7
     COUNTY PERSONNEL
8
     ROSE HAMILTON, ACTING DEPUTY DIRECTOR
     ELAINE LEMKE, COUNTY COUNSEL
     STEVE BURGER, PUBLIC WORKS
9
     JANNA MASI, FIRE DEPARTMENT
     MITCH GLASER, STAFF
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
PROCEEDINGS
1
 2.
    OCTOBER 5, 2009
                                                       6:30 p.m.
         MR. BELLAMY: I would like to welcome you to the
 3
    Regional Planning Commission meeting. This is October the
 4
 5
     5th, 2009, and we always start out with the Pledge of
    Allegiance, led by Commissioner Modugno.
 6
 7
         MR. MODUGNO: We're going to start this meeting with
     the flag salute, if you'll please stand and join with me in
 8
 9
     saluting the flag.
10
          (Pledge of Allegiance.)
11
         MR. BELLAMY: May I get approval of the agenda.
12
         MR. MODUGNO: Move for approval.
13
         MR. REW: Second.
14
         MR. BELLAMY: So ordered. County Counsel?
15
         MS. LEMKE:
                    Nothing.
16
         MR. BELLAMY: Director?
17
         MS. HAMILTON: No reports.
18
         MR. BELLAMY: Okay. We're going to move on to our
19
    public hearing, Agenda Item No. 5.
20
         MR. GLASER: Good evening, Commissioners. Mitch
21
    Glaser, with the Countywide Studies Section. This is a
22
    public hearing on the Santa Clarita Valley Area Plan Update,
23
     commonly known as One Valley One Vision.
24
         MR. REW:
                    They can't hear. They can't hear him.
25
         MR. BELLAMY: He can't hear? It's the acoustics?
```

2.

MR. GLASER: All right. I guess we're going to try again. Everybody can hear me a little bit better standing up. Okay. Great.

Good evening, Commissioners. Mitch Glaser, with the Countywide Studies Section. This is a public hearing on the update of the Santa Clarita Valley Area Plan, better known as One Valley One Vision.

First of all, on behalf of everyone here tonight, I'd like to thank you for coming out to Castaic on a Monday evening. As you know, it's not the regular place or time. Before we begin, I just want to talk about the purpose of tonight's hearing.

This is a hearing on the County's portion of the One Valley One Vision effort, just for lands within the County's jurisdiction, not those within the City of Santa Clarita's jurisdiction. Just so everyone's clear, this hearing is not regarding annexation or any related matters.

We're not asking that the commission take an action tonight. This is the first of what will be several hearings on this plan. What we are looking for tonight is to get some direction from the Commission as to the drafts that have been presented, any changes or modifications requested of Staff, and Staff will return at a later date with a revised Draft Plan and Draft EIR.

In terms of the presentation tonight, obviously

2.

before you is a very complicated and lengthy Draft Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Report. In wrestling with how to summarize this plan, we decided to ask the five W's and one H. So the organization of tonight's presentation is Where, Why, Who, When, What, and How.

The first question is: Where? Where is the Santa Clarita Valley Area, which is our current definition of the planning area, and you can see on the map before you it includes several unincorporated communities, including Agua Dulce, Castaic, West Ranch, Val Verde, Hasley Canyon, San Francisquito Canyon, Bouquet Canyon, Forest Park, and Fair Oaks Ranch among others.

The Santa Clarita Valley has a very rich history, with everything from gold strikes to golden spikes. Mining, oil, and transportation all have a key role. The Santa Clarita Valley has been home to several communities, beginning with Newhall -- which you see on the screen before you -- which began in the late 1800s. Over the years other communities have developed, such as Canyon Country and most notably the master-planned community of Valencia, an early concept of which you can see on the lower right. Of course, as we know, Valencia didn't end up looking like that.

Also in the history of the Santa Clarita, with the fantastic natural resources are also natural hazards. So that plays a part in the narrative as well. As we're

2.

usually reminded at this time of year, the Santa Clarita

Valley is prone to fire. Most of us will remember the

Northridge earthquake and the Sylmar earthquake before that

that impacted the valley. So in some regards, the valley is

also a very vulnerable place.

What is the Santa Clarita Valley today? The Santa Clarita Valley today is a valley of villages. Not only the unincorporated communities that I previously mentioned, but also the communities and the city of Santa Clarita, such as Newhall, Valencia, Saugus, and parts of Canyon Country.

As you can see, it's a mix of development and natural environmental areas. It includes rural communities, which are exemplified on your left by Agua Dulce, suburban communities, such as Canyon Country in the lower photo, and even somewhat urban environments, such as the hustle and bustle of the Valencia Town Center Drive on your right.

The Santa Clarita Valley is recognized for having a great quality of life. It has been one of the fastest growing portions of the county for many years and is expected to continue to be so.

But what is the future of the Santa Clarita

Valley? Can the valley maintain its quality of life in the

face of natural hazards, in the face of the pressures of

growth and the limits to growth? And that's really what

this plan is about. That's what this discussion is going to

be about tonight. What is the future of the Santa Clarita
Valley?

Which leads us to the next question, which is:
Why? Why are we updating this plan? To give a little bit
of perspective, it's important to know the recent history of
the valley.

The current Santa Clarita Valley Area Plan was adopted in 1984 when the entire planning area was unincorporated. In 1987 the City of Santa Clarita incorporated. In 1990 the County updated its Area Plan, which was the last comprehensive update. In 1991 the City adopted its General Plan. So as you can see, both jurisdictions' planning documents are out of date, and it's important to update these plans on a regular basis.

But why do a joint effort between the County and the City of Santa Clarita? Going back to the boundary map, it tells the story. You can see that the city of Santa Clarita is very unique in the county as that it's surrounded by unincorporated areas. Driving through the valley, it's not always readily apparent to the naked eye where the county begins and the city ends. The boundaries of the city have grown over the years.

But most importantly, the residents of the unincorporated area and the city have common needs. They have common desires, they share the same services, and it's

1 | important to have a joint plan for their future.

2.

There's other reasons why the Area Plan needs to be updated. There have been many changes since 1990.

There's been tremendous growth. There's been demographic changes. There's been changes in the economic situation.

All of which needs to be analyzed in a new plan update.

Of course, there's also growth projections. As you know, the Southern California Association of Government maintains planning projections for the North County. They are projecting explosive growth for the North County, not only the Santa Clarita Valley, but the Antelope Valley as well. Both the City and the County are legally required to accommodate this growth and to ensure that there's adequate housing at all income levels.

Another growing concern is climate change. The State of California has required local jurisdictions to respond to climate change. Many of you have heard of AB 32, which is a bill to address the effects of climate change that affects activities throughout California.

This has been followed by a specific implementation action known as SB 375, which requires local jurisdictions to adjust their land use planning strategies to deal with climate change by reducing vehicle miles traveled and to promote more compact forms of development and to reduce the amount of sprawl. By the way, that's the

1 state capital on that slide.

2.

The next question is: Who? Who is affected by this plan update? Of course, most folks know that this is a plan that will be adopted by both governments. So obviously both governments, the City and the County, are affected. Service providers are affected. The Castaic Lake Water Agency and the local water retailers. The school districts. The fire department. The sheriff's department, et cetera.

There's also the town councils, which serve a very valuable advisory role in the unincorporated area and who have a stake in the future. There's also other advocacy groups ranging from the Santa Clarita Valley Trails Advisory Committee to the Santa Clarita Valley Organization for Planning in the Environment.

But who is most affected? The people that live, work, and play in the Santa Clarita Valley. There's residents. The residents that are here. The new residents that are being born every day. The residents that are yet to be born and that wish to grow up in the Santa Clarita Valley and make it their home. The people that own property here, whether or not they live in the valley. The people that own businesses here, whether or not they live in the valley. And, of course, those that work here. In short, everyone is affected by this plan update.

The next question is: When? What is the time

1 frame that this new plan looks at? And this looks at the facts and figures of the matter, the statistics. The Santa 2. Clarita Valley today, according to our estimates, 3 approximately 80,000 housing units, housing 252,000 people. 4 5 There's 119,588 jobs. As most folks are familiar, there's not an adequate jobs/housing balance in the Santa Clarita 6 7 Valley at the current time. As I mentioned, plans need to be updated 8 9 periodically. Typically, these plans are updated every 10 10 to 20 years, and we anticipate that will be the case in this 11 plan. However, this plan is unique because we're looking at 12 the build-out of the valley. The build-out of the valley is 13 the point at which the ultimate capacity will be reached. 14 Based on current growth rates, that could take several decades, if ever. 15 16 The figures before you assume a build-out of the 17 entire valley, even the rural portions with very low 18 densities. The City and County have developed projections 19 that, at the build-out of the valley, there will be an 20 increase of 70,000 to 75,000 housing units, an increase in 21 population of 208,000 to 233,000 persons, and most 22 importantly, an increase in jobs of 98,300 to approximately 129,000. 23 24 This is the Santa Clarita Valley at build-out. So 25 as you can see, there's substantial growth that's projected.

Again, it's important to mention that this is a scenario in which the entire valley would be built-out, all of the vacant lands, even those in the rural designations.

2.

It's also important when you look at the increase in housing units. About half of that increase is going to be due to housing units that have already been approved, mostly by the County, but also by the City. Significantly, the Newhall Ranch Specific Plan accounts for a quarter of that growth. So much of the growth that's being projected, again, are housing units that have been approved but have not yet been built.

The next question, and probably the most important question, is: What? What is this Area Plan Update? The Draft Santa Clarita Valley Area Plan before you has five elements: Land Use, Circulation, Conservation and Open Space, Safety, and Noise. I'm going to go through each of these briefly. You will see the topics covered by each on the left side of the screen.

The first and, in some respects, most important is the land use element. The land use element describes the Valley of Villages theme that I had previously described. The valley is currently a mosaic of unique villages with rural, suburban, and urban lifestyles, and we anticipate that that will continue to be the case.

There's also Specific Plans, such as Newhall Ranch

2.

that I had mentioned, demographic characteristics, and economic issues. Other aspects deal with the design of the environment, the effects on health and environmental quality, resources, and coordination with other agencies.

This is probably the most important component of the land use element. This is the proposed Land Use Plan, which is available on our website and also on the map at the back of the room. This is the Land Use Plan by which the projections were developed.

How was this Land Use Plan developed? There were numerous suitability criteria, which include those on the screen. Essentially, City and County staff worked together, not only looking at maps and figures but also doing extensive field work, even taking to the skies in a helicopter to let the land tell us what kind of uses and what intensity of use would be appropriate.

Obviously, slopes are an important suitability criteria, but there are many others, such as significant ecological areas, fire zones, seismic zones, proximity to major roadways on the Highway Plan, and, of course, proximity to existing urban infrastructure and services, such as water lines and fire stations.

The Land Use Policy Map, the concept is essentially, as I described, similar to what's being predicted with SB 375, is to generally concentrate the most

intense growth to areas that are most suitable, near transit
in the existing city centers, by the Metrolink lines, such

3 as Old Town Newhall and the Valencia Town Center.

The new Land Use Legend is on your right. As mentioned in the Staff report, the Land Use Plan is consistent with both the currently adopted General Plan and the proposed General Plan.

Lastly -- and this is an important point -- it includes new rural hillside density calculations. These new calculations necessitate the General Plan and Zoning Ordinance Amendment that are also before you.

To describe this concept, what you have before you is the -- is one way that we calculate hillside density in rural areas. This is the Hillside Management category.

There are slightly different calculations for the Nonurban 1 and Nonurban 2 categories. Again, this is in the current plan.

There seems to be a common misperception that, under the current plan, all rural areas are allowed one unit per two acres. As you can see, that is not the case. It is based on a complex density formula based on the slope of the land. The truth of the matter is that if a person were to come to our public counter and ask how many units could be built on their property or on the property next door, we wouldn't be able to answer the question. We would have to

ask the property owner to hire an engineer to do these sorts of calculations.

Under the new plan, the land use designation will determine the maximum density. So instead of what you see before you, it depends on the designation. And here, on the key, you can see there's various rural land designations.

RL5, the maximum density is one unit per five acres, regardless of slope. RL10, one unit per ten acres, regardless of slope. And so on.

We believe that this new scheme will provide clear expectations and a better understanding of the development potential of properties in rural areas.

The next element is circulation. Circulation deals with many issues that are important to the valley and are tied to the Land Use Map. Circulation element also includes trails, bikeways, and pedestrian circulation, all of which are important to reducing vehicle miles travel and providing an alternative to the automobile.

But, of course, probably the most important component of the circulation element is the Highway Plan. This is the proposed Highway Plan for the Santa Clarita Valley, showing current and proposed routes, both in the City and the County, the proposed ultimate widths.

Before closing on the circulation element, I should also mention that the Highway Plan includes new

2.

highway cross sections that are consistent with the City cross sections. So when you're driving in the City and the County, the roadway doesn't change widths, the bike lane doesn't add and disappear, and, again, we feel that's an important step towards consistency.

The next element is conservation and open space, which is extremely important given all of the natural resources and natural hazards in the valley. Some of the topics are seen here. Those of you who may be familiar, that's Castaic Lake, which is just up the road from us.

Other topics include scenic resources, air resources, and climate change. Here's where the bulk of the policies to be responsive to the State mandates of AB 32 are held.

Two major maps regarded with the conservation/open space element. The first is the expansion of significant ecological areas. We're proposing to triple the size of those in the Santa Clarita Valley. The next is the proposed Ridgeline Map. This is the Draft Ridgeline Map. As you may be able to see, it doesn't include ridgelines in Agua Dulce. We are working to modify this map to have ridgelines for the entire Santa Clarita Valley. We anticipate that we'll return with that at the next hearing.

The safety element is also key because of the natural hazards. Seismic, flood, fire, hazardous materials.

1 Emergency preparedness, law enforcement, terrorism, accident
2 prevention.

And finally, the noise element provides a means of looking at noise in the planning process, noise that's generated by different land uses, by highways and roadways, by helicopters, by airplanes, et cetera. So this element provides a means to address that.

The last question is: How? And there's really two sub-questions. First of all, how did we develop this plan, and how do we intend to implement it if it's adopted?

The process was a lengthy process, jointly conducted by the City and County. There was initial outreach, which included many activities, such as workshops, community surveys, school activities. There was a valley-wide congress where residents from throughout the valley were invited to ratify a series of guiding principles as well as a vision statement.

This vision and guiding principles, which came from the active involvement of a wide range of stakeholders, are the foundation of this plan. We also conducted a technical background report.

As the plan was developed, we came out with the Poster Plan that you may remember. We had a series of workshops, in which the Poster Plan, which was sort of a general conceptual land use scenario, was presented.

2.

Approximately a year ago, both the City and County released preliminary drafts of their documents. We had, again, a series of open houses throughout the valley to present that.

We were fortunate enough to have extensive media coverage in The Signal newspaper last fall that was rerun in the spring. We also launched OVOV-NET, which is an excellent tool that's available on our website, that for the last year has enabled property owners and other community stakeholders to enter in any address or parcel number in the Santa Clarita Valley and see exactly what is being proposed with this plan.

Now, on to implementation. There's a Zoning Map, which is again on the screen and on the back wall. Staff conducted an extensive zoning consistency analysis. To our knowledge, this was the first time that was conducted. The new Zoning Map is also before you.

In addition to the zoning consistency, the plan will be implemented on an ongoing basis. Obviously, your commission and the Board of Supervisors will review future development projects in the guise of this plan, using the goals and policies as a framework to make decisions. The plan will guide capital improvements, such as roadways and other investments in infrastructure.

There's also an ongoing commitment to continue to collaborate with the City of Santa Clarita on future

planning and implementation of this plan, which may include changes to ordinances in both jurisdictions.

2.

In conclusion, I want to acknowledge the folks that have contributed. In addition to the Department of Regional Planning, our GIS staff as well as the countywide study staff, we've had great involvement from the Department of Public Works. Steve Burger is here tonight from that department. We've had participation from all of our County departments, which has been much appreciated.

Of course, the City staff has been invaluable in this. Paul Brotzman, Lisa Webber, and Jason Smisko are some of the main folks who have helped us there. We've also been very blessed with probably one of the best consultant teams assembled. There's Molly Bowe, who's here tonight, that wrote the plan, the policy document. There's Susan Tebow. She's here tonight representing Impact Sciences that prepared the Environmental Impact Report. Austin Faust conducted our traffic study. Mestre Greve Associates conducted our noise study. And DM Geographics helped with some of the GIS work.

There are several revisions that are presented to you this evening in the correspondence as well as the testimony. Again, Staff is not asking for the Commission to make a decision. Staff is asking for the Commission to provide direction to Staff in how to approach these

1 revisions before coming back to you. 2. However, I should mention that there are a few technical revisions in your package. There is 3 4 correspondence from Beneviste September 9th, Cornev 5 September 14th, Seitz September 17th, and two from Hupsch on September 30th. As indicated in that correspondence, these 6 7 are minor technical revisions that Staff is willing to make. As I mentioned, the rest of those are before you. 8 This concludes my presentation for tonight. 9 10 Before proceeding with the hearing, it is my understanding that the City of Santa Clarita would like to add a few 11 12 words. Paul Brotzman, who is the Director of Community 13 Development, I believe he is here this evening. I believe 14 he's going to say a few very brief words here at the speaker's table before we move forward. 15 16 Thank you very much. 17 MR. BELLAMY: Any persons that plan on speaking tonight 18 on this agenda item, would you please stand and raise your 19 right hand and be sworn in. 20 (Whereupon witnesses were sworn.) 21 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. Give your name. 22 MR. BROTZMAN: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is 23 Paul Brotzman. I am the Director of Community Development 24 for the City of Santa Clarita. 25 I will start over. My name is Paul Brotzman.

2.

am the Director of Community Development for the City of Santa Clarita, and I would like to start out by acknowledging Mitch, the excellent presentation that's just given, the County Planning Staff and Staff from the other departments in the County that we've worked with on this project. It really has been a partnership, and, I think, through this process, we've come to know each other a lot better and have developed a really outstanding collaborative working relationship between the City and the County. So we're really pleased to have had this opportunity to work together with the County Planning Staff on this project.

I also believe that the effort that was undertaken here, having the City and the County work together, was really a farsighted planning effort. It really has done a lot to bring the planning efforts of the City and the County into sync. And I think overall, the Santa Clarita Valley, into the future, will benefit significantly from this joint effort. So I'd like to acknowledge those who went before who made the decision to have this be undertaken as a joint planning effort. I think it made a lot of sense, and I think as people get to see this plan and understand it, they will understand the value that it brings.

There are, from the City's perspective, a number of major themes that I'd like to mention, and Mitch has discussed some of them in his presentation. A part of what

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we've tried to do here in pulling this plan together is to create what we've called the Valley of Villages. It's really to acknowledge an effort to try and create much more walkable communities, communities and neighbors that have a strong sense of place, a strong sense of ownership for the residents who live within them. And so that concept of creating this Valley of Villages and the walkability is an important element of this planning process.

A second major theme that was addressed in this process is one of creating a greenbelt around the Santa Clarita Valley. We have the makings of that with the national forest that exists, the Angeles National Forest that exists to the south and to the east, also the major open space areas that are being donated by Newhall Ranch, and the Castaic Lake and the recreational areas to the north. And I think that by expanding upon that natural base that already exists, we have an opportunity to really preserve the Santa Clarita Valley as a separate, distinct geographic area. And I think that's important. I think the people who have moved here, the residents who live here today, value that distinctive character that we've developed So developing and preserving the greenbelt that surrounds the valley is a very important element.

Jobs/housing balance, which Mitch mentioned, is also very critical. For anybody who lives here who commutes

2.

into the valley every day, they drive through this rather congested pass and travel down some very congested freeways, moving down into the San Fernando Valley and beyond. And so to the extent that we are able to enhance the job/housing balance through this process, I think it's critically important to the quality of life of the residents within the valley. But it's also critically important in addressing the environmental issues that were mentioned.

We are faced with responding to the requirements that have come out of SB 32 and AB 372 -- 375 -- or SB 375, AB 32 and SB 375 -- excuse me -- legislation that was passed at the State level that really requires us all to take into consideration environmental issues and to implement plans that will reduce the number of vehicle miles driven by people and will also reduce the amount of greenhouse gas that we're adding to the atmosphere and with the resulting impact on global warming.

We are fortunate. I think this plan does address that issue, and I think the Santa Clarita Valley, both the unincorporated and incorporated areas of the valley are somewhat a step ahead of much of the rest of the metropolitan planning area that SCAG has to address in developing the response to SB 375. So I think that this plan really does take into consideration those significant overriding environmental issues.

2.

Finally, one other important theme and element that has been incorporated in this plan, and it's one that goes back to the very early days of Newhall Ranch when Victor Gruen developed the original master plan. And that was the recognition of the Town Center area as kind of the valley business center, and this plan does continue to build upon that.

We recognize that all of these -- all of the planning effort that we've undertaken in this process will, in fact, have long term impacts for the community. We recognize that those impacts run the -- from water supply to traffic and so on, and I think that both the City and the County Planning Staff have done a very good job in terms of taking these issues into consideration and developing a plan that reflects a significant care for the quality of life that we are creating for the existing and future residents of the Santa Clarita Valley.

And with that, I'm here to respond to any questions that you may have for the City and would be happy to bring up other Staff if there are questions that I can't answer. But we're here to be a resource and to provide support to the County Planning Staff, who we think have done just an outstanding job in this process.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. Now I'd like to get testimony from the city officials that are here -- any city

officials. And then from homeowner board chairs, and then 1 2. the town council before we go forward with the rest of the audience. 3 4 MS. HAMILTON: I'm sorry. Did you say you have an 5 order by which you want these people to speak? MR. BELLAMY: City officials, town council, and 6 7 homeowner board chairs. MS. HAMILTON: Okay. Unless they've signed in as a 8 city official, I wouldn't know that. 10 MR. GLASER: Mr. Chair, I believe Mr. Brotzman is the 11 only representative from the city speaking tonight. Just 12 Mr. Brotzman from the city. 13 MR. BELLAMY: Pardon me? There's no others? 14 MR. GLASER: That's correct. No one else. 15 MR. BELLAMY: Okay. What about the town council? 16 MS. HAMILTON: Town council representatives? 17 MR. BELLAMY: Each speaker will have three minutes. 18 When the amber light comes on, you'll have 30 seconds to go. 19 And we're going to try our best to hear all the persons in 20 the room that want to speak. 21 The town council -- go ahead. 22 MR. MODUGNO: Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that --23 we're meeting in Castaic. The town council -- the Castaic 24 Town Council has done extensive review of this and 25 participated with Mr. Glaser. If it's the official position

of the town council of Castaic, I think for the town 1 2. councils that neighbor around, we should provide five minutes for the town councils and then give the general 3 public three minutes just would be my recommendation. 4 5 MR. BELLAMY: So let's hear from the town council. MR. MODUGNO: I'm sorry. And if there was also anyone 6 7 from any of the water agencies or school districts in official position, governmental agencies, I also again would 8 recommend providing five minutes for those groups. 9 10 MR. BELLAMY: Okay. We'll give the town council five 11 minutes. 12 MR. KELLY: Thank you. Ready? 13 MR. BELLAMY: Give your name. 14 MR. KELLY: My name is Robert Kelly, and I am a resident and a landowner and a Castaic Area Town Council 15 16 representing Region 3, which is the Hasley Canyon area in 17 question here this evening. 18 First of all, I want to thank the County and the 19 Commission for having this meeting tonight. I'm speaking 20 tonight as a resident, a landowner, and a Castaic Area Town 21 Council representative for Region 3. I have lived in 22 Castaic for 23 years. I own 40-plus acres in Charley Canyon 23 The and two two-and-a-half acre parcels in Hasley Canyon. 24 Hasley Canyon parcel was a five-acre parcel that I and my 25 wife split several years ago.

2.

I have reviewed the OVOV plan, and the one thing that -- excuse me -- the one thing that I and other residents completely disagree with is the proposed land use designation of the current A2 zone. My biggest question is: Why the land use changes? I've been told it's to simplify the building and development process. Simplify for whom? The County?

If an owner wants to go through the time and the money and the consuming process, that should be their option. Retirement packages and 401(k)'s have all been hit hard during these economic times. Now, the proposed land use affects our real property. We have all purchased our properties based on the current land use. Real property, even with the current economic issues, has always been a good long-term investment.

When someone purchases real property -- maybe it is their primary residence, a rental property, undeveloped land, or a combination of -- they are hoping that will be a good investment. Nobody invests with the hopes of changing the land use to a higher density from what they purchased it. To think so would be poor investing.

What the draft of the OVOV does, it changes the land use in Charley Canyon and Tapia Canyon from Hillside

Management to RL10. This would spell financial disaster for the property owners. The property will be worth pennies on

2.

the dollar. Taking into consideration the current land use, Hillside Management and Ridgelines, it may be feasible that only 13 units would be allowed on my 40 acres. Thirteen is a lot better than four.

Hypothetically, let's assume that each parcel would be worth \$100,000. That puts a \$1.3 million value on the 40 acres. There is no way that four ten-acre parcels would be worth anywhere near the \$1.3 million. Maybe I never sell my property and just leave it to my children or to leave it to theirs -- excuse me -- or they leave it to theirs. The land use, as proposed, would ruin any financial future we might have.

Is it open area OVOV is looking for? A similar thing happened in Boulder, Colorado. The surrounding land around the city was changed, making it worth pennies. Then the City went in and purchased the properties. One would think that the way the City of Santa Clarita has been buying up open space this could be a possibility. Directly to the north is the Angeles National Forest. This is all the open space Castaic would ever need.

The OVOV plan in Hasley Canyon is also poor planning. The owners in Hasley Canyon, like me, have either completed a lot split or have the option to do so. This area is proposed to be changed as well from Hillside Management to an RL5. Owners will end up with five-acre

parcels in the middle of two-acre parcels. In some cases, the area would be two acres next to five, next to two, and back to five. Where's the logic in this?

2.

What gives OV the right to change the land use for personal property? For whose benefit? Obviously, not ours. Does any of this make sense? By changing the land use, you are taking away people's lifestyles, dreams, and retirement plans.

As a council, we have sent letters in opposition to the Charley Canyon-Hasley Canyon proposed land use changes and sent a letter of support for a secondary access road to the northern end of Castaic. This is very much needed. The northern end has limited access from the south. The 5 Freeway and the Old Road are the only means of getting north of the Hasley Canyon off-ramp.

During any 5 Freeway shutdown due to snow, fire, or an accident, the northern end of Castaic is completely inaccessible. It is either a parking lot for truckers and travelers. Emergency vehicle access is also compromised. This could be a life-threatening issue.

We are in support of a secondary access from

Tesoro to Parker or Lake Hughes. We know the County does

not have the means at this time to develop this road, but

now is the time to draw it on the OVOV plan so there are no

surprises later. Also, by retaining the current land use in

1 the Charley Canyon area, future road fees can start to be 2. collected. Thank you. 3 4 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 5 MR. PREACH: My name's Jeff Preach. I live at 28456 6 Sloan Canyon Road. I'm here as a landowner, business owner, 7 and a --MR. MODUGNO: Mr. Preach, let me just -- may I just 8 interrupt here. When I spoke to the Chair and recommended 9 10 that five minutes be provided and that speakers representing 11 town councils or speakers from various districts, it was 12 hope and expectations they would have spoken on behalf of 13 those districts as a whole, not getting into their own 14 personal holdings of property. 15 Many of us here in the Santa Clarita Valley, 16 including myself, own property in the city, but the 17 allowance and the courtesy of providing for the upfront time 18 and the greater time was to address the more macro issues, 19 in terms of representation, that have been discussed and 20 that you're authorized by your body, in terms of the town 21 council, as a spokesperson -- of a single spokesperson for 22 the town council we allowed the five minutes for. 23 To the extent to which if there's five members of that body, seven members of that body, it was not the intent 24 25 that multiple members come forward and have five minutes

1 each, because that's at the expense of residents who are 2. going to be allowed three minutes. So I apologize if it wasn't clearer to begin with, but I think representatives, 3 4 again, we allowed time from the City of Santa Clarita 5 because they're a very active participant. The town council within Castaic is an active participant, and there may be 7 other town councils surrounding and having those people 8 speaking. And so --MR. PREACH: I can keep it to three minutes. 10 MR. MODUGNO: Okay. MR. PREACH: I did have to disclose -- if I don't 11 12 disclose I own property, they may shoot me, so -- but the 13 main -- the main focus is the town council. I'm not here to 14 talk for the town council tonight. I am the chairman of the 15 OVOV committee, and I'm a member of the town council. 16 from this committee, six months ago, when we first found out 17 that you had your first meeting, that we had up at the 18 school district that only about four or five people showed 19 up at, was the first we heard about it. And this is, of 20 course, the draft. 21 Now, our committee, our town council, has spent 22 probably six different meetings. We split this book up, and 23 each guy took a certain amount. We're trying to figure out what this really means. How can the town council tell you 24

guys what we want until we understand it?

25

2.

So we've had some meetings. We have three different civil engineers that voted -- that volunteered their time to help us understand what this is. You know, such as clustering. You know, you've got to read way back here about clustering. Now, what does clustering really mean? And so the town council has got to get their information before they can represent this town and talk to you logically.

And after six meetings, we came to our first little agenda, which was we sent you a letter, to Mitch Glaser, about eight days ago. And the point that I wanted to make tonight is that we're still working on it. Tomorrow we have another meeting with the engineers again, trying to get a little further on, having to do with clustering and some other issues that are still coming up.

And my point was, hey, we're working on this thing, and there's a lot to deal with, and we have a lot of responsibility to these -- the members here. And so that's what my point was, is there -- we have this letter now sent, and we have another one following shortly, and we're still working this. I understand that's -- tonight's not the final vote and this is an ongoing deal, so you'll keep the door open for communication with the town council; is that correct?

MR. MODUGNO: Yes. This -- One Valley One Vision has

CASTAIC SPORTS COMPLEX October 5, 2009 1 been presented to us as a Commission -- go back three years, 2. Mitch, at least? MR. GLASER: Yeah, well, frankly, it's been a ten-year 3 4 process, but it's been most active in the last three years. 5 MR. MODUGNO: So it's been a very long-term process. This is the first public hearing that the County Commission 6 7 has had on the topic in the community. I suspect there will be multiple other hearings, whether they'll be in Santa 8 Clarita or whether they'll be in Downtown Los Angeles, and 9 10 we continue to be provided with all of the letters as 11 they're coming in, whether they're addressed to any one of 12 us, whether addressed to Mr. Glaser, whether addressed to 13 whomever. And so, as long as they're hitting the body, it 14 becomes all a part of the permanent record.

So we're not going to make the decision this evening. I doubt that we'll make the decision at a next meeting. It's a long process of making sure that everyone who has input has the proper opportunity to vet their input, be it in either comments as this evening and all of that -- all that oral testimony along with all the written testimony.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So if you're not ready to make a decision as a town council, which I suspect -- I'm not sure how you could when we don't even have sufficient information as the County Commission at this point to make a balanced and reasonable

decision and to pass this forward to the Board of Supervisors.

Most of the letters that we have received have been very specific to individuals' concerns about their land, and I think rightfully so if there's a change in zoning on that land, if they expected that they would have a certain building rights within. We need to set up procedures that are not on the more macro basis that we're looking at but that those people are not just falling through the cracks but have an opportunity to, again, express their case, have a reasonable process through the County Staff as when they -- a point in time they want to develop that land.

So, again, as we -- after the City of Santa

Clarita incorporated in 1987 and took the County's plans and
the City went forth and put a General Plan together, some
sort of mapping, zoning ordinances, as individual landowners
came in, there was no either taking of anybody's property,
hopefully, or any brush of upgrading that property. So it's
a long process.

We're here to take input. I think we're trying to focus on the broader issues with One Valley One Vision. Is it a good planning document, jointly, for the whole community? How do we then deal and address individual town councils, be it West Valley or be it Castaic or as we go

2.

over towards the eastern side of the valley, so that all the players have got a piece of that to be able to put that discussion so that once we come out with a decision -- and I think the timing processing, we may be a little bit ahead of the City and, in some respects, maybe the City's a little bit ahead of us.

But there will be a final action taken by the Board of Supervisors based upon all of this input. There will be a final action taken by the City of Santa Clarita's City Council. And then once both of those bodies have acted, we've got now a document from which both planning staffs can continue to function.

But getting at individual, specific properties in terms of, gee, mine's painted this way on that map, I don't know that this is the proper forum to be discussing that, but I think it's the proper forum to at least put yourselves on record, putting us on notice that we have a concern about my piece of property, be it five acres, one lot, 100 acres, or 1000 acres, and how's that going to be treated differently once all this is put in place.

So I don't expect the town council has yet made that determination. We may, as a planning body, say, look, there's so many of these individual cases -- there may be 30, there may be 100, there may be 500 -- that over time those individual cases may need to go, again, under those

2.

town councils' jurisdictions that we're looking to for some guidance and recommendation, because you clearly are much closer to the scene, that your own property or anybody else within Castaic would come forward and say this is what we want to do with this property. Here's how it used to be zoned or mapped on the older planning document. Here's how it is on the new One Valley One Vision.

What are those discrepancies, and what guidance do we have and the ability of either moving that density up towards a maximum, taking it minimum -- our sort of overall planning is we target a midpoint zoning. This 500,000 people that Mr. Glaser had sort of put on board, you know, that's going to be dependent upon an awful lot of things. It's going to be dependent upon circulation. It's going to be dependent upon environmental issues. Most importantly right now, it's certainly going to be dependent upon water, water treatment, all the kinds of infrastructure which may or may not support 500,000 people.

We're looking to add, perhaps, on the design, another 75- or 80,000 homes as a high-end potential. There may be already 30,000 of those approved, waiting for some action. And many of those people may say, look, it just economically no longer makes sense. We may pull back on those.

So from a planning standpoint, we, as a master

2.

planning body for the County of Los Angeles, the two of you, as a microcosm of that as a planning body recommending to us from Castaic, those views are going to be taken into great seriousness as individual property owners come to you and say, look, this doesn't make sense, and then you add your support to that and say, yeah, we agree with him, it doesn't make sense. And then that recommendation comes back, is vetted by our staff, and then looking at how do we accommodate.

So the real purpose -- and, again, I apologize for going so long, but I wanted each of the governmental bodies, elected bodies, those people representing many people, not just their own interests, to have a little bit more time up front as a courtesy, which we normally do. And then allow time for every individual who wants to speak, to speak.

As I said before, this is not going to be the only hearing nor the only opportunity, and as long as this case is still open and being processed, written testimony can continue to come in. And we've been provided with piles and piles and piles of written testimony. And we don't want to stop that because, again, everyone has to have their opportunity to state and step forward. And even those that don't step forward and state, their rights are still going to be protected. And so that's a general sort of comment.

MR. PREACH: Okay. I hope I understand. I have 58

1 more seconds, 57. 2. MR. MODUGNO: Go ahead. MR. PREACH: And I want to make sure you understand 3 4 that the town council, as -- for example, in this Hasley 5 Canyon group, there was a petition. They came to the town council, and they asked our support. And after we heard all 6 7 the information that we acted on, had the public hearing, to support that. So that's -- that's generated the first 8 letter. 9 10 And I want to tell you that we have some more 11 letters. We're still ongoing, working, and I wanted to make 12 sure that was okay. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 15 MS. HAMILTON: We have 50 people wishing to testify. I think I'll call the first three. 16 17 MR. BELLAMY: Yes, please. 18 MS. HAMILTON: Ralph Joyce Grunauer, G-r-u-n-a-u-e-r. 19 Ralph Joyce Grunauer, Denise Jens, and Karl Reckner --20 Reinecker. 21 MR. GRUNAUER: Good evening, gentlemen. My name is 22 Ralph Grunauer. 23 MR. BELLAMY: We can't hear you. 24 MR. GRUNAUER: My name is Ralph Grunauer, and I'm -- I 25 am the owner of approximately 38 acres in Section 35.

```
MS. HAMILTON: Does that come off? Can he -- take it
1
 2.
    off, Susie.
                         Sorry. My name is Ralph Grunauer.
 3
          MR. GRUNAUER:
 4
     the owner of approximately 38 acres in Section 35, in the
 5
    vicinity of Vasquez Canyon Road and Burton Way. This land
     consists of two similar adjoining parcels. The first
 6
 7
    parcel --
          MS. GRUNAUER: Is it all right if I read?
 8
 9
          MR. BELLAMY: Yes.
                              It's fine.
10
          MS. GRUNAUER: It's not on?
11
          MR. BELLAMY: Give your -- just give your name.
12
          MS. GRUNAUER:
                        Joyce Grunauer. These are parcels
13
     2813017003 and 002. The first parcel is 20 acres.
14
     second one is 17 and a half. We have access to both parcels
15
    by paved road from Vasquez Canyon through Burton Way to
16
     Sunrise Hill Road, which ends at a cul-de-sac adjoining the
17
    property.
18
               The one property, the 20-acre parcel, is one unit
19
    per two acres, and the second parcel is one acre per ten --
20
     one unit per ten acres, giving the 17 and a half acre parcel
21
     only one unit. The 17 and a half acre parcel, 002, is the
22
    northernmost end of the property in a small, self-contained
23
    valley with flat land and gentle, sloping ridges with areas
24
    of good topography that vary only a few feet. This would
25
    require minimal grading to achieve desirable home sites.
```

The cost would become prohibitive to develop only one unit
on the entire 17 and a half acre parcel.

I'd like you to reconsider and allow the same units as parcel 003 so that this parcel can be developed to its full potential. They would be developed together as one parcel, 37 and a half acres. Half of it is zoned differently than the other half, but it's one parcel.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. JENS: Good evening, Commissioners and Regional Planning Staff. Thank you for allowing me to speak this evening. My name is Denise Jens, and I am a resident in the Santa Clarita Valley. But I'm not here to speak on my own behalf. I also -- I'm speaking on behalf of the Property Owners Water System. That is the water company for Forest Park. We're in the northeast section of Canyon Country.

And the Property Owner Water System was developed in -- it was rebuilt in 1995 and gone live in 1998. It supports 50 units, and the region that is -- or the General Plan has -- has decided that it wants to rezone as high residential 18 and 30 in that area. The water company cannot support that many units, and so I wanted to -- to bring this to you to say that we oppose this and hope that you would consider -- reconsider to leave the zoning as it is in that area.

We run right along the greenbelt of just below

2.

- Vasquez Canyon, and it is a -- it's a very beautiful area, and we have a strong sense of community there. And it would just -- it would really take away from the quality of life in our area to develop such high density.
  - Another concern we have would be traffic. We -we are kind of outside of town, so we have a bus that comes
    up there, you know, a few times a day. But it really
    would -- to develop that high of density would increase
    traffic immensely in our area.
  - And one final thing is the water runoff. We are -- the runoff from Vasquez Canyon and Sierra Highway Canyon comes right through our neighborhood. And what is proposed in the plan are high density 30 right next to that heavy runoff wash of Mint Canyon. So it just doesn't make sense to do what has been proposed, and we ask that you would consider to keep things as -- as they are. I appreciate your time. Thank you.
- MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much.
  - MR. REINECKER: Good evening. My name is Karl
    Reinecker. I live at 25643 Tapia Canyon Road, here in
    Castaic. My formal comments have been submitted to
    Supervisor Antonovich in two letters, one dated February 17,
    2009, and the other one on April 28, 2009. Both of my
    letters contain my references and attachments. I have a set
    of that here for the commission if I'm permitted to give it

1 to you for reference.

2.

But tonight I'd like to talk about three concerns
I have, perhaps four, about this plan. And my first concern
is that this revision is based upon flawed science. Both of
the gentlemen that spoke earlier talked about the -- the
laws that have been passed with respect to climate change
and things like that. Well, I submit that climate change is
happening, but it's not caused by CO2 emissions. And I'm
not going to debate that tonight, but you -- I would like to
give an example of Senate Bill 375, which is one of those
that is referenced.

environmentalists through our Congress here in legislation
California. Its stated intent is to limit urban sprawl, to
minimize dependency on automobiles for transportation, and
force people into inner-city housing. I'm amazed that
anybody would want us to back into the inner-city. It seems
that our planners have forgotten that in the 1930s we moved
out of inner-cities to get away from all of the problems
that we had. Now they want us to go back. That's a
difficult situation. And all of this is done to reduce CO2
emissions.

Now, for the moment, I'd like to go back, and I think that probably everybody in this room is well aware of the fact that 15,000 years ago North America was in an Ice

The ice over Canada was two miles thick. It extended 1 all the way down into what is now the state of Nebraska, and 2. then it started to warm. There was no mankind here. People 3 didn't cause that. That is true climate change that is 4 5 caused by natural conditions. And with few exceptions, it is continuing to warm since that period 15,000 years ago. 6 7 I'm not saying that's good. I'm not saying -- but we don't want to try to correct it for the false reasons. 8 9 In fact, about 1000 years ago, the average temperature is 10 one and a half degrees centigrade more than it is now and 11 Greenland was green. That's why they called it green. And 12 so it shows you how -- what an impact climate change has, 13 and you can't change it for the wrong reasons. 14 Also, it then started to cool. And by the mid 15 1800s, we had an Ice Age, and they had crop failure in 16 Europe. Again, it was only one and a half degrees 17 centigrade below the average. So it's a very serious thing, 18 but we can't change it by limiting carbon dioxide. 19 would like to look --20 MR. BELLAMY: Can you sum up, sir. I said can you sum 21 up, please. 22 MR. REINECKER: I'm sorry. 23 MR. BELLAMY: Can you sum up. 24 MR. REINECKER: Sum up? 25 MR. BELLAMY: Yeah.

MR. REINECKER: Okay. I would like to sum up by 1 2. talking about rezoning very briefly. MR. BELLAMY: Pardon me. 3 MR. REINECKER: I've already checked, and if you rezone 4 5 our lands to lesser value, I've checked with the Pacific Legal Foundation, and we are entitled to just compensation. 6 7 At my age, I need the money. So if you want to rezone mine, fine. I'll have my hand out and ask to be paid, but I don't 8 think that's the best interests of Los Angeles. So thank 9 10 you. 11 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 12 MS. HAMILTON: Chris Ball, Kenneth Baumgarten --13 Kenneth Baumgartner, and James Crosby. Chris Ball. 14 MR. BALL: I'd like to stand, if I may, so I could also 15 address my neighbors. My name is Chris Ball. I'm in the 16 Rotary Club, Chamber of Commerce. I sit on the Citizens 17 Oversight Committee for both of the Hart District bond 18 measures, including the \$300 million Castaic High School 19 bond measure that was just passed. 20 I own 25 acres on the east side of town. I have 21 had the dickens of a time trying to get entitlements through 22 Regional Planning. I have two points to make. 23 One, the staff and the administrators in the 24 Department of Regional Planning have unlimited discretionary 25 authority. You call them up, they don't have to answer the

2.

phone. You write them a letter, they don't have to answer it. You ask for a meeting, they don't have to attend. You submit plans for their review, they don't have to look at it.

My property is in the Hillside Management area. I have eight recorded lots, density of three acres per home per lot. The grading is done, and Regional Planning says I need a conditional use permit before they'll let me build a house.

There are exceptions in the Hillside Management ordinance. For example, I've got eight buildable areas that are less than 25 percent slope. The grading is done. The lots are recorded. My grading was done after the lots were recorded. I feel I've got exceptions, as written into the Hillside Management ordinance, that I'm entitled to. They tell me -- arbitrarily, they decide that I'm not entitled to them. How do I appeal that?

What I'm told is -- by the deputy supervisors and by Regional Planning -- is the only way I can come before you to appeal their arbitrary decisions is to, guess what, file for a conditional use permit. Everybody I've talked to says that's a three-year process and they've spent \$50,000 in consulting fees.

My grading is done. I've got a road going all the way to the top. I want three-acre density per house. Right

- 1 next to me you guys approved ten homes per acre. Ten homes per acre. The water for those houses comes from 400 miles 2. away. On its way it picks up chlorides, and when these 3 4 people flush their toilets -- the 100 homes that you put 5 right next to me -- it goes across town to the sewer treatment plant, where the taxpayers of this community are 6 7 being asked to pay \$250 million to get the chlorides out before that water goes on down to avocado land. 8 9 I've got my own wells, my own private on-site 10
  - septic systems on three-acre density, but because Regional Planning says that's rural and this is urban, they got -they're planning on 18 units per acre over here, 30 units per acre over here, commercial property here, Sierra Highway's right there, 100 homes, ten units per acre, right next to me, but I'm RL5, one house for five acres.

My grading's done. My lots are approved. I cannot go before you to get a fair hearing on what Regional Planning is doing to me unless I submit, submit, submit to the arbitrary, discretionary, unlimited requirements -- authority expressed by the -- by the planners in Regional Planning.

- MR. BELLAMY: Can you wrap up, please, sir.
- MR. BALL: I'm done.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 24 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much.
- MS. HAMILTON: Jason Broom. Jason Broom. Donald

1 Greenwood. James Perry. Rosie Heffley. And Cheryl 2. Hawkins. MR. PERRY: All right. My name's James Perry. I don't 3 own a lot of land like a lot of the people, but I did come 4 5 to Castaic for a small parcel of horse property. You want to rezone it to residential. I'm not a wealthy person. I 6 7 don't see why they can come in and rezone my land and to dictate to me in an area of town where we're in Old Castaic 8 of horse property and say we have to be residential. 9 10 I don't have a lot to say, but this is something I think should be reconsidered. That's all I have to say. 11 12 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 13 MS. HAWKINS: Yes, hello. Thank you, gentlemen, for 14 taking the time to come out here this evening to listen to 15 all of us. My name is Cheryl Hawkins, and I live at 23001 16 Riverview Road in Santa Clarita, in the San Francisquito 17 Canyon. I own a small five-acre ranch. And when the residents of San Francisquito Canyon 18 19 opposed this -- I'm going to be talking about a development 20 that's on the -- on the outskirts of Santa Clarita -- or 21 excuse me, San Francisquito Canyon. 22 When the residents of San Francisquito Canyon 23 opposed the massive development of Tesoro De Valle, many 24 planned phases in the mid-to-late 1990s, the Los Angeles

County Board of Supervisors, after many meetings and

testimonies from residents and the developer alike, they approved the zoning for each of their four phases, allowing for a higher density in each phase -- in Phase A, phasing down through Phase B and C and D, with each phase increasing the lot sizes coming up the canyon. Phase C's were approved from a minimum of one acre to a maximum of 5.2 acres, and that -- that Phase C is right up against the national forest, which is at the upper end of the canyon.

At that time, super Mike Antonovich was instrumental on the decision by the board to phase the lot sizes to increase coming up the canyon with the intent of maintaining the integrity of the canyon, rural lifestyle, and surrounding hills. With the approval of our CSD's for San Francisquito Canyon just in this last month, protecting the canyon and its environment, and with the same intent of maintaining a rural atmosphere for years to come, this same area's zoning on the hillside surrounding San Francisquito Canyon should remain as it was intended by the approval of the Board of Supervisors in 1999.

There was a reason the zoning was applied by the supervisors at that time and that condition still remains today. This is a rural community, which includes our hills that Tesoro has their development proposed for, zoned at A2 and should remain rural, and it should remain at A2. Thank you.

| -

2.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 1 2. Two more names. MS. HEFFLEY: Good evening, everyone. Thank you very 3 much for allowing me to speak. I don't have much to say. 4 5 I'm very small property owner, my husband, Frank, and myself -- my name is Rosie Heffley. And we live at 7520 6 7 Escondido Canyon Road in the unincorporated community of Agua Dulce. 8 9 And all I wanted to say is that I want to thank 10 the L.A. County Planning Commission and the Santa Clarita 11 commissioners and everyone here, and I do approve of the 12 OVOV. My husband and I both approve of this, and we are 13 very happy that this is happening. Thank you. 14 And I want to thank also Mr. Adams, because I was 15 able to get in touch with him the first time I tried. 16 phone system was great. I had no problems. He spent maybe 17 15, 20 minutes with me and -- on the phone -- and it was 18 very clear what the changes were to our property. Thank you 19 very much and have a nice evening. 20 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. MS. HAMILTON: Milo Brown. Moise Monasebian --21 22 Monasebian. Would each of you please remember to give your 23 name and address. MR. BROWN: Yeah, my name's Milo Brown. I've lived in 24 25 this community for 33 years. We have a small parcel up on

Sierra Highway, and the parcel number is 3214020046. And is rated at C3, and the paper says that they are going to change it to A1-2. And we have an existing nursery operating on it now. If they change that from C to agricultural or whatever the A1-2 is, then that would probably put us out of business, and I don't quite think

that that's right that that could happen.

I've been there 33 years. Lot of changes going on here. And would appreciate it take in consideration. As to all the other commercial property owners, some of them are not here. There is a bunch of them up by me that probably wish they were here, so they have M1 and C3 and C4 and stuff. But I don't know why they didn't make it, but it's very critical. Thank you.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MR. MONASEBIAN: Good evening. My name is Moise

Monasebian. My address is 2804 Main Street in city of Santa

Monica, California 90405. I recently received a notice of

completion and availability of DEIR for the One Valley One

Vision Plan.

I'm the owner of approximately 145 acres of vacant land in Canyon Country in Los Angeles County, located at Sierra Highway and Baker Canyon. I purchased this property based on the fact that the property was zoned for a maximum of 95 homes. There is an existing and active tentative map

number, 47574, on this property, calling for approximately
75 homes.

The new One Valley One Vision Plan is down-zoning my property to only 29 homes. This is a great financial loss to the value of my property. I would appreciate it if Planning Commission can reevaluate One Valley One Vision Plan and return my property to its original density.

After discussing the new plan with the staff at Regional Planning, my engineers, Secant Engineering, has also submitted a secondary plan to the staff and for your consideration regarding possible change of use from residential to commercial IO, which is described as Office Professional by the new General Plan.

The reason for my requests are the following:

My property abuts land that will be designated industrial in the new General Plan. There is a high school planned on 250 acres across the street from my property. This northeast area of Canyon Country is sparsely populated, and the nearest housing tract is about one-half mile away, and still my property is near major highways and freeways. My property is approximately 50 acres of flat land that will require very little grading and is not in any flood zone or environmentally sensitive area, and I'm willing to keep the remainder of my land as open space.

I have two access points to Sierra Highway, and

1 commercial and industrial development in this area can 2. easily pay for the most infrastructures that are called for in the One Valley One Vision Plan for Sierra Highway, 3 4 resulting in substantial savings to the Los Angeles County 5 and the taxpayers. And finally, the fact that there are several 6 7 thousand homes approved or is in the process of being approved within one to three miles of my property tells me 8 that we do not need more housing, but we need more 9 10 commercial and industrial development such as well-planned 11 office parks and technology centers to bring in more 12 business and create more jobs and tax revenues for the Los 13 Angeles County. 14 I hope the Planning Commission can see the same vision I have for this corner of Canyon Country in Santa 15 16 Clarita Valley area and take this opportunity to create an industrial and commercial hub that will benefit this 17 18 community for many years to come. 19 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 20 MR. MONASABIAN: Thank you. 21 MS. HAMILTON: Richard Robb, Lynne Plambeck, Mike 22 Thompson. 23 MS. PLAMBECK: My name's Lynne Plambeck. I'm here representing Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the 24 25 Environment. And we, like the Castaic Town Council, haven't

2.

had time to really get into the particulars of the plan, and so we would like to start by asking that you extend the comment period past the November 2nd date. We, too, intend to divide up the different areas of the plan -- with the SCAG projection, which really never came to pass.

And when you base it on this massive projection of population increase that doesn't happen, you build infrastructure that's not needed. It's very expensive to the taxpayers. And I don't know what the law is, whether you are required to base it on the SCAG population figure, but I believe the '93 projection was that we were going to reach 270,000 by 2000. We're not there yet.

So you can see that it's substantially overstated. And based on the current economy, it seems that 500,000 or plus -- I think it's 540 or something like that -- would be substantially overstatement, and there may be significant issues in supplying water for that amount of people and for providing for good air quality for people to even breathe should you put that many people in the valley.

We would like to request more hearings in other sections of the valley. We understood this was going to be the only hearing, and I hope that it isn't because it's difficult for folks on the east side to get over to Castaic at this time in the evening, just as it was probably difficult for all of you to get here.

2.

We were concerned with the fact that you're -- the way it looks like you're doing the floodplains, although it may be an easier way -- I guess we just didn't quite understand it. It looks like there's no -- like you're giving a designation for floodplains, but you're not really going to do any protection for floodplains, and we request that you try to do that.

The earlier area-wide plan really had a good description of groundwater recharge areas in it and looked at how those areas could be protected. Protecting groundwater recharge is going to be just absolutely necessary if we're going to supply -- have a water supply for this valley.

Last, I would just like to say that in the '93 plan, there was sort of a feeding frenzy at the Board of Supervisors where a lot of developers came in at the last minute and said, "We want an increase here, and we want an increase there." And I hope that isn't going to happen again. It's not a technically legal process for an EIR, and it was a very poor process for the way the plan was handled as a whole.

And I would like to reiterate the concerns over having gone through long processes to approve Specific Plans and then having them change in a bulk manner in this process. I don't think that's a way to look at Specific

1 Plans. Thank you. 2. MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 3 Can we get -- can we get two more names. 4 MS. HAMILTON: Sadiq Ghias. Henry Urick. 5 MR. BELLAMY: Yes, sir. MR. THOMPSEN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My 6 7 name's Mike Thompsen, and I reside at 29546 Sand Canyon Road in Canyon Country, on the east end of the valley. And 8 currently our property is A2-1 and you want to change it to 9 10 RL5, and I would like the consideration of RL2. I have neighbors right next to me, both that already sit on 11 12 two-acre parcels. We have nine acres. 13 I'm neighbor of Chris Ball. I think he should be 14 given the chance to have three-acre parcel. An RL2 would do 15 The tract that he was speaking of is just across the street from me. I also have Stetson Ranch that's right 16 17 behind myself. I've got Fire Department 132 that's our 18 neighbor, Canyon Collection. Our proximity to the freeway is 60 seconds. COC -- the new COC is just over the ridge 19 20 from us. 21 And I think restricting us to an RL5 is 22 restrictive. I would like the consideration, please, of an 23 RL2. Thank you. 24 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 25 Yes, sir.

Good evening. My name is Sadiq Ghias. 1 MR. GHIAS: Closer. 2. MR. BELLAMY: Good evening. My name is Sadiq Ghias. 3 MR. GHIAS: 4 the owner of the Campground of America, and the name is 5 Acton Los Angeles, located at 7601 Soledad Canyon Road in the city of Acton. 6 7 The campground has an existing CUP, and my concern is that once this CUP expires I'd like to request that the 8 CUP be renewed when the conditions are met. The second 9 10 thing that I'd like to state is that any time a raw piece of 11 land is purchased, all you have to look or go by is the 12 zoning on that particular piece of property, and one is 13 paying the price based on that zoning. 14 If, in the -- after the purchase has been made, 15 several years down, if someone changes the zoning, then 16 effectively, what one did is made that land worthless, 17 because reducing from several units to just few units, the 18 land has lost its economic value. 19 So I suggest to all the distinguished members to 20 please reconsider this One Valley One Vision because it 21 appears to me that the people who will be sacrificing the 22 most are the existing landowners who happen to be closer to 23 the areas where this vision is going to take place. 24 I thank you much. Bye-bye. 25 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MR. URICH: I'd like to thank the commissioners for 1 2. coming to Castaic. Thank you very much for coming this 3 evening. Sloan Canyon Road is in OVOV currently --4 MS. HAMILTON: Your name, sir? 5 MR. URICH: -- being downgraded. It's proposed to be downgraded from a secondary highway to a street. And that's 6 7 going to severely impact the ability to pave that section above Quail Valley Road, and these are the reasons why. 8 The B&T funds are proposed to go from \$3000 per 9 10 lot to \$17,300 per lot. That will be the highest rate in 11 the county. But if you downgrade Sloan Canyon Road, it will 12 not be eliqible for an offset of those fees. The current number of lots that are approved either have a map or a 13 14 tentative map, it's in excess of 150 lots, and that would be 15 an impact of over \$3 million that would not be able to be offset to improve and pave Sloan Canyon Road. 16 17 Sloan Canyon Road, by the way, it's needed to be 18 paved for fire safety, and also a future elementary school is proposed on this unimproved section. So for the sake of 19 20 fire safety and being able to pave a road to a proposed 21 future elementary school, we just ask that you do not 22 downgrade Sloan Canyon from a secondary highway. Just keep 23 it the way it is presently. 24 Thank you very much for your consideration. 25 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

1 MS. HAMILTON: Vernon Sprankle, Judy Reinsma, and Allan 2 Cameron.

MR. SPRANKLE: Is it on? Hi, my name is Vernon

Sprankle, and I am representing the Sprankle Gabroud Jesser

Hockenberry property. We have 120 acres up at the end of

Sloan Canyon Road. My great grandmother and grandfather

homesteaded this property over 85 years ago, and we are -
it has dwindled down to a whole bunch of people now.

But with the OVOV plan they have taken -- they have taken

our -- our particular property at RL5, which is five -- five

acres per -- one house per five acres, and it was one house

per two acres. All around us is one house per two. The

north side of me is one house per one, RL1, according to the

new map, and we just think this is totally unfair.

I personally am on the deed of 80 acres of this land, and this -- it's in escrow as we speak, but I don't think it's going to stay in escrow if it goes one per five. So we would like you to reconsider as far as that area.

Thank you.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. REINSMA: Good evening, gentlemen, and thank you for having us here in Castaic. My name's Judy Reinsma. I live at 29750 San Francisquito Canyon Road. This is where we just were granted a Community Standards District for our rural ranch community, which we are very pleased to have

1 gotten.

2.

Immediately to the west of the canyon is the

Tesoro del Valle development. In 1999 local residents in

the Santa Clarita Valley were successful in getting the

density of this huge tract reduced so that clustered and

small lot dwellings would not march from Copper Hill all the

way up to the national forest.

The present approved plan for the unbuilt area of Tesoro del Valle, called Area C, covers 668.7 acres. This can be seen on the One Valley One Vision map as a yellow area extending north between the San Francisquito Canyon and Castaic area Community Standard Districts. This area, which is zoned A2, has been approved for 115 dwelling units, with lot sizes ranging from a minimum of 1 acre to a maximum of 5.2 acres.

If this property is designated H2, as shown in One Valley One Vision, then the homes allowed under the two dwelling units per gross acre parameters will be 1337. This would grant the developer the opportunity to build an additional 1222 dwelling units beyond the number allowed when this development was approved. This is wrong.

I would respectfully request that the H2 overlay for Tesoro del Valle be removed, the current zoning and dwelling units allowed for this property remain as is, and that any changes be done following the standard procedures,

including professional review by Planning Division, the Planning Commission, and public hearings.

Thank you very much.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

2.

MR. CAMERON: Good evening, commissioners. My name is Allan Cameron. My address is 19425 Soledad Canyon Road, Santa Clarita, California 91351. And I would like to thank all of you for taking the time and the trouble to come to the Santa Clarita Valley and to the Castaic area. I presume that the ease with which you obtained your shots and got your passports allowed you to make the journey without too much difficulty. Again, thank you for having come here this evening to receive this very important public hearing.

And I would echo some of the earlier comments about the fact that I hope this would be your opening salvo in terms of an outreach effort to obtain the opinions and sentiments of the people that your decisions will affect in the northern Los Angeles County area.

I have a specific suggestion about the traffic modeling portion of the General Plan. It is extremely important that the issue of a proposed second and third access into northern Los Angeles County be evaluated.

Imagine, if you will, the San Fernando Valley if the only means to the area south of the San Fernando Valley were the Cahuenga Pass. Imagine if the 210 did not exist, if all the

2.

surface streets like Glenoaks Boulevard and San Fernando
Road did not exist. Imagine if Interstate 5 did not exist
and the San Diego Freeway, 405, did not exist and all of the
two-lane roads that carry voluminous amounts of traffic,
like Coldwater Canyon, Benedict Canyon, Laurel Canyon,
Topanga Canyon, all did not exist.

If you were to characterize that nightmarish situation as I just did, you would be approximating the ultimate fate of the Santa Clarita Valley if another means of access to the south is not postulated. So I strongly urge the circulation element look into that and model it.

Now, a lot of my friends concerned about environmental issues say we cannot presume to continue to use personal direct, point to point, rapid transportation, i.e., the car. I would say that is a presumption based on the idea that the car will continue to be an internal combustion engine-powered vehicle.

I think our future planning needs to recognize that the car with the internal combustion engine as we know it today must cease to exist, and we must have electric-powered vehicles or hydrogen fuel celled vehicles, which will render the issue of air pollution and climate change, hopefully, moot, particularly if the electricity that powers those vehicles is generated with clean power, i.e., wind and solar.

So in conclusion of that portion of my testimony, please model the issue of an additional means of major access, at least one if not preferably two, in and out of the Santa Clarita Valley to the south.

Next quick issue. The existing General Plan has a marvelous infrastructure concurrency requirement known for short as the Development Monitoring System, or the DMS. In a new General Plan, you have an option to perhaps abandon that, but I strongly urge you to look at the existing value of the DMS and not abandon it unless and until you can show how another infrastructure concurrency mechanism --

MR. BELLAMY: Can you wrap --

2.

old to the new.

MR. CAMERON: -- would be able to replace it and --

MR. BELLAMY: -- can you wrap up, sir, please.

MR. CAMERON: Yes, thank you. Two more quick points, and I will conclude. Please, if you would, generate an overlay map so that online, as well as in meetings like this, you can take the old zoning and General Plan designation, have an overlay of the new proposed General Plan and zoning designation on top of it, so you can see immediately what the changes that are proposed are from the

And then my final comment is this. A stronger safety element is absolutely called for in a comprehensive new General Plan. The Castaic Dam is one of at least three

1 major dams that is built to a maximum of a seven-point event 2. on the Richter Scale, and we all know that the next large event in Southern California will be way above seven points. 3 MR. BELLAMY: Okay. Thank --4 5 MR. CAMERON: If that happens, we here would be under several hundred feet of water. 6 7 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. MR. CAMERON: So I appreciate your listening to us. 8 9 Thank you. MS. HAMILTON: Igor Nikitine, Tim Garrity, and Dianne 10 Wohlleblen. 11 MR. NIKITINE: Hello. My name is Nikitine, Igor. 12 13 living on 7343 Soledad Canyon. And I will just quickly --14 can I address the public for a quick? Only here. Okay. 15 Then I'll tell my story. 16 We purchased the land. It's 12 acres. 17 to do some developments and were always unsuccessful because 18 it goes in the circles that we cannot do this because the 19 County has construction of the bridge over the floodplain. 20 And it's not a small bridge. It's almost like a CalTrans 21 project, which costs millions of dollars for the small 22 residents. 23 And it was going and going until, like, recently I found there is another, farther up the canyon, properties. 24 25 The same river, this same fish protected by law. Everything

1 is the same, but it's booming. The people are grading. The 2. people are receiving permits, and everything going on. I was wondering, like, why and what's wrong? And it's only 3 beyond borders of your project everything is fine. 4 5 bridge, no millions to spend, everything is fine. We were forced to sell 80 acres to national forest 6 7 because we couldn't do nothing with it. And many people like here always cannot do nothing. They already put some 8 They have businesses. And you guys just forcing 9 money. 10 them out, and I don't know if some people, like, really trust you that you're doing all this in the public interest. 11 12 I'm sorry. 13 That's my total opinion. And believe me, I was 14 lived in Russia. I was born in Communism. I saw the 15 country go into the drain because of the government. Obama 16 doing the same for the whole country, and you guys trying to 17 dish all the people in the local area. I'm sorry, but this is -- that's where it goes. I understand you doing good 18 19 That's all my emotions, and thank you so much. 20 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 21 MS. WOHLLEBEN: Good evening. Can you hear me? 22 you hear me? No? Now? Now? Okay. Testing. Okay. 23 My name's Dianne Woleben. And I live off of 24 Sierra Highway and Fitch Avenue. It's called Forest Park in

the old map -- in the Thomas Guide map book. And you're

considering changing, with your OVOV, an area right across

Sierra Highway from me, which is -- and down the street -
to a H18, which is 9 to 18 dual occupancy.

We are all individual owners. There are no

apartment buildings in our neighborhood except for one that

got snuck in that's only two story with eight units. And nobody knows. It's been there for a long time. So we don't

But that area is strictly industrial along Sierra Highway, and all residences -- they're all out here, all my neighbors -- and none of us want it to be changed to have, instead of where I moved to from Silver Lake in L.A., Canyon Country, to Condo Country. You can keep it by the freeways. We don't want to be like Van Nuys. We don't want graffiti and congestion.

We don't want that kind -- we live where there are cows and horses. We're in the County of L.A. for a reason. We don't live in Santa Clarita. We live in the county. We have horses and cows. My neighbors, they walk with their horses. We don't want condo land.

Thank you very much.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

know how it got snuck in.

MS. WOHLLEBEN: Oh, and thank you. Thank -- thank you also -- I have one last request. One last request about the meeting. Thank you for coming out to hear us, A. And B, I

1 know the supervisors meet in L.A. at 9:00 o'clock and that's 2. your final hearing, on a workday. We're working people. can't come to L.A. on a workday. So if you could please 3 4 schedule in final opportunities to speak again out here or 5 in Canyon Country, which is an area that you're talking about -- not just Castaic -- we would truly, truly 6 7 appreciate your effort. Thank you. 8 MS. HAMILTON: Henry Schultz, Sherry Stolica --9 Stolarik, and Robert Haring -- no, Robert Lombardi, and 10 11 Linda Haring. Hello. Thank you for the opportunity to 12 MS. STOLARIK: 13 address the proposed land use designations. My name is 14 Sherry Stolarik. I live at 25241 West Carson Way, Stevenson 15 I am a member of the San Francisquito Canyon Preservation Association, the Santa Clarita Trails Advisory 16 17 Committee, and Equestrian Trails, Incorporated. 18 We wish to retain A2-2, or the equivalent of rural 19 land 2, for phases B and C of Tesoro del Valle Tract No. 20 51644, conditional use permit approved in May 1999. This 21 CUP designated the remaining phases to remain as A2, minimum 22 two acre. This approval by the Board of Supervisors upheld 23 their unanimous vote to preserve, promote, enhance, and 24 expand the equestrian lifestyle. It should not be changed

in an effort to defeat the purpose of our long, hard fight

1 to preserve the area for horses and a rural lifestyle. 2. This proposed land use change to H2 negates the agricultural uses and denies livestock and horse-keeping. 3 The Cliffie Stone Trail will extend through these phases 4 5 along with two other trails designated by the Santa Clarita Trails Advisory Committee as requested by Supervisor 6 7 Antonovich. We wish to request that the land remain designated 8 as A2 or its equivalent, not impose restrictions to 9 10 agricultural uses, conform to the adjacent land uses to the 11 east, west, and north currently zoned A2. This H-2 land uses nonconforming. Changing this will be inconsistent with 12 13 the Tapia Ranch project and the San Francisquito Canyon by 14 allowing a much higher density. 15 We also wish to request that the extension of 16 McBean be deleted from the Master Plan of Highways onto San 17 Francisquito Canyon Road. This canyon is rural with 18 designated community standards that retains many varied 19 equestrian uses and is home to our California Rangers 20 program, Mounted Posse Reserve participants, Trail 21 Advocates, and Equestrian Trails. 22 Thank you very much. 23 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 24 MR. SCHULTZ: Hello. My name is Henry Schultz, and I'm

a resident of Santa Clarita. I really am pleased to be able

2.

to speak here. The idea of One Valley One Vision is a great idea, the County and the City and other agencies working together to get a good plan for the area.

What I really want to speak about is a problem that we've had for years, even before we had the City, and that has to do with density. All these projects, developments, changes of zoning, all increase density, and density is the real problem out here in the valley. It causes all the traffic problems that we have, which are only getting worse. Someone mentioned the traffic model. You can see that things are going to go really bad here shortly. Even with the HOV lane, we're going to be in real trouble.

So what we need to do is reduce the density of projects, if at all possible, and reduce the density or keep the density the same in a lot of areas that we have. A prime example of this is Newhall Ranch project. The Newhall Ranch project has -- it takes hillside densities and puts them into high residential, builds into the river, into the floodplain. We've been doing that here even in the City of Santa Clarita. So we're not -- we're not innocent.

But the big problem, though, is to -- whatever you do with the One Valley One Vision, that you keep the densities from being increased. And the real problem here is tax base. The County needs money. The County is running out of gas. As more places get incorporated, there's less

1 and less space for the County to have direct income. So I 2. hope that you don't take money as the source of your 3 motivation for increasing density. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. MR. LOMBARDI: Hello. My name is Robert Lombardi. 6 7 address, 29527 Bouquet Canyon Road. I'm here with my sister. We own a piece of property, 140 acres, on Bouquet 8 Canyon Road, on both sides, at Vasquez Canyon Road. 9 10 At present the zoning is A1-2000, which is half 11 acre lots. The County wants to change it to A1-2, which is 12 one house per two acres. We have some tracts approved 13 around us, and we're in the middle of them. And all we're 14 asking for is to have the density that they have. I believe 15 it's one acre per -- one house per acre. 16 I think we need to -- we're an island -- we'd be 17 an island in the middle of the area, and it just won't work 18 right for us. My sister wants to say something also. 19 I live at 29677 Bouquet Canyon Road, on MS. HARING: 20 the corner of Vasquez and Bouquet Canyon. My concern is the 21 roads and the development that's planned in Bouquet Canyon. 22 Bouquet Canyon Road is being planned as a secondary highway, 23 which I understand is a four-lane road, extending from Plum 24 Canyon Road to the national forest boundary. 25 Vasquez Canyon, however, is being downgraded to a

2.

limited secondary highway, which is -- remains a two-lane highway. Right now, Vasquez Canyon Road is over-utilized and doesn't provide -- is in terrible condition. There's bumps and cracks and potholes, and even though they're patched frequently, it's just in very bad condition.

We have an additional problem besides the residents that live in Bouquet Canyon, which is now still rural north of Vasquez. And we have lots of residents from Palmdale and the Antelope Valley that use Bouquet Canyon Road to commute to the Santa Clarita Valley and also the San Fernando Valley. They also use Vasquez Canyon.

There are plans in the Antelope Valley, in Leona Valley, and in Palmdale for increased development there.

All of that traffic will flow from the Antelope Valley through the Santa Clarita Valley because most people up there are traveling down for jobs here and in the Los Angeles area.

What we would like is to see that Vasquez Canyon Road remains a secondary highway and is eventually planned to be expanded to four lanes. With the additional development that is surrounding our property, if that -- if and when that is ever developed, that will put hundreds of more automobiles on those highways, and it -- we are already in danger now. Whenever there's a fire or a flood -- we've experienced all of it -- earthquake. We've been trapped in

1 | that canyon many times.

2.

So we do need to see that with any plan, whether it's rural or high density, you need to have adequate roads for all people to get in and out of these canyons. It is a unique area with all these canyons, and you can see what has happened with all the fires recently, with people that live in canyons. So I hope you'll take that into consideration, and thank you for coming here and listening to us.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. HAMILTON: William Dudra, Sherry Paradise, and Dean Paradise.

MR. DUDRA: Hi, my name is William Dudra. I live at 44508 Loneoak Avenue, Lancaster, California 93534. I've got two parcels at Bouquet and Vasquez area there that we've been going eight years now to get a grading permit. Finally get it graded and set up, and now you guys talk about changing the zoning.

We had to deal with Hillside Management to go

out -- out of the blue, they -- after the grading goes

through, then say, oh, now you're in Hillside Management.

Now you've got to meet this criteria. Now they want to

change it to where you can only put one house on it and -
after it's already been graded out.

I don't see how the County can go and try to change the zoning after you've already started in your

2.

project the way it is now, that you could split it down if you put the roads in. We put the roads in, and because we haven't filed the lot split -- because that's going to take forever to go from five acres to 2 two and a halfs, which are all through the county two and a half acres are a standard. Now they change it. Oh, we don't want to do less than five acres.

I don't see how it benefits us to sit there and let you guys change it. It's just like the open spaces with Santa Clarita and the County. They've got all this land, and they've got their pieces, but they're not putting them up for open spaces, considering the way they built up the city or the way the County's been building up in the heavily, densely populated areas.

And I think it's pretty much an arbitrary thing that you guys are going across because some of these ones you want to go and -- go from two acres on a house to putting 30 houses on two acres, and then the other ones you want to say, okay, you've got five acres, you can only put one, or you've got 20 acres, you can only put one.

And -- but the developers, whatever they want to put, because it's closer in, we'll let you develop everything. They've got roads through Santa Clarita where they've built developments on ridiculously sloped roads.

Going up Shangri-La, it's got to be an 18 percent road they

1 | put in up a hillside that they just built all over.

2.

White's Canyon's got the same thing. All over Canyon Country's got it where they went straight up on hills with roads, but now that it's out on the rural area that we are, that we wanted to be, that's why we bought there, they want -- you guys want to zone us down, saying okay, you can only go one house in the area.

I don't -- I don't see how, when you've fought for all this time to get to this point, now we're going to change your zoning, we want to make it this. I see no benefit to it because when you guys start adding, there's only a certain amount in Hillside Management we can do anyways. We can't go down, even though the zoning says 20,000 square feet for a half acre on a five-acre parcel, they won't let you do it in Hillside Management anyways. So to zone it down lower, I don't see it being beneficial to us.

Thank you.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. PARADISE: Hi, my name is Sherry Paradise. I live at 29565 Baringer Road in Castaic. I moved here about ten years ago and decided this would be a great place to enjoy a rural environment but also incorporate some of the city life.

Recently, in July, we received some information

that said, oh, the General Plan Land Committee is going to
change the zoning on our property. Now we would be
designated as an RL5. Now, when we purchased this lane -we're not wealthy people. We work very hard. As I keep
hearing over and over in this room, people have worked hard.
This might be just my little piece of the American Dream
that I said I want this land, and I now have the ability to

split this property and have a second parcel.

So we wrote a letter, and we talked to many of our neighbors. This wasn't just indulging ourselves. We talked to many of our neighbors, and we asked, "What do you think? What would you like?" And they signed it. They signed a petition. We sent it in. About two weeks later, we received a really nice little letter back saying, "Absolutely not. We didn't hear your request."

I ask you, are you listening to us? Are you hearing this room? Because we asked you: Could you reconsider changing our zoning to RL2? Now, we received a response back that stated no, there was a slope issue; no, there was fire -- fire zone issues.

I want to challenge you here to go back and look because on that -- these parcels that you've said no to, do you know many of these are already graded with a second pad? The fire issue or the slope issue is already taken care of, because we've hired civil engineers to look at this land.

1 It is not done in a vacuum.

2.

So we received a letter basically saying no on August 12th. I would like you to go back and reconsider your decision on behalf of ourselves and all of the others that have signed this petition. And those of you that didn't have the opportunity to meet with us, we welcome you to sign the petition also.

Thank you.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MR. PARADISE: Thank you. My name is Dean Paradise. I live at 29565 Baringer Road. I'm a civil engineer, and I've been a civil engineer for over 25 years, working in the county. Ten years ago my wife and I bought some land at the end of Romero Canyon, and we built a house and we built a separate pad that we use as a horse arena.

We intend to subdivide in the future and build two parcels of over two acres each. That's over 86,000 square feet per lot. This area has been designated as RL5 by the County planners. We believe this is a mistake, and we've been told that it's not feasible for the RL2 land use.

Although we currently have a 10,000 square foot certified pad on our property, properties to the north, the south, the east, and the west of us are designated as RL2.

Only us, in the middle, have as an island of an RL5. So I'd ask you that you please have this revised and join me and

the town council in changing that to the RL2, which is in conformance with the community standards that the town council approved three years ago.

I also want to speak to you quickly about the secondary access into Castaic. Ask any resident here what it's like to get home into Castaic when the 5 Freeway is shut down due to a fire or snow or a traffic accident. Good planning mandates a secondary access into Castaic. And I believe the logical location for that is through the Tesoro development.

Thank you very much.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. HAMILTON: Glenda Bona, Gary Shaw, Phillip Scorza.

MS. BONA: Hi, I'm Glenda Bona, 23681 White Oak Court in Newhall. And I'm here tonight representing the Calgrove Corridor Coalition.

Let me tell you a little bit about our group to start out with. We formed originally in opposition to a ridiculously dense project proposal that was going to be built on a 100-year floodplain that was just east of the I-5 at Calgrove.

While our group actually represents several hundred households, CCC itself has a diverse core group, and we are committed as a core group and as a big group to solving problems through cooperation, not confrontation.

We're not antigrowth, but we are interested in intelligent
planning.

Our group has had tremendous success working with the City of Santa Clarita. We're really happy to report that the proposal to develop the Smiser property was sent back to the developers as unacceptable. We've also worked with the City on zoning changes in regards to One Valley One Vision. The City incorporated lots of our suggestions, and actually some of our words, into the proposed document. We would like to have that same kind of relationship with the County.

There's a number of proposed developments right now that are on the County side of the Interstate 5 Freeway, and some of them are quite large. These developments will have a huge impact on our neighborhood and on our quality of life.

Let me share with you briefly some of our key concerns. We're concerned about traffic circulation. What will increased density do to the circulation, both on the I-5 Freeway and also in the surrounding neighborhoods? What kind of height requirements are you looking at for these projects?

We're concerned that there is adequate parkland.

Right now that's not the case. Will you be interfacing

with CalTrans during the time that they are doing the I-5

Corridor expansion? Are you considering the ramifications of that freeway expansion to the surrounding neighborhoods as you consider future projects?

With the addition of four new lanes on the I-5 and an increase in traffic due to the new developments, we're also especially worried about air pollution. We're also concerned about adequate water supplies and concerned about build-out in general.

area who care about the quality of life in the Santa Clarita Valley, and we have an offer to extend to you. We would like to work with you in the same reasonable and professional manner that we work with the City of Santa Clarita so that we can find developments that are going to enhance our community.

Thank you.

2.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much.

MR. SHAW: Hi, my name is Gary Shaw, and I live on Fitch Avenue in Forest Park area. And I have to tell you, between my hearing loss and the echo that's developed in this room, it's almost impossible to really hear clearly what is going on. But I hope I can be heard.

I have to admit that I'm in a fog when it comes to what specifically is going to be happening in our particular area on Fitch Avenue in Forest Park. I've heard that

2.

eventually our street is going to be made into some kind of a four-lane highway. I don't know if that's true or not. And that would cut right into our already small front yard property that we have, and we'd like it to remain the rural, country-type living that -- the very purpose for which we came to live there and wouldn't like that to be changed.

And concerning the possibility of our house being taken away or perhaps bought by pennies on the dollar by eminent domain, I ask you to put yourselves in our place for a moment. We live in our dream house. We never otherwise could afford a house except for the fact that my mom died some years ago, and it presented the opportunity for us to have enough money to buy a small house -- just about a 1000-square foot house -- there in Forest Park.

If we had to move from our house by it being taken away or it being bought very inexpensively and so that we wouldn't have enough money, we couldn't get another place.

We wouldn't have another house to live. It would make it very difficult for us. I believe many of our neighbors are in the same position I'm in. Knowing many of them, we have some elderly neighbors, we have some neighbors who are just barely making it. And if our houses were bought pennies on the dollar, we'd be out.

What you call progress, I'd like to ask, at whose expense? And if ruining lives is part of what you call

1 progress, I believe that no one with a good conscience 2. should be for it. We'd like to keep our house the way it is. Thank you very much. 3 4 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 5 MS. HAMILTON: Neil Nadler, Joel Brandon, and Patricia Howell. 6 7 MR. NADLER: Good evening. My name is Neil Nadler, and my address is 1250 La Venta Drive, Westlake Village, 8 California 91361. I own approximately 180 acres that lies 9 10 between the freeway where it splits up the Grapevine, up --11 up Highway 5. And I do want to acknowledge the County Staff 12 and the Castaic Town Council and Supervisor Antonovich's 13 office. I'm not here to complain about the future zoning of 14 my property. Frankly, I believe we're all in concert, which 15 is changing the property that is currently zoned A2-2 and A2-10 to light industrial. 16 17 One -- one thing that I believe is that the County 18 jobs-housing balance and the specific Santa Clarita area, it does need a greater balance between the two. And my 19 20 property, along with other properties, will help further 21 that along. So I am in support of it. 22 The one question that I do have, and that might be 23 a question that could be asked of Mitch -- and I don't think 24 it necessarily needs to be tonight -- is that one of them is

zoned M1, light industrial, but on the other one,

25

1 approximately 120 acres of the property is -- got a 2. designation of Transportation Corridor and that's the only property. Mitch, can you clarify that or do you want to 3 4 talk about it later? Okay. So anyway, I'm in support of it. It's been 5 ongoing for the last 15 years that I've owned the property, 6 7 and it'll -- I think it'll really help out the area as well and eventually provide local jobs for local people who live 8 here. 9 10 And one other thing, I would like to make a 11 statement, is that there are Community Standard Districts 12 out here in Castaic. And a lot of people who live in Hasley 13 Canyon and other canyons are being tremendously affected by 14 the, if you will, down-zoning of their properties from -from A2 to RL5. And I do object to that. I think that --15 16 that it's kind of spot zoning, if you will. And I think 17 that you guys need to take a more serious look at that one 18 issue. 19 Thank you. 20 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 21 Hello. My name is Patricia Howell. MS. HOWELL: 22 live at 30701 Sloan Canyon Road in Castaic, California. 23 I've lived there 25 years. We own three parcels. We own one up in Hasley, ten acres, and we own two parcels in 24

Sloan, an eight acre and a five acre. And the zoning change

25

1 | would affect our retirement.

2.

We really want to split the property and sell it and retire. So I would be opposed to the RL5 designation. I would ideally like the A2-2 zoning to stay in affect. But if you do decide to change the zonings up there, I would accept the RL2. That would be acceptable if we could still have heavy agriculture.

And also, I'd like to ask, if you do have plans to downgrade Sloan Canyon north of Hillcrest, I would be in support of that. It is a private road. We privately maintain it. We dedicated it to the County in 1984. They never accepted the dedication or took possession of it, so we've maintained it for the past 25 years. Right now people drive up and down it, 60 miles an hour. We have to repave it. We have to regrade it. And ideally we'd like to gate it and keep it completely private.

And that's it for me. Thank you for your time.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much.

MR. BRANDON: My name is Joel Brandon. I live at 26520 Tapia Canyon Road, in behind the sheriff's honor farm. And I have ten acres of ground, and I don't have any problem at all as far as any zoning is concerned.

I would wish to say one thing, that the -- it's my observation here this evening that there cannot be a regulation of any kind made because of the extreme adversity

1 of the people who live in the area. It would be almost 2. impossible to make one that was reasonable that would work. The other thing that I would say is that the 3 4 federal government has followed regulations, and they're 5 trillions of dollars in debt. The State of California has followed many regulations, are \$26 billion in debt. The 6 7 County of Los Angeles and the City of Los Angeles, in a similar condition. 8 Therefore, it has become obvious to me that 9 10 regulations of any kind has virtually destroyed our country. Therefore, I would advocate and heavily stress to make no 11 new regulations of any kind and make the -- a committee, 12 13 that has a real cognitive ability to reason as a committee, 14 to settle each individual case as it comes about rather than 15 making a blanket deal of the entire area. 16 Thank you. 17 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 18 MS. HAMILTON: Kevin Coon, Janette Gabellieri, Karl 19 Mallick. 20 MS. GABELLIERI: Hello. I am a property owner on Sloan 21 Canyon Road in Castaic, 30730 Sloan Canyon Road. My name is 22 Janette Gabellieri. I live at 28755 Greenwood Place. 23 The Castaic community spent several years working with the County to create a CSD for our community. I am in 24 25 favor of downgrading Sloan Canyon Road to a smaller street

to keep it more rural. And all of Hasley Canyon, including Sloan Canyon and Romero Canyon, are designated as rural residential with A2-2 zoning and Hillside Management. The existing CSD and the existing permitted uses for A2 land do not include the commercial uses that are listed in the new RL2 designation.

The One Valley One Vision document states, on Page 3.1 through 17, that the new RL2 designation is supportive of commercial uses such as grocery stores, restaurants, personal services, and retail sales. I am opposed to this land use designation change. RL2 should not allow new commercial retail uses to be added to the current list of uses. I am happy with the existing Hillside Management and N2 designation. Please do not support this change to RL2.

Thank you.

2.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MR. MALLICK: Good evening. My name is Karl Mallick.

I'm with CCL Engineering. Tonight I represent the Santa

Clarita Facilities Foundation.

The foundation works with the William S. Hart
School District and does a lot of their strategic planning
for school sites. We have two sites, one on Vasquez Canyon
Road, assessed as Parcel No. 3231-001-018, 019, and 029,
that's approximately 80 acres. The other site is on Sierra
Highway, just east of Vasquez Canyon Road. Those APN

1 numbers are 2813-18-02, 3, 4, and 9 and 2853-02, 01, and 07. 2. That's about 275 acres. And as I mentioned, the Facilities Foundation does 3 4 strategic planning for the William S. Hart School District. 5 These two sites, we've been working on for about three They have school components to them and residential 6 7 components to them. We're -- we just want to express our concern that we don't -- or the land use does not change 8 that will lower the density that we've been working with the 9 10 last few years in our planning. I don't believe it currently does with the 11 12 proposed change. There is existing commercial designation 13 on Sierra Highway, on the north side of Sierra Highway, 14 which is on the south side of our property. The new plan, 15 the OVOV, does not show commercial in that area. We would 16 like to request that that commercial remain on the plan 17 because we have planned for that in our strategic planning. 18 And we will submit these. That's it. Thank you. 19 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 20 MS. HAMILTON: Josephine Welch, Young Song, Virginia 21 Wolf. 22 MS. BUSEY: Hello? Can they hear me? Okay. 23 All right. I'm here for two properties in Soledad 24 One of them does not belong to Acton Town Council, Canyon. 25 so they don't have --

1 MR. BELLAMY: Give your name.

2.

MS. BUSEY: -- town council representation.

MR. BELLAMY: Miss -- Miss, give your name.

MS. BUSEY: Okay. My name is Cherylann Busey. I am representing White Rock Lake RV Park, and I am representing Young Song, who recently was denied a CUP for an RV park due to inactivity, although there were some serious problems with the park if the commission really remembers the case.

Anyway, we are concerned about the zone change to A2-2. Both properties hold dual zoning, R1 and A2. Under the R1 we were told by Bruce Durbin that there were many things that these property owners could do without -- without a CUP, up to and including camping. We were told once Mr. Song gave up his CUP he could continue to have camping as long as one RV didn't cross his bridge. And now he went back to Bruce Durbin and found out he has to close his gates to business, even camping, as of December.

So we're concerned that the A2-2 zone change, to A2-2 alone, will devalue the property, will bring them under regulatory code violations, and I'm not sure, but because most of Agua Dulce residents receive the County Journal -- did I speak -- say that right? Anyway, there is a property up there that is now receiving violations under zoning enforcement regulatory code with \$1000 a day fine, back to the first violation if they don't come under the regulatory

1 | code to meet that within 30 days.

2.

It makes these people feel like criminals, and they are private property owners. So when the regulatory codes get enforced and they come to these people's property with guns, which was done to Young Song, his wife had a nervous breakdown. She has not been able to come out of that house since that event.

So these people are private property owners. They have large properties, Young Song 50 acres, Joanne 12 acres. Yes, it's agricultural. Joanne came in 50 years ago. There were no trees. They planted the trees. I believe the Regional Planning Department sees all the greenery there and doesn't realize that the property owners, when they came in 50 years ago and there was nothing there, built these parks under zoning exceptions originally. And I spoke with -- I know her name --

MS. HAMILTON: Miss Hamilton.

MS. BUSEY: -- Miss Hamilton, at length concerning the history of the parks. Anyway, again, I'm speaking shortly for both owners. We feel the A2-2 zoning will bring us under regulatory code enforcements. Can anyone tell me -- and I appreciate Mitch Glaser taking quite a lot of time on the phone with me to really explain this plan to me. I realize you have a lot of work to do, and I've heard all the comments.

MR. BELLAMY: Can you wrap it up, please.

2.

MS. BUSEY: Yes. The wrap-up is: Can someone explain to me that after the CUPs that are existing come up for renewal, will the A2-2 zoning negatively affect their ability to get a new CUP on the property? I'm concerned that Josephine Welch owns 12 acres and under the RL20 zoning, one residence per 20 acres. What's going to happen to property owners with less than 20 acres that have houses?

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. BUSEY: Thank you.

MR. BELLAMY: Thank you.

MS. WOLF: Hello. My name is Virginia Wolf. I am property owner of ten acres at 30730 Sloan Canyon Road in Castaic. I am against the changes that are proposed for my neighborhood. The existing land use, the Castaic CSD have designated my neighborhood and all of the Hasley Canyon as rural without commercial uses such as listed in the new RL2 designation.

I am opposed to having RL2 being supportive of commercial uses. Commercial uses, grocery stores, restaurants, personal services, and retail stores do not belong in a rural residential neighborhood. Please do not support this. I bought these -- this property to live in a rural neighborhood, away from traffic and strip malls.

I am also in favor of downgrading Sloan Canyon

1 Road to a smaller street. Thank you for hearing me. 2. Thank you very much. MR. BELLAMY: MS. HAMILTON: Steven Patterson, Diana Larios, Joe 3 4 Cota. Joanne Swanson, Susan Rauch, James Rogers, and 5 there's one last person, Commissioner. Frederico Enriquez. Hi. Thank you for hearing us today. My 6 MS. LARIOS: 7 name is Diana Larios. I live at 30758 Sloan Canyon Road in Castaic, and I've been a resident for over 15 years. 8 been active on the original Master Plan committee and also 9 10 in helping to form the Castaic area Community Standards District and have worked with the County a lot with all of 11 12 that. 13 Castaic -- the Castaic community and the CSD has 14 very strongly stated that they wanted to keep Hasley Canyon, 15 Romero Canyon, and Sloan Canyon rural and equestrian. And I 16 believe that the RL2 land designation which is being assigned to some of the properties out there is not 17 18 consistent with our CSD. 19 I have a list of all the existing heavy 20 agricultural zone uses, and there are a lot of uses that are 21 already listed. But what I'm concerned with is that this 22 RL2 is actually adding the commercial, grocery, restaurants, retail. That is not rural. 23 24 Your agenda item states that the reason for this 25 zoning change and the land use change is for density

1 control. Well, adding commercial uses in a rural 2. neighborhood has nothing to do with density control. are changing the character of our community, and our CSD is 3 4 opposed to that, and our community is opposed to that. 5 So please realize that it's not all about density, that in this case it's about changing the character of our 6 7 community, and I'm very, very seriously opposed to that. I would also like to say that I am in favor of 8 downgrading Sloan Canyon Road to be a smaller street, again, 9 10 in favor of our CSD that says that we would like to keep 11 that neighborhood more rural and equestrian-like. We would 12 not like it to have larger, paved roads. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 15 My name's Susan Rauch, and my address is MS. RAUCH: 16 30470 Sloan Canyon Road, and I've lived there for 12 years. 17 And I have the same concerns that Diana has about the retail 18 I don't understand how that's cropping up in this new 19 redesignation and how it's contrary to what our CSD says. 20 It almost seems like it's thrown in there willy-nilly. I 21 mean, it just seems out of place. 22 I mean, are you seriously saying that grocery 23 stores can go in our rural neighborhoods? I mean, that's 24 what it looks -- I mean, that's what it says. So I really

do hope you'll take the time to look at that and toss it out

25

1 or have it coincide more specifically with our CSD. 2. And on the matter of Sloan Canyon Road, there's -- Sloan Canyon Road is a dirt road now, and the 3 4 idea of it being anything other in the future, as a small 5 country road, is out of character too and it seems to me wouldn't benefit anyone except maybe perhaps some developers 6 7 who might get a foothold into the area. And that's it. Thank you. 8 9 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much. 10 Yes, sir. 11 MR. COON: Hi, my name is Kevin Coon. I've lived in 12 Santa Clarita since 1972. I own two pieces of property. 13 One is a 15-acre parcel at 18120 Texas Canyon Road, and 14 another piece, a quarter acre, in Old Forest Park at 16969 15 Forest. 16 I purchased both pieces of property with the --17 with the zoning and use, specifically picked out those 18 pieces of property for that. With your proposed changes, it 19 negates everything that I purchased my properties for. 20 Texas Canyon property, I've only owned for five or six 21 But the reason I bought that was for the zoning and years. 22 for the use. I strongly oppose any changes to the existing 23 zoning. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you very much.

MS. HAMILTON: I believe that completes the speakers, 1 2. commissioners. 3 MR. BELLAMY: Okay. Continuation of reports. MS. LEMKE: Nothing from County Counsel. 4 5 MR. BELLAMY: Commission? MR. MODUGNO: Mr. Chairman, let me ask Mr. Glaser a 6 7 couple questions. The recommendation you have this evening as to 8 continue this to give you an opportunity of coming back with 9 10 findings and Final EIR, at least one speaker had indicated that the close-off date for comments is November the 2nd. 11 12 Is that accurate or not? 13 MR. GLASER: Yes. Yes, that's correct. It is a 60-day 14 comment period. It commenced on September 4, 2009. 15 closes on November 2, 2009. 16 MR. MODUGNO: The extent to which we keep this -- keep 17 hearings open, while that official date closes off, there's 18 still opportunity for people to submit materials. There's 19 opportunity for people to come and speak at any continued 20 public hearings. As this is going on through processing, 21 there's opportunities for people to continue to speak and 22 comment up until the point in time through the Board of 23 Supervisors hearing; is that correct? 24 MR. GLASER: Yes. Let me clarify what the 60-day 25 review period is. It is specific to the Draft Environmental

1 Impact Report. The 60 days is for comments specific to the 2. Draft Environmental Impact Report. Otherwise, comments on the plan in general and the land use changes, on the zoning 3 4 changes, you are correct. The comments on that are open 5 until the day that the Board of Supervisors adopts it. MR. MODUGNO: So the recommendation this evening is to 6 7 continue this hearing. We would anticipate that there would be more hearings than one? 8 MR. GLASER: Yes. What the recommendation is, that the 10 close of the EIR comment period, again, would be in 11 November. We would have Impact Sciences, who has prepared 12 the EIR, respond to all comments and prepare a final EIR. What staff is envisioning is that we would return to you 13 14 with that final EIR with all of the responses to comments in 15 addition with any and all changes to the draft plan that you 16 would like us to make pursuant to the testimony today. 17 And at that point, we would come to you with a 18 resolution to approve the plan and recommend that it be 19 forwarded to the Board of Supervisors. The Board of 20 Supervisors then would have at least one hearing before 21 adopting or not adopting the document. 22 MR. MODUGNO: All right. The concern that I --23 MS. LEMKE: Commissioner, can I --24 MR. MODUGNO: -- I'm sorry. 25 MS. LEMKE: -- can I add a clarification on -- on the

environmental report is you're correct. While there's an official comment period, you know, we can't dictate what people testify to at hearings, and if they want to continue to comment on the environmental impacts, that's open through the public hearing process.

MR. MODUGNO: Okay. So the mere fact --

MS. LEMKE: It's just that we don't have to provide official comments in the Final Environmental Impact Report.

MR. MODUGNO: Okay. So the mere fact of setting off that comment period as a date that is set for the consultant then to wrap up some of their work, but it still opens up discussion, the ability of anyone to add any comments until, as they sort of say, the fat lady sings.

MS. LEMKE: That's correct.

2.

MR. MODUGNO: Okay. One of the concerns that I have, and I think echoing most of the comments that we had this evening, while very few were looking at the overall sort of macro issue of One Valley One Vision, which has been the intent, that the County has been working on for at least three years, the City has been working on in parallel with that, I think the reaction that has occurred is people are saying: How is this impacting me personally? How is this affecting my piece of property?

Many of those piece of properties have had in the past open cases which have been submitted, may have even

2.

gone through some approval process, and action was never taken, and so those have become closed cases. In some cases, there is current zoning on those properties which has changed over the years and there's now some proposals, but that still doesn't impact what application someone may come in and take.

I think I'd like to have greater clarity, both from us as a commission and for the property owners, in terms of what that processing will be for them individually as they want to come forward.

For example, we have long said that -- that the achievable point is we're going to look sort of around a midpoint range for development. There -- that means if somebody's got zoning that could have 1 to 20 houses on a parcel of land, the desired impact may be somewhere around 10. Without doing overlays of hillside, dealing with oak trees, circulation, set all of those which will impact where that ultimate recommendation and number's going to be.

We have worked -- and I know the City of Santa

Clarita has worked as well -- that the more rural an area
is, the less circulation that may be applicable, the less
access to infrastructure. The further away from community
services, the further away from parks, the further away from
library, et cetera, would tend to get far more towards a
rural end, which would indicate the lower density on that.

2.

As one gets closer to infrastructure, or if a large developer is prepared to move forward and help build this community out of the infrastructure deficit by bringing adequate roads, by perhaps funding libraries nearby, funding beyond just some land dedication for schools, but really going above and beyond the requirements, that may warrant and allow them to get to a higher density.

So the mere fact of putting and saying, gee, your density was two house per acre that we're changing to five houses, or five houses changing to two, never guaranteed that anyone could walk in and be given that. It was merely a starting point and a sort of a planning purpose point.

But again, we're looking at all those aspects.

In my mind, if somebody had a higher density before and bought property on that, held that for years on that basis, and then stepped forward and we have said now we're sort of moving that number down, well, I, as being somewhat, hopefully, reasonable would look at that aspect of it and might be leaning more towards going back to what they might have had versus what we're trying to achieve.

Again, in terms of -- in terms of looking at that aspect of it -- but I'd have to also be concerned what's around it, what infrastructure is nearby. Clearly, we will never get to that higher point of numbers if the State does not address the water problems, which is -- which is really

2.

a legislative issue at the moment. We're out there trying to protect a small endangered fish, and so a federal judge says you can't get the water. And the State Legislature, rather than dealing with what is a massively important issue of the State, decides to sort of pass it off and adjourn.

We have, for years, attempted to get CalTrans in front of us to speak and say we have a serious problem of transportation in and out of this valley, we have a serious problem of transportation through this valley. What goes along the I-5 Corridor, and while there's construction work now, much of that construction work is looking to -- to get us out of the problems we have and -- or anticipating the added units that are so far approved for -- for Newhall Ranch.

And so my concern, echoing, I think, back what we've heard this evening, is individuals want some assurance that we're neither taking from them or, those that want lower densities, that we're not suddenly creating some madhouse building opportunity in their neighborhood. So that we're neither adding wealth nor subtracting wealth, nor taking or adding to rights. And I think that's how, as we go through this, individual cases, individual applications that come through, what type of treatment should individuals expect to hear?

We've had some people testify this evening, and I

2.

think our fellow -- my fellow commissioners will reflect upon, very recent cases where we have taken action. And we have taken action, and we're hearing again somebody coming back and saying, gee, I still didn't like what your decision was. Their opportunity was to move forward to the Board of Supervisors on appeal. Their opportunity beyond that is, I suppose, to file a lawsuit. We have not -- we've done reasonable planning, looking at what we think is in the best interests of the county at large and taking into -- to sort of all of our guidelines and pieces.

So again, I think as we come back, I'd like to have some understanding of the type of treatment that will be done in terms of what are the expectations of individuals that sort of lays out the ground rules. It was a good suggestion somebody had in terms of having overlay, what was the old zoning, what's the new zoning on top. And then how we move forward from that.

So I really appreciate the testimony we've had this evening. I think it's been constructive. Two of our commissioners were not here this evening. For them to be able to take action at our next meeting, they're going to have to get themselves up to speed, which means review everything that has been said.

I think that acoustics in this room were horrible.

While -- while the speakers got sent out towards your

2.

direction in terms of the public, what echoed back to the three of us, I would say -- we're all getting a little bit older, but we're not deaf. I think I picked up probably no more than 25 percent of what was said.

We nodded. We looked as if we heard it. I don't know what the other two commissioners heard. Many of you, in terms of once you got to the microphone, went very quickly. The tone of your voice may have destroyed the words that were being said. I did not hear everything. I think I got the gist of the discussion.

Much of the -- many of the comments were repetitive. It was a lot of the stuff from Sloan Canyon. I think we got the essence from that community. But this was not a good room, although it is a County-owned facility, so it didn't cost the County anything to have it. Perhaps if we have another meeting in the community, there might be a better room.

We clearly can hear better at our hearing room in Los Angeles, although that does have a requirement that everyone who's out there has got to come down to downtown.

Everyone who's up here, other than myself -- who lives in Santa Clarita -- has got to come here.

I've got five minutes to get home so that's convenient for me. It's not for the commissioner to my left, who lives almost on the Orange County line. So he

2.

comes a great distance. The commissioner to my right comes more from Downtown Los Angeles. All the staff has made a terrific effort of coming out and really trying to get the input from this community. So when we normally have our hearings in Los Angeles on Wednesday mornings, we do thank everyone from -- who makes a long drive to come and see us.

And I'm not sure even the tapes from this evening are going to be clear in picking up what everyone said. So if you did not have a written comment, if you have something very strong that you want to make sure that we have and you only verbally spoke this evening, I would encourage you to go home, either type up what you had and mail it in to Mr. Glaser, send him an e-mail.

If it gets sent to Mike Antonovich, it gets sent to us. If it gets sent to Paul Novak, it gets to us. If it gets sent to Rosalind Wayman, it gets sent to us. So if it's a field deputy for the supervisor, if it's a member of staff, I think it's easier if it comes in to Mr. Glaser because he's coordinating all that. But if it comes in, that will then be part of the permanent record. None of it's going to get lost.

But again, I apologize that we couldn't pick up everything. It's -- I think it's more a matter of the room. There's too many hard surfaces here. We couldn't suddenly run and put carpet and put -- maybe if we wear a bunch of

1 blankets the next time to sort of bounce the sound. 2. and I don't know how much of my mumbling you've picked up either. 3 4 I don't know if there's any other comments, 5 commissioners. MR. BELLAMY: Do we have a motion? 6 7 MR. REW: Mr. Chairman, due to the lateness of the hour 8 and the journey that I have to make, I'm here on a visa so I have to get home. But I agree with Commissioner Modugno. 9 I've always preached to people about you go to 10 11 Las Vegas and you put some money out there for the blackjack 12 dealer, and you look at it as, hey, you know, sure, it's a 13 gamble, but it's an investment. Or you go to Santa Anita, 14 and you pick out a horse and you say, yeah, it's a gamble, 15 but it's an investment because I can get some return on my 16 money. Or you purchase a stock and you say I hope that 17 stock goes up because this is really an investment, but if 18 it goes down, you know, it was a gamble. 19 But no one feels the same way about real estate. 20 You know, they -- they always expect that real estate, 21 they're going to make a bundle. And sometimes things 22 change, and they have to look at it as also a gamble. 23 So I look forward to our next hearings. I'm going to ask for a copy of the transcript because you don't speak 24

too fast, I just listen too slowly. So I'm going to ask for

25

a copy of the transcript and maybe even a copy of the tapes 1 if they're clearer due to the recording. But thank you for 2. all coming. 3 4 MR. BELLAMY: Thank you. 5 MR. MODUGNO: Mr. Glaser, when would you recommend -or Miss Hamilton, is there a date certain for continuance of 6 7 this case? 8 MR. GLASER: Commissioners, what we would anticipate in 9 terms of if the comment period were to cease in November as 10 is scheduled for the Draft EIR, we were anticipating that the Final EIR would be available after the first of the 11 year. So again, though, depending on the amount of comments 12 13 that are received, especially in the next month, we weren't 14 really certain, which is why we were requesting to take it 15 off calendar. However, if the Commission would like to set 16 a date, we would probably suggest the planning day in 17 January at the earliest. 18 MR. MODUGNO: All right. So your stronger suggestion 19 is we just take it off -- we don't set a date at this point 20 and allow comment period to remain. MR. GLASER: Yes. And we can ensure that everyone who 21 22 has signed up on our contact list and everyone who has 23 spoken here tonight can receive notification of the next 24 hearing date once it's scheduled. 25 MR. MODUGNO: Your recommended motion also has to

return with a resolution to the Board of Supervisors. 1 don't think that that's wise at this point. 2. I'd like to really have another hearing first, or is that the point that 3 we have to have that? 4 5 MR. BELLAMY: She's not on. MR. MODUGNO: All right. 6 7 MR. BELLAMY: Your mic wasn't on. 8 MS. LEMKE: Oh, I'm sorry. The advantage of continuing the hearing to a date certain is that we do that here 9 10 tonight and announce the date, then we don't have to 11 renotice that hearing. This will be sufficient of it. 12 mean, obviously, if the Planning Department decides it wants 13 to, you know, give advertising notice, it can do that. 14 if we can set it to a date certain, you don't have to 15 renotice the hearing. If you take it off calendar, when we 16 have it come back, we'll have to renotice the hearing. 17 MR. MODUGNO: All right. The recommended motion we had 18 in our packet did not have a date. So it's -- is that then 19 we could determine a date this evening, not have to 20 renotice, and then return, but we could -- I just feel a little uncomfortable that we only -- we'd lay out with one 21 22 meeting versus having a meeting and then another meeting. 23 So the recommended motion has returning with a resolution to the Board of Supervisors. Do we feel we would 24 25 have sufficient information at that point, or is that just

1 that then becomes part and parcel with the whole packet that 2. rolls along with the continued hearings? MS. LEMKE: I can't answer that question, Commissioner. 3 4 I think that's up to you to determine whether or not you 5 think the responses that you may get based on the comments 6 tonight --7 MR. MODUGNO: Okay. 8 MS. LEMKE: -- or whether or not you'd have enough information to make a recommendation at the next hearing. 9 10 MR. MODUGNO: Okay. Because I go back to the first --11 the first speakers -- or actually, sorry, the City of Santa Clarita was the first speaker -- but the two representatives 12 13 from the Castaic Town Council. We did not hear from other 14 town councils who are affected from this -- or by this. didn't hear from West Valley. We didn't hear from the 15 people at the other side, over in Agua Dulce sort of area. 16 17 I think it's important that we have an entire 18 community involved in this process, not just selected ones. 19 So I would suggest we have at least, in my mind, at minimum 20 two more hearings, in which I'd like the next one sort of 21 address the discussion this evening. We'll take a look at 22 that Final EIR. 23 MR. GLASER: Commissioner, if Staff could make a suggestion, there was at least one individual who had 24

requested an extension of the 60-day comment period. One

25

2.

possibility that staff would like you to consider would be to extend that comment period for an additional 30 days. So that would be a 90-day comment period. And to hold your second hearing with -- before that 90-day period closes so that there's still an opportunity to testify on the Final EIR and -- it would be a Draft EIR, excuse me -- and it would be a little bit earlier than what we were discussing.

We could contact the other town councils, and I think what I'm hearing is that in terms of the requests that were made today, I think the commissioners would like a report from staff on each request that was made, trying to describe maybe the rationale behind each recommendation of staff, so that we could come back to you with that before the end of the year, when the comment period is still open and, you know, continue this discussion and decide how to move forward at that time.

MR. MODUGNO: That would then, if we left the -- if we allowed the comment period then to go 90 days versus 60, if it's currently scheduled to expire November 2nd, then that would suggest extending it to December the 2nd, having a hearing prior to that or after?

MR. GLASER: Either immediately prior or immediately afterwards, so either mid November before the Thanksgiving holiday or shortly thereafter before the Christmas holiday.

MR. MODUGNO: Okay. Then I would like us to look at a

1 date certain to do that. And then for the planning date in January, which might be the next date, I'm going to be out 2. of the country. So I'd prefer then to look and carry that 3 out at least until some February time period in terms of 4 5 another hearing. And is that feasible within the schedule in terms of your time? 7 MR. GLASER: Yes. I believe if we were to come back to you at that time, we could discuss the issues, see what 8 issues remain, and then schedule another hearing. And at 10 that time, hopefully, the commission would be comfortable 11 with staff returning with a resolution to the Board of 12 Supervisors. 13 MR. MODUGNO: Okay. I seem Miss Lemke and Miss 14 Hamilton sort of looking at dates to come up with a date 15 certain. 16 MS. HAMILTON: We might have a planning day available 17 on November 23rd, which is a Monday. 18 MS. LEMKE: Although it looks like there's -- there's a 19 lot of current planning projects on. 20 MS. HAMILTON: There's only two cases on the 23rd, so 21 that might work out. 22 MS. LEMKE: And that's the Monday before -- that's your 23 Monday hearing before Thanksgiving. 24 MR. MODUGNO: Okay. And that would be to do a lot of

these housekeeping elements of this. Not necessarily to --

25

```
to really get a full blown -- if people want to come and
1
 2.
     testify, they may, but let's try and get some of these
 3
     issues and get that back out to the community.
 4
               So I'll make a motion then, Mr. Chairman, that we
 5
     continue the public hearing on Project R200701226 to
     November 23rd.
 6
 7
          MS. HAMILTON: Twenty-third.
          MR. MODUGNO: And staff to return -- I guess they have
 8
     to return to us. And then also to continue the comment
 9
10
     period on the Draft EIR for an additional 30 days to
11
     December the 2nd, 2009.
12
          MS. LEMKE: And that meeting will be held at your
13
     regular commission hearing room?
14
          MR. MODUGNO: I'm sorry.
15
          MS. LEMKE: And the meeting will be at your regular --
                              The meeting will be held in our
16
          MR. MODUGNO: Yes.
17
     commission hearing room downtown.
18
          MR. REW: Second.
          MR. BELLAMY: Any further discussion?
19
20
               All in favor?
21
          MR. MODUGNO: Aye.
22
          MR. REW: Aye.
23
          MR. BELLAMY: Aye.
24
               Okay. We'll move on to County Counsel.
25
          MS. LEMKE: Nothing further.
```

## CASTAIC SPORTS COMPLEX October 5, 2009

```
1
          MR. BELLAMY: Director?
 2
          MS. HAMILTON: Nothing to report.
          MR. BELLAMY: Okay. Public comment pursuant to
 3
     Government Code? Seeing none, we're adjourned until
4
5
    Wednesday, October the 14th, Downtown L.A.
6
          (Whereupon, at 9:24 p.m., the meeting adjourned.)
7
                                 -000-
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, LEE MILLER, an Electronic Reporter, do hereby
4	certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that the
5	foregoing Regional Planning Commission meeting was digitally
6	recorded; that it was thereafter transcribed into
7	typewriting by me.
8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
9	attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in any
10	way interested in outcome of said meeting.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
12	this 2nd day of November, 2009.
13	
14	
15	
16	Lee Miller, AAERT No. D-417
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

WORD INDEX	<b>1972</b> 90: <i>12</i>	<b>29565</b> 72:21	14	<b>AB</b> 8:17 15:13
	<b>1984</b> 7:8 81: <i>11</i>	74:11	<b>500</b> 34:24	22:10, 11
< 0 >	<b>1987</b> 7:9 33:15	<b>29677</b> 68:19	<b>50000</b> 44:22	abandon 61:8,
<b>00</b> 65: <i>1</i>	<b>1990</b> 7:10 8:3	<b>29750</b> 57:23	<b>500000</b> 35: <i>11</i> ,	10
<b>001</b> 83:23	<b>1990s</b> 46:24	<b>2nd</b> 52:3 91:11	18 52:14	ability 35:9
<b>002</b> 38:13, 21	<b>1991</b> 7:11	104: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>	<b>51644</b> 65:20	56:7 73:7
<b>003</b> 39: <i>4</i>	<b>1995</b> 39: <i>17</i>	106:11 108:12	<b>540</b> 52:15	82:13 87:5
<b>01</b> 84: <i>1</i>	<b>1998</b> 39: <i>17</i>		<b>57</b> 37:1	93:12
<b>018</b> 83:23	<b>1999</b> 47:19	< 3 >	<b>58</b> 36:25	<b>able</b> 13:25
<b>019</b> 83:23	58:3 65:2 <i>0</i>	<b>3</b> 25:16, 21	<b>5th</b> 3:5	15:20 22: <i>4</i>
<b>02</b> 84:1, 1	_	56:15 84:1	_	34:2 48:15
<b>029</b> 83:23	<2>	<b>3.1</b> 83: <i>8</i>	< 6 >	56:15, 20 61:13
<b>07</b> 84: <i>1</i>	<b>2</b> 2:3 13: <i>16</i>	<b>30</b> 3:2 24:18	<b>6</b> 3:2	66:25 86:6
_	49:3, 5 65:18,	34:24 39:20	<b>60</b> 54:19 81:14	97:21
<1>	19 66:11 68:11	40:13 45:12	91:13, 24 92:1	absolutely 53:11
<b>1</b> 13: <i>15</i> 54:9	71:4 79:15	71:18 86:1	103:25 104:18	61:24 73:15
58:14 94:14	81:4 83:3	104:2 106:10	<b>668.7</b> 58:9	<b>abuts</b> 50:15
<b>1.3</b> 27:6, 8	85:10, 18, 19	<b>300</b> 43:18	_	accept 81:6
<b>10</b> 10:9 79:16	86:20 87:4	<b>3000</b> 56:9	<7>	acceptable 81:6
94:16	91: <i>15</i>	<b>30000</b> 35:21	<b>70000</b> 10:20	accepted 81:12
<b>100</b> 34: 18, 24	<b>20</b> 10:10 38:13,	<b>30470</b> 89:16	<b>7343</b> 62:13	access 28:11,
45: <i>4</i> , <i>14</i> 75:20	18 48:17 71:20	<b>30701</b> 80:22	<b>75</b> 35:20 50:2	13, 19, 21 38:14
<b>1000</b> 34: <i>19</i>	87:7, 8 94:1 <i>4</i>	<b>30730</b> 82:21	<b>75000</b> 10:20	50:25 59:22
42:9 78:14	<b>2000</b> 52: <i>12</i>	87:13	<b>7520</b> 48:6	60:10 61:3
85:24	68:10	<b>30758</b> 88:7	<b>7601</b> 55: <i>5</i>	75:5, 8 94:22
<b>10000</b> 74:21	<b>20000</b> 72:14	<b>30th</b> 19:6	_	accident 16:1
<b>100000</b> 27:6	<b>2009</b> 1:8 3:2, 5	<b>32</b> 8:17 15:13	<8>	28:17 75:7
<b>115</b> 58: <i>13</i>	40:23, 23 91: <i>14</i> ,	22:10, 11	<b>80</b> 57:15 63:6	accommodate
<b>119588</b> 10: <i>5</i>	15 106:11	<b>3214020046</b> 49: <i>1</i>	83:24	8:13 36:9
<b>12</b> 62: <i>16</i> 86: <i>9</i>	108:12	<b>3231</b> 83:23	<b>80000</b> 10: <i>4</i>	accounts 11:8
87:6 89:16	<b>208000</b> 10:21	<b>33</b> 48:25 49:8	35:20	accurate 91:12
<b>120</b> 57:5 80:1	<b>210</b> 59:25	<b>35</b> 37:25 38:4	<b>85</b> 57:7	achievable 94:12
<b>1222</b> 58:20	<b>23</b> 25:22	<b>37</b> 39:6	<b>86000</b> 74: <i>17</i>	achieve 38:25
<b>1250</b> 79:8	<b>23001</b> 46: <i>15</i>	<b>372</b> 22:10		95:20
<b>129000</b> 10:23	<b>233000</b> 10:21	<b>375</b> 8:21 12:25	<9>	acknowledge
<b>12th</b> 74:3	<b>23681</b> 75: <i>14</i>	22:10, 10, 11, 23	<b>9</b> 64:3 65:1	18:3 20:18
<b>13</b> 27:3	<b>23rd</b> 105: <i>17</i> , <i>20</i>	41:10	84:1 107:6	21:3 79:11
<b>132</b> 54: <i>17</i>	106:6	<b>38</b> 37:25 38:4	<b>90</b> 104:3, <i>4</i> , 18	acknowledging
<b>1337</b> 58: <i>18</i>	<b>24</b> 107:6		<b>90405</b> 49: <i>18</i>	20:3
<b>140</b> 68: <i>8</i>	<b>25</b> 43:20 44:12	<4>	<b>91351</b> 59:7	acoustics 3:25
<b>145</b> 49:21	74:12 80:23	<b>4</b> 2:4 84:1	<b>91361</b> 79:9	97:24
<b>14th</b> 19:5 107:5	81:13 98:4	91: <i>14</i>	<b>91384</b> 1: <i>10</i>	acre 25:23, 24
<b>15</b> 48: <i>17</i> 80: <i>6</i>	<b>250</b> 45:7 50:17	<b>40</b> 25:22 27:3, 7	<b>93</b> 52:11 53:14	27:7, 25 28:1
88:8 90:13	<b>252000</b> 10: <i>4</i>	<b>400</b> 45:2	<b>93534</b> 70: <i>13</i>	38:18, 19, 20, 21
<b>150</b> 56: <i>14</i>	<b>25241</b> 65: <i>14</i>	<b>401</b> 26: <i>10</i>	<b>95</b> 49:25	39:2 44:25
<b>15000</b> 41:25	<b>25643</b> 40:20	<b>405</b> 60:3	<b>98300</b> 10:22	45:1, 2, 10, 12,
42:6	<b>26</b> 82:6	<b>417</b> 108: <i>16</i>	<b>9th</b> 19: <i>4</i>	13, 14 46:17
<b>16969</b> 90: <i>14</i>	<b>26520</b> 81: <i>19</i>	<b>44508</b> 70:13		47:6 54:12, 14
<b>17</b> 38:14, 20, 21	<b>270000</b> 52:12	<b>47574</b> 50: <i>1</i>	< A >	58:14, 18 65:22
39:2 40:22 83:8	<b>275</b> 84:2	_	<b>A1</b> 49:3, 5	68:11, 15, 15
<b>17300</b> 56: <i>10</i>	<b>28</b> 40:23	<5>	68:10, 11	72:14, 14 80:25,
<b>17th</b> 19: <i>5</i>	<b>2804</b> 49: <i>17</i>	<b>5</b> 1:8 2:5 3:2,	<b>A2</b> 26:4 47:23,	25 90:13, 14
<b>18</b> 39:20 45:12	<b>2813</b> 84: <i>1</i>	19 28:14, 16	24 54:9 58:13	95:9
64:3 71:25 84:1	2813017003	60:2 75:6, 20	65:18, 21 66:9,	acres 13:20
<b>180</b> 79:9	38:13	76:13, 20, 25	11 79:15, 16	14:7, 8 25:22
<b>1800s</b> 5: <i>18</i>	<b>28456</b> 29:5	77:4 79:11	80: <i>15</i> 81: <i>4</i>	27:3, 7 28:2
42:15	<b>2853</b> 84: <i>1</i>	96:10	83:3, 4 85:10,	34:18, 18, 19
<b>18120</b> 90: <i>13</i>	<b>28755</b> 82:22	<b>5.2</b> 47:6 58:15	10, 18, 19 86:20	37:25 38:4, 13,
<b>1930s</b> 41: <i>18</i>	<b>29</b> 50: <i>4</i>	<b>50</b> 37:15 39:18	87: <i>4</i>	19, 20 39:6
<b>19425</b> 59: <i>6</i>	<b>29527</b> 68:7	50:21 86:9, 10,	<b>AAERT</b> 108: <i>16</i>	43:20 44:6
	<b>29546</b> 54:7			45: <i>15</i> 47:6

10.21 50.17 21
49:21 50:17, 21 54:12 57:5, 11,
11, 12, 15 58:9,
15 62:16 63:6
68: <i>8</i> , <i>12</i> 71: <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> ,
7, 17, 18, 19, 20
74:17 79:9
80:1, 24 81:21
83:24 84:2
86: <i>9</i> , <i>9</i> 87: <i>6</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>13</i>
acted 34:11
37:7
<b>ACTING</b> 2:8
action 4:19
8:21 34:7, 9
35:22 94:1
97:2, 3, 21
active 16:19
30:5, 6 32:4
49:25 88:9
activities 8:19
16:13, 14 Acton 55:5, 6 84:24
ACION 55.5, 6
Adams 48:14
add 15:4 19:11
35:19 36:5
92:25 93:12
added 83:12
96:13
adding 22:16
72:11 88:22
89:1 96:20, 21 addition 17:17
10.1 77.1 02.15
18:4 77:4 92:15  additional 58:20 61:2 69:6, 20
61:2 60:6 20
104:2 106:10
address 8:18
16:7 17:9
22:18, 22 29:18
33:24 43:15
48:23 49:17
59:6 62:14
65:13 68:7 79:8 89:15
95:25 103:21
addressed 21:9
32:11, 12, 12
addressing 22:7
adequate 8:13
10:6 70:3 76:23 77:7 95:4
l .
adjacent 66:10
adjoining 38:6,
16
adjourn 96:5 adjourned 107:4,
<b>adjourned</b> 107:4,
adjust 8:22
aujusi 0.22

```
administrators
43:23
admit 77:23
adopted 7:8, 12
9:4 13:6 16:10
adopting 92:21,
adopts 92:5
advantage 102:8
adversity 81:25
advertising
102:13
advisory 9:10,
12 65:16 66:6
advocacy 9:11
advocate 82:11
Advocates 66:21
affect 59:17
81:1, 4 87:4
afford 78:11
Age 42:1, 15
43:7
agencies 12:4
25:7, 8 67:2
Agency 9:7
agenda 3:11, 19
19:18 31:10
88:24
ago 17:1 25:25
30:16 31:11
41:25 42:6, 9
57:7 72:22
74:13 75:3
78:12 86:10, 14
agree 36:6
100:9
agricultural 49:5
66:3, 10 86:10
88:20
agriculture 81:7
Agua 5:9 6:13
15:20 48:8
85:21 103:16
ahead 22:21
24:21 34:4, 6
37:2
air 15:11 52:18
60:22 77:6
airplanes 16:6
alike 47:1
Allan 57:1 59:6
Allegiance 3:6,
10
allow 36:14
39:3 83:11
95:7 101:20
allowance 29:17
allowed 13:19
27:3 29:22
30:2, 4 58:17,
```

```
20, 24 59:11
104:18
allowing 39:10
47:2 48:4 66:14
alternative 14:18
amazed 41:16
amber 24:18
Amendment
13:11
America 41:25
55:4
American 73:6
amount 8:25
22:15 30:23
52:17 72:12
101:12
amounts 60:4
analysis 17:14
analyzed 8:6
ANGELES 1:3
21:12 27:19
32:9 36:1 43:9
46:24 49:22
51:4, 13 55:5
59:18, 22 69:17
82:7, 7 98:19
99:2, 5
Anita 100:13
annexation 4:17
announce
102:10
answer 13:25
23:21 43:25
44:1 103:3
Antelope 8:11
69:9, 12, 14
anticipate 10:10
11:23 15:22
92:7 101:8
anticipating
96:12 101:10
antigrowth 76:1
Antonovich
40:22 47:9
66:7 99:14
Antonovich's
79:12
anybody 21:25
35:3 41:17
anybody's 33:18
anyway 80:5
85:9, 22 86:19
anyways 72:13,
15
apartment 64:5
APN 83:25
apologize 30:2
36:10 99:22
apparent 7:20
appeal 44:17, 20
97:6
```

```
APPEARANCES
2:1
appears 55:21
applicable 94:21
application 94:5
applications
96:22
applied 47:20
appreciate
40:17 49:9
50:5 62:8 65:7
86:22 97:18
appreciated 18:9
approach 18:25
appropriate
12:16
approval 3:11,
12 47:13, 18
65:22 94:1
approve 48:11,
12 53:23 92:18
approved 11:6,
10 35:21 45:1,
16 47:2, 5 51:7,
8 56:13 58:8,
13, 21 65:20
68:12 75:3
96:13
approximately
10:4, 22 17:1
37:25 38:4
49:21 50:1, 21
79:9 80:1 83:24
approximating
60:8
April 40:23
arbitrarily 44:16
arbitrary 44:20
45:19 71:15
Area 3:22 4:6
5:7, 8 7:7, 8, 10,
24 8:2 9:10
11:13, 14 21:19
22:22 23:5
25:15, 16, 20
27:13, 24 28:2
29:1 39:20, 24
40:1, 4, 9 44:5
46:8 50:18, 23
51:1, 16 53:8
57:18 58:8, 9,
11, 12, 12 59:9,
18, 24 63:17
64:1, 9 65:5
66:1 67:3
68:17 69:17
70:5, 14 72:4, 7
74:18 77:10, 19,
25 79:18 80:7
82:1, 15 84:15
```

88:10 90:7 94:20 103:16 areas 6:12 7:19 12:19 13:1, 14, 19 14:12 15:17 21:14, 15 22:20 38:23 44:11 52:4 53:9, 10 55:23 67:15 71:14 area's 47:17 arena 74:15 **asked** 37:6 45:7 73:11, 17 79:23 asking 4:18 18:23, 24 52:2 68:14 **aspect** 95:18, 22 aspects 12:2 95:13 assembled 18:14 assessed 83:23 assigned 88:17 **Associates** 18:18 Association 8:8 65:16 **assume** 10:16 27:5 assurance 96:16 atmosphere 22:16 47:16 attachments 40:24 attempted 96:6 attend 44:2 attorney 108:9 audience 24:3 August 74:3 **Austin** 18:*17* authority 43:25 45:20 authorized 29:20 automobile 14:18 automobiles 41:15 69:23 availability 49:19 available 12:7 17:7 101:*11* 105:*16* **Avenue** 63:24 70:13 77:19, 25 average 42:9, 17 avocado 45:8 aware 41:24 **awful** 35:13 **Aye** 106:21, 22, 23

<b></b>
<b>back</b> 7:16 12:8 17:13 19:1
17:13 19:1
23:3 28:3 31: <i>4</i>
32:1 35:23
00.7 44.47 00
36:7 41: <i>17</i> , <i>20</i> ,
23 73:14, 19, 21
74:3 76:6
85: <i>16</i> , <i>24</i> 91:9
95: <i>19</i> 96: <i>15</i>
97: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i> 98: <i>1</i>
102:16 103:10
104: <i>1</i> 3 105: <i>7</i>
106:3
background
16:21
<b>bad</b> 67:11 69:5
Baker 49:23
balance 10:6
21:24 22:5
21.24 22.3
79:18, 19
balanced 32:25
<b>Ball</b> 43:12, 13,
14, 15 45:23
1
54:13
<b>barely</b> 78:22
Baringer 72:21
74:11
<b>base</b> 21: <i>16</i>
52: <i>6</i> , <i>10</i> 67:24
Based 10:14
13:21, 21 26:13
34:8 41: <i>4</i>
40:04 FO:44
49:24 52:14
55: <i>13</i> 60: <i>15</i>
103: <i>5</i>
basically 74:2
basis 7:14
17: <i>18</i> 33:8
95:16
Baumgarten
43: <i>12</i>
Baumgartner
43:13
beautiful 40:1
began 5:18
. •
beginning 5:17
begins 7:21
behalf 4:8
29:12 39:13, 13
74: <i>4</i>
<b>believe</b> 14:10
19:13, 13 20:12
24:10 52:11 63:13 68:14 74:19 75:9
63:13 68:14
74.10 75.0
14.19 15.9
78:19 79:1, 1 <i>4</i> ,
17 84:11 86:11
88: <i>16</i> 91: <i>1</i>
105:7
103.7

```
BELLAMY 2:3
3:3, 11, 14, 16,
18, 25 19:17, 21
23:24 24:6, 13,
15, 17 25:5, 10,
13 29:4 37:14,
17, 23 38:9, 11
39:8 40:18
42:20, 23, 25
43:3, 11 45:22,
24 46:12 48:1,
20 49:15 51:19
54:2, 5, 24 55:2,
25 56:25 57:20
59:4 61:12, 14
62:4, 7 63:20
64:22 66:23
68:5 70:9
72:19 74:9
75:12 77:17
79:4 80:20
81:18 82:17
83:16 84:19
85:1, 3 87:1, 9,
11 88:2 89:14
90:9, 25 91:3, 5
100:6 101:4
102:5, 7 106:19,
23 107:1, 3
belong 84:24
87:22
Benedict 60:5
beneficial 72:16
benefit 20:17
28:5 51:17
72:11 90:6
benefits 71:8
Beneviste 19:4
best 18:13
24:19 43:9 97:8
better 4:2, 6
14:11 20:8
27:4 98:17, 18
beyond 22:3
58:20 63:4
95:5, 6 97:6
big 67:21 75:24
biggest 26:4
bike 15:3
bikeways 14:16
bill 8:18 41:10
billion 82:6
bit 4:2 7:4
34:4, 6 36:13
75:17 98:2
104:7
blackjack 100:11
blanket 82:15
blankets 100:1
blessed 18:13
```

```
blown 106:1
blue 70:19
Board 17:19
24:1, 7 33:1
34:8 35:12
46:25 47:10, 19
53:15 65:22
91:22 92:5, 19,
19 97:5 102:1,
24 105:11
bodies 34:10
36:11, 12
body 29:20, 24,
24 32:13 34:22
36:1, 2
Bona 75:13, 14,
14
bond 43:17, 19
book 30:22
63:25
booming 63:1
borders 63:4
born 9:18, 19
63:14
bought 72:5
74:13 78:8, 16,
22 87:23 90:21
95:15
Boulder 27:14
Boulevard 60:1
bounce 100:1
boundaries 7:21
boundary 7:16
68:24
Bouquet 5:11
68:7, 8, 19, 20,
21, 22 69:7, 9
70:14
Bowe 18:14
Brandon 79:5
81:19, 19
breakdown 86:6
breathe 52:18
bridge 62:19, 20
63:5 85:15
brief 19:14
briefly 11:17
43:2 76:17
bring 20:15
23:20 39:22
51:11 85:19
86:20
bringing 95:3
brings 20:22
broader 33:22
Broom 45:25, 25
Brotzman 18:11
19:12, 22, 23, 25
24:10, 12
Brown 48:21, 24,
```

```
24
Bruce 85:11, 16
brush 33:19
build 10:12, 12,
16, 19, 24 23:6
44:8 52:7
58:19 74:16
77:8 95:2
buildable 44:11
building 26:6
33:7 71:13
96:19
buildings 64:5
builds 67:18
built 11:2, 11
13:24 62:1
71:12, 24 72:1
74:14, 14 75:20
86:14
bulk 15:12
53:24
bumps 69:4
bunch 49:11
57:8 99:25
bundle 100:21
BURGER 2:9
18:7
Burton 38:5, 15
bus 40:6
BUSEY 84:22
85:2, 4, 4 86:18
87:2, 10
business 23:6
29:6 49:6
51:12 85:17
businesses 9:22
63:9
bustle 6:16
buy 78:13
buying 27:17
bye 55:24, 24
< C >
C3 49:2, 12
C4 49:12
CA 1:10
Cahuenga 59:25
calculate 13:13
calculations
13:9, 10, 15 14:2
calendar 101:15
102:15
Calgrove 75:15,
21 77:9
California 8:8,
16, 19 41:14
49:18 59:7
62:3 66:19
70:13 79:9
80:22 82:5
```

**call** 37:16 43:25 78:24, 25 called 21:2 42:11 51:2 58:9 61:24 63:24 calling 50:1 CalTrans 62:20 76:25 96:6 Cameron 57:2 59:*5*, *6* 61:*13*, 15 62:5, 8 Campground 55:*4*, 7 camping 85:13, 15, 17 Canada 42:1 **Canyon** 5:10, 11, 11, 19 6:10, 14 25:16, 22, 23, 24 26:23, 23 27:21, 22 28:10, 10, 15 29:1, 6 37:5 38:5, 15 39:15 40:1, 11, 12, 14, 20 46:17, 18, 21, 22 47:5, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18 48:7 49:22, 23 50:18 51:15 54:7, 8, 18 55:5 56:3, 11, 16, 17, 22 57:6, 23 58:2, 11 59:6 60:5, 5, 5, 6 62:13, 24 64:12 65:5, 15 66:13, 17, 17 68:7, 9, 9, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25 69:2, 7, 9, 11, 18 70:1 72:3 74:14 80:13.22 81:9, 20 82:21, 21, 25 83:1, 2, 2, 22, 25 84:24 87:13, 16, 25 88:7, 14, 15, 15 89:9, 16 90:2, 3, 13, 20 98:12 canyons 70:4, 5, 7 80:13 Canyon's 72:2 capacity 10:13 capital 9:1 17:22 car 60:15, 16, 19 **carbon** 42:18 care 23:15 73:24 77:10 carpet 99:25

```
CHAIRMAN 2:3,
4 24:22 30:14
91:6 100:7
106:4
chairs 24:1, 7
challenge 73:21
Chamber 43:16
chance 54:14
change 8:15, 17,
18, 23 15:3, 12
28:4 33:5 41:6,
7 42:4, 12, 13,
18 49:3, 4
50:11 53:24
54:9 60:23
66:2 68:11
70:22, 25 71:6,
9 72:10 73:2
80:25 81:5
83:11, 14 84:8,
12 85:9, 18
88:25, 25 100:22
changed 27:15,
24 64:11 65:24
78:6 94:4
changes 4:22
8:3, 5, 5 18:2
26:5, 22 28:11
48:18 49:8
55:15 58:25
61:21 67:7
76:7 87:14
90:18, 22 92:3,
4, 15
changing 26:19
28:6 64:1
66:12 70:17
73:18 75:1
79:15 89:3.6
95:9, 10
character 21:21
89:3.6 90:5
characteristics
12:1
characterize
60:7
Charley 25:22
26:23 28:10
29:1
checked 43:4, 5
Cheryl 46:1, 15
Cherylann 85:4
children 27:9
chlorides 45:3, 7
Chris 43: 12, 13,
15 54:13
Christmas
104:24
circles 62:18
Circulation
11:15 14:13, 13,
```

```
15, 16, 20, 24
35:14 60:11
76:18, 19 94:17,
21
cities 41:19
Citizens 43:16
City 4:15 6:9
7:9, 11, 16, 17,
21, 21, 24 8:12
9:5 10:18 11:7
12:12 13:2
14:23 15:1, 2
16:12 17:1, 25
18:10 19:11, 24
20:1, 9, 13, 15
23:12, 19, 25, 25
24:6, 9, 11, 12
27:15, 16, 17
29:16 30:4
33:14, 16 34:5,
9, 10 41:16, 17
49:17 55:6
67:2, 5, 19
71:13 72:23
76:4, 7, 8 77:13
82:7 93:20
94:19 103:11
City's 20:23
34:5
civil 31:2 73:25
74:11, 12
clarification
92:25
clarify 80:3
91:24
Clarita 3:22 4:6
5:7, 13, 16, 23
6:1, 6, 7, 9, 17,
21 7:1, 7, 9, 16,
18 8:11 9:12,
13, 16, 19 10:3,
6, 24 11:14
14:21 15:18, 22
17:10, 25 19:11,
24 20:2, 16
21:11, 18 22:19
23:17 27:17
29:15 30:4
32:9 33:15
39:12 46:16, 20
48:10 51:16, 24
58:4 59:7, 9
60:9 61:4
64:18 65:16
66:5, 25 67:20
69:10, 15 71:10,
23 76:4 77:10,
14 79:18 83:19
90:12 94:20
98:22 103:12
```

Clarita's 4:16 34:9 clarity 94:7 clean 60:24 **clear** 4:16 14:10 48:18 99:8 clearer 30:3 101:2 clearly 35:2 77:21 95:23 98:18 Cliffie 66:4 climate 8:15, 17, 18, 23 15:12 41:6, 7 42:4, 12 60:22 close 85:16 91:11 92:10 closed 94:2 closer 35:3 55:2, 22 71:22 95:1 closes 91:15, 17 104:*4* **closing** 14:24 **Club** 43:16 clustered 58:5 clustering 31:4, 5, 5, 14 CO2 41:8, 21 Coalition 75:16 **COC** 54:19, 19 code 85:20, 24 86:1, 21 107:4 **codes** 86:4 cognitive 82:13 coincide 90:1 Coldwater 60:5 collaborate 17:25 collaborative 20:8 collected 29:2 Collection 54:18 Colorado 27:14 combination 26:18 combustion 60:17, 19 come 13:23 20:7 22:10 29:25 34:3 35:4 36:4, 19 38:1 44:19 46:4, 7, 14 47:16 51:18 59:8, 12 65:3 85:25 86:4, 6 87:3 91:19 92:17 94:5, 10

96:23 97:11 98:20, 22 99:6 102:16 104:13 105:7, 14 106:1 comes 24:18 36:7 40:6, 12 45:2 77:23 82:14 99:1, 1, 18, 19 comfortable 105:10 coming 4:9 19:1 31:15 32:11 47:5, 11 56:2, 2 64:25 70:8 91:9 97:3 99:3 101:3 commenced 91:*14* **comment** 36:24 52:3 61:23 91:14, 22 92:10 93:2, 4, 10 99:9 101:9, 20 103:25 104:2, 3, 14, 18 106:9 107:3 comments 32:19 40:21 59:14 86:25 91:11 92:1, 2, 4, 12, 14 93:8, 12, 16 98:11 100:4 101:12 103:5 **Commerce** 43:16 commercial 45:13 49:10 50:12 51:1, 10, 17 83:5. 9. 12 84:12, 15, 16 87:17, 20, 20 88:22 89:1 **COMMISSION** 1:2 2:2 3:4 4:18, 21 17:19 18:23, 24 25:19 32:1, 6, 25 40:25 48:10 50:6 51:14 59:2 85:8 91:5 94:8 101:15 105:*10* 106:*13*, *17* 108:*5* Commissioner 3:6 88:5 92:23 98:24 99:1 100:9 103:3, 23 Commissioners 3:20 4:4 19:22 39:9 48:11 56:*1* 59:*5* 91:*2* 

07.4.00.00.0
97:1, 20 98:6
100:5 101:8
104: <i>10</i>
commitment
17:2 <i>4</i>
committed 75:24
Committee 9:13
30:15, 16, 21
43:17 65:17
66:6 73:1
66:6 73:1 82:12, 13 88:9 common 7:24,
common 7:24
25 13:18
commonly 3:23
communication
31:23
Communism
63:14
communities
5:9, 16, 19 6:8,
0.0, 10, 10 0.0,
9, 12, 14 21:4, 4
community 5:20
│ 16: <i>14</i> 17:8
19:12, 23 20:1
23:10 32:7 33:24 40:2
33.24 40.2
45:6 47:22
48:7, 25 51:18
57:2 <i>4</i> , 25 58:12
66:18 75:2
77:15 80:11
82:23, 24 88:10,
13 89:3, <i>4</i> , 7
94.22 95.3
94:22 95:3 98:13, 16 99:4
402.40 400.2
103:18 106:3
<b>commute</b> 69:10
commutes 21:25
compact 8:24
company 39: <i>14</i> ,
20
compensation
1
43:6
complain 79:13
completed 27:23
completely 26:3
28:17 81:16
completes 91:1
completion
49: <i>19</i>
COMPLEX 1:9
13:21
complicated 5:1
component 12:5
14:20
14:20 components
14:20 <b>components</b> 84:6, 7
14:20 components 84:6, 7 comprehensive
14:20 components 84:6, 7 comprehensive 7:11 61:24
14:20 components 84:6, 7 comprehensive 7:11 61:24 compromised
14:20 components 84:6, 7 comprehensive 7:11 61:24

CASTAIC SPOR
concentrate 12:25
concept 5:21 12:23 13:12
21:6
conceptual
16: <i>25</i> <b>concern</b> 8: <i>15</i>
34: <i>17</i> 40: <i>5</i>
41:3 55:7
68:2 <i>0</i> 84:8 92:22 96: <i>15</i>
concerned 53:1
60:12 /6:18, 23 77:7 7 81:22
60:12 76:18, 23 77:7, 7 81:22 85:9, 18 87:5 88:21 95:22
88:21 95:22
concerning 78:7 86: <i>18</i>
concerns 33:4
41:2 53:22 76: <i>18</i> 89: <i>17</i>
93:15
concert 79:14
conclude 61:16 concludes 19:9 conclusion 18:3
conclusion 18:3
61:1 concurrency
61: <i>6</i> , <i>11</i>
<b>condition</b> 47:21 69:3, 5 82:8
conditional 44:8,
21 65:20
<b>conditions</b> 42: <i>5</i> 55: <i>9</i>
Condo 64:13, 20 conducted
conducted
16: <i>1</i> 2, <i>20</i> 17: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> 18: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>
conform 66:10 conformance
75:2
confrontation
75:25 congested 22:2,
2
congestion 64: <i>15</i>
congress 16: <i>15</i>
41:13
conscience 79:1 Conservation
11: <i>15</i> 15: <i>6</i> , <i>15</i>
<b>consider</b> 39:23 40:16 77:3
104:1
consideration
22:13, 24 23:14 27:1 49:9

```
50:11 54:10, 22
56:24 70:7
considering
64:1 71:12 77:1
consistency
15:5 17:14, 17
consistent 13:6
15:1 88:18
consists 38:6
construction
62:19 96:10, 11
constructive
97:19
consultant
18:13 93:10
consulting 44:23
consuming 26:9
contact 101:22
104:8
contain 40:24
contained 38:22
continuance
101:6
Continuation
91:3
continue 6:20
11:24 17:24
23:6 32:10
34:12 36:19
60:13, 16 85:14
91:9, 21 92:7
93:3 104:15
106:5, 9
continued 91:19
103:2
continuing 42:6
102:8
contrary 89:19
contributed 18:4
control 41:12
89:1, 2
convenient
98:24
cool 42:14
Coon 82:18
90:11, 11
cooperation
75:25
coordinating
99:19
coordination
12:4
Copper 58:6
copy 100:24
101:1, 1
core 75:23, 24
corner 51:15
68:20
Cornev 19:4
correct 24:14
31:24 42:8
```

91:13, 23 92:4 93:1, 14 correspondence 18:22 19:4, 6 **Corridor** 75:16 77:1 80:2 96:10 cost 39:1 98:15 costs 62:21 Cota 88:4 council 24:2, 6, 15, 16, 21, 23, 24 25:1, 5, 10, 15, 21 28:9 29:21, 22 30:5, 13, 14, 15, 21, 24 31:6, 23 32:23 34:10, 21 37:4, 6 51:25 75:1, 3 79:12 84:24 85:2 103:13 councils 9:9 25:2, 3 29:11 30:7 33:25 35:1 103:14 104:8 COUNSEL 2:8 3:14 91:4 106:24 108:8 counter 13:23 **Country** 5:19 6:10, 14 39:15 49:22 50:18 51:*15* 54:8 63:15, 16 64:13, 13 65:5 78:5 82:10 90:5 105:3 Country's 72:3 COUNTY 1:3 2:7, 8 3:14 6:19 7:10, 15, 18, 21 8:9, 10, 12 9:5 10:18 11:7 12:12 14:23 15:3 16:12 17:1 18:8 20:*4*, *5*, *9*, 11, 13, 15 23:13, 22 25:18 26:7 28:22 32:6, 24 33:12 36:1 46:25 48:10 49:22 51:*4*, *1*3 56:11 59:18, 22 62:19 64:17, 18 67:2, 24, 24 68:1, 11 70:24 71:5, 10 74:13, 19 76:11, 13 79:11, 17 81:11 82:7, 24 85:21

88:11 91:4 93:19 97:9 98:14, 15, 25 106:2*4* **County's** 4:13, 15 33:15 71:13 Countywide 3:21 4:5 18:5 couple 91:7 course 5:21 8:7 9:3, 23 12:20 14:19 18:10 30:20 Court 75:14 courtesy 29:17 36:14 coverage 17:5 **covered** 11:17 **covers** 58:9 cows 64:17, 19 **cracks** 33:10 69:4 create 21:2, 3 51:12, 16 82:24 creating 21:7, 10 23:16 96:18 criminals 86:2 criteria 12:11, 18 70:21 critical 21:25 49:14 critically 22:5, 7 crop 42:15 **cropping** 89:18 **Crosby** 43:13 cross 15:1, 2 85:15 **C's** 47:5 **CSD** 82:24 83:4 87:15 88:13, 18 89:3, 10, 19 90:1 **CSD's** 47:13 **cul** 38:16 **CUP** 55:7, 8, 9 65:21 85:6, 13, 14 87:5 **CUPs** 87:3 **current** 5:7 7:7 10:7, 14 13:16, *19* 14:22 26:*4*, 13, 14 27:1 28:25 52:14 56:12 58:23 83:12 94:3 105:*19* currently 11:22 13:6 54:9 56:3 66:11 74:21 79:15 84:11 104:19

<b>cut</b> 78:3
CD > Dam 61:25 dams 62:1 danger 69:24 date 4:23 7:13 52:3 91:11, 17 93:10 101:6, 16, 19, 24 102:9, 10, 14, 18, 19 105:1,
1, 2, 14 dated 40:22 dates 105:14 day 9:18 22:1 40:7 85:24 91:13, 24 92:5 101:16 103:25 104:3, 4 105:16 108:12
days 23:3 31:11 86:1 92:1 104:2, 18 106:10 de 38:16 46:23 deaf 98:3 deal 8:23 12:2 31:17, 22 33:24 70:18 82:15 dealer 100:12 dealing 94:16
96:4  deals 14:14  Dean 70:10  74:10  debate 41:9  debt 82:5, 6  decades 10:15  December 85:17  104:20 106:11  decide 44:16  81:5 104:15  decided 5:3
39:19 72:22 decides 96:5 102:12 decision 18:24 20:19 32:15, 16, 22 33:1 34:3 47:10 74:4 97:4 decisions 17:21 44:20 59:17 dedicated 81:11 dedication
81:12 95:5 deed 57:15 defeat 65:25 deficit 95:3 definition 5:7 degrees 42:10,

CASTAIC SPOR
16
16 <b>DEIR</b> 49:19
del 58:3, 9, 23
65: <i>19</i>
<b>deleted</b> 66:16
demographic
8: <i>4</i> 12: <i>1</i>
denied 85:6
denies 66:3
<b>Denise</b> 37:19
39:11
dense 75:19
densely 71:14
densities 10:18
67: <i>17</i> , 23 96: <i>18</i>
<b>density</b> 13:9, 13, 21 14:4, 7
<i>21</i> 14: <i>4</i> , 7
26.20 35.9
40:4, 8, 13 44:6, 25 45:10 47:3
25 45:10 47:3
50:7 58: <i>5</i>
66:14 67:6, 7, 8,
<i>13, 14, 15</i> 68: <i>3,</i>
14 70:3 76:19
84:9 88:25
89:2, <i>5</i> 94:2 <i>5</i>
95: <i>7</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>14</i>
95: <i>7</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>14</i> <b>DEPARTMENT</b>
2:9 9:8, 8 18: <i>4</i> ,
2:9 9:8, 8 18: <i>4</i> , 6, 8 43:2 <i>4</i>
54:17 86:12
102: <i>1</i> 2
departments
18:9 20:5
dependency
41: <i>15</i>
dependent
35:13, 14, 15, 16
depending
101: <i>12</i>
<b>depends</b> 14:5 <b>DEPUTY</b> 2:8 44:18 99:17
<b>DEPUTY</b> 2:8
44:18 99:17
<b>describe</b> 13: <i>12</i>
104: <i>12</i>
described 11:21
12:2 <i>4</i> 50: <i>1</i> 2
describes 11:20
description 53:9
design 12:2
35:19
designated
50: <i>15</i> 58: <i>16</i> 65: <i>21</i> 66: <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> ,
65:21 66:5, 8,
18 73:3 74:18, 23 83:2 87:16
designation
14:3, 5 26: <i>4</i>
53:5 61:19, 20
80:2 81:3 83:6,

```
8, 11, 14 84:12
87:18 88:16
designations
11:3 14:6 65:13
desirable 38:25
desired 94:15
desires 7:25
destroyed 82:10
98:8
determination
34:22
determine 14:4
102:19 103:4
devalue 85:19
develop 16:9
28:23 33:13
39:1 40:4, 8
71:22 76:5
developed 5:19
10:18 12:9, 10
16:22 20:8
21:21 23:4
39:4, 5, 16
69:22 77:20
developer 47:1
58:19 95:2
developers
53:16 71:21
76:6 90:6
developing
21:22 22:23
23:14
development
6:11 8:24
14:11 17:20
19:13, 23 20:1
26:6 46:19, 23
47:23 51:1, 10
58:3. 21 61:7
68:21 69:13, 21
75:10 94:13
developments
62:17 67:7
71:24 76:12, 14
77:5, 14
Diana 88:3, 7
89:17
Dianne 62:10
63:23
dickens 43:21
dictate 46:8
93:2
died 78:11
Diego 60:3
different 13:15
16:5 30:22
31:2 52:4
differently 34:20
39:7
difficult 41:21
```

```
52:23, 25 78:19
difficulty 59:12
digitally 108:5
dioxide 42:18
direct 60:14
68:1
direction 4:21
18:25 98:1
Directly 27:18
DIRECTOR 2:8
3:16 19:12, 23
20:1 107:1
dirt 90:3
disagree 26:3
disappear 15:4
disaster 26:24
disclose 30:11,
12
discrepancies
35:8
discretionary
43:24 45:19
discuss 105:8
discussed 20:25
29:19
discussing
34:15 50:8
104:7
discussion 6:25
34:3 93:12
98:10 103:21
104:15 106:19
dish 63:17
disinterested
108:4
distance 99:1
distinct 21:18
distinctive 21:21
distinguished
55:19
DISTRICT 2:3, 4,
5 30:18 43:17
57:24 83:21
84:4 88:11
districts 9:7
25:7 29:11, 13
58:12 80:11
diverse 75:23
divide 52:4
Division 59:1
DM 18:19
DMS 61:7, 10
document 18:15
33:23 34:11
35:6 76:9 83:7
92:21
documents 7:13
17:2
doing 12:13
45:18 53:2
63:11, 16, 18
```

67:19 76:25 94:16 dollar 27:1 78:8, 23 dollars 62:21 82:5 **domain** 78:9 **Donald** 45:25 donated 21:14 door 13:24 31:23 doubt 32:16 downgrade 56:11, 22 81:9 downgraded 56:5, 6 68:25 downgrading 82:25 87:25 89:9 Downtown 32:9 98:20 99:2 106:17 107:5 **Draft** 4:24, 24 5:1, 2 11:14 15:19 26:22 30:20 91:25 92:2, 15 101:10 104:6 106:10 drafts 4:21 17:2 drain 63:15 draw 28:24 **Dream** 73:6 78:10 dreams 28:7 **Drive** 6:16 22:1 79:8 81:14 99:6 driven 22:14 41:12 Driving 7:19 15:2 dual 64:3 85:10 **Dudra** 70:10, 12, 12 due 11:6 28:16 75:7 77:5 85:6 100:7 101:2 **Dulce** 5:10 6:13 15:20 48:8 85:21 103:16 **Durbin** 85:11, 16 dwelling 58:13, 18, 20, 24 dwellings 58:6 dwindled 57:8 < E > earlier 41:5 53:8 59:14 104:7 **earliest** 101:17 early 5:20 23:3

<b>earthquake</b> 6:3, 3 69:25
ease 59:10 easier 53:3 99:18
easily 51:2 east 21:13
43:20 52:23 54:8 66:11 74:23 75:20
83: <i>25</i> eastern 34: <i>1</i>
echo 59:14 77:20 echoed 98:1
echoing 93:16 96:15 ecological 12:19
15: <i>17</i> economic 8: <i>5</i>
12:2 26:11, 14 55:18 economically
35:23 economy 52:14 effectively 55:16
<b>effects</b> 8: <i>18</i> 12: <i>3</i>
effort 4:14 7:15 20:12, 14, 18, 20 21:3 23:9
59: <i>16</i> 65: <i>7</i> , <i>25</i> 99: <i>3</i>
efforts 20:15 eight 31:11 44:6, 11 64:6 70:15 80:25
70:15 80:25 <b>EIR</b> 4:24 53:19 91:10 92:10, 12,
12, 14 101:10, 11 103:22
104:6, 6 106:10 either 27:22 28:18 32:19
33:18 35:9 56:13 99:12 100:3 104:22, 23
ELAINE 2:8 elderly 78:21 elected 36:12
electric 60:21 electricity 60:23
Electronic 108:3 element 11:20, 20 12:6 14:13,
15, 20, 24 15:6, 16, 24 16:3, 6
21:8, 23 23:1 60:11 61:24 elementary
56:18, 21

```
elements 11:15
105:25
eligible 56:12
Emergency 16:1
28:19
eminent 78:9
emissions 41:8,
22
emotions 63:19
enabled 17:8
encourage 99:11
endangered 96:2
ends 7:21 38:16
enforced 86:4
enforcement
16:1 85:24
enforcements
86:21
engine 60:17, 19
engineer 14:1
74:11, 12
Engineering
50:9 83:18
engineers 31:2,
13 50:9 73:25
enhance 22:4
65:23 77:15
enjoy 72:22
Enriquez 88:5
ensure 8:13
101:21
enter 17:9
entire 7:8 10:17
11:2 15:22
39:2 82:15
103:17
entitled 43:6
44:15, 16
entitlements
43:21
Environment
9:14 12:3
47:15 51:25
72:23
Environmental
5:2 6:12 12:3
18:17 22:8, 13,
25 35:15 60:13
91:25 92:2
93:1, 4, 8
environmentalists
41:13
environmentally
50:23
environments
```

6:15

92:13

envisioning

```
Equestrian
65:17, 24 66:19,
21 88:15 89:11
equivalent 65:18
66:9
Escondido 48:7
escrow 57:16, 17
especially 77:6
101:13
essence 98:13
Essentially
12:12, 24
estate 100:19, 20
estimates 10:3
et 9:8 16:6
94:24
Europe 42:16
evaluated 59:22
evening 3:20
4:4, 10 18:22
19:13, 22 25:17
32:16, 19 37:21
39:9, 11 40:19
46:14 48:3, 19
49:16 52:24
54:6 55:1, 3
56:3 57:21
59:5, 13 63:21
79:7 81:24
83:17 91:8
92:6 93:17
96:16, 25 97:19,
20 99:7, 11
102:19 103:21
event 62:1, 3
86:7
eventually 69:19
78:1 80:8
Everybody 4:2
41:24 44:21
everyone's 4:16
exactly 17:10
example 37:4
41:10 44:11
67:16 94:11
excellent 17:7
20:3
exceptions 42:5
44:10, 14 86:15
excess 56:14
excuse 22:11
26:2 27:10
46:21 104:6
exemplified 6:13
exist 59:25
60:2, 2, 3, 6, 20
existing 12:21
13:2 23:16
49:3, 25 55:7,
22 61:5, 9 83:4,
4, 13 84:12
```

```
87:3, 15 88:19
90:22
exists 21:12, 13,
17
expand 65:24
expanded 69:20
expanding 21:16
expansion 15:16
77:1, 2
expect 34:21
96:24 100:20
expectations
14:11 29:12
97:13
expected 6:20
33:6
expense 30:1
78:25
expensive 52:8
experienced
69:25
expire 104:19
expires 55:8
explain 86:23
87:2
explosive 8:10
express 33:11
84:7
expressed 45:20
extend 52:2
66:4 77:11
104:2
extended 42:1
extending 58:11
68:23 104:20
extension 66:15
103:25
extensive 12:14
17:4. 14 24:24
extent 22:4
29:23 91:16
extreme 81:25
extremely 15:7
59:20
eye 7:20
< F >
face 6:23, 23
faced 22:9
Facilities 83:19
84:3
facility 98:14
fact 23:10
41:25 42:9
49:24 51:6
53:1 59:15
78:11 93:6, 9
95:8
facts 10:2
```

**failure** 42:15

**Fair** 5:11 45:17 **fall** 17:5 falling 33:9 false 42:8 familiar 10:5 15:9 fantastic 5:24 **far** 57:18 81:22 94:24 96:13 farm 81:20 farsighted 20:14 farther 62:24 **fast** 100:25 fastest 6:18 **fat** 93:13 **fate** 60:9 Faust 18:17 favor 82:25 87:25 89:8, 10 106:*20* feasible 27:2 74:20 105:5 February 40:22 105:*4* federal 82:4 96:2 **feeding** 53:15 **feel** 15:4 44:14 86:2, 20 102:20, 24 **feels** 100:19 fees 29:1 44:23 56:12 feet 38:24 62:6 72:14 74:18 **fellow** 97:1, 1 Fernando 22:3 59:23, 24 60:1 69:11 field 12:14 99:17 fight 65:25 **figure** 30:23 52:10 figures 10:2, 16 12:13 **file** 44:21 97:7 **filed** 71:3 final 31:22 34:7, 9 40:10 61:23 65:2, 4 91:10 92:12, 14 93:8 101:11 103:22 104:5 finally 16:3 23:1 51:6 70:15 financial 26:24 27:11 50:4 find 77:14 **findings** 91:10

fine 38:9 43:8
63:4, 5 85:24
FIRE 2:9 6:2
9:8 12:19, 22
15:25 28:16
54:17 56:18, 20
69:24 73:20, 20,
24 75:7
fires 70:6
First 4:8, 19 5:6
11:19 15:16
16:9 17: <i>15</i>
25:18 30:16, 17,
19 31:9 32:6
37:8, 16 38:6,
13 41:3 48:15
85:25 101: <i>11</i>
102:3 103: <i>10</i> ,
11, 12
fish 62:25 96:2
Fitch 63:24
77:19, 25
five 5:3 11:14
<b>five</b> 5:3 11:14 14:7 25:2, 9, 10,
24 27:25 28:2,
3 29:10, 22, 23,
25 30:18 34:18
45:15 46:17
57:10, 10, 11, 17
71:4, 7, 19
72:14 80:25
90:20 95:9, 10
98:23
flag 3:8, 9
flat 38:23 50:21
flawed 41:4
flood 15:25
50:22 69:24
floodplain 62:19
67:19 75:20
floodplains 53:2,
5, 6
flow 69:14
flush 45:4
focus 30:13
33:22
fog 77:23
folks 9:3 10:5
18:3, 12 52:23
followed 8:20
82:4, 6
following 31:20
50:14 58:25
foot 74:21 78:14
foothold 90:7
force 41:16
<b>forced</b> 63:6
forcing 63:9
foregoing 108:5
Forest 5:11
21:12, 12 27:19
I '

39:14 47:8
58:7 63: <i>6</i> , 24
68:24 77:10 25
79:14 00:14 15
10.14 90.14, 10
68:24 77:19, 25 78:14 90:14, 15 forever 71:4 forgotten 41:18
form 88:10
formal 40:21
formed 75:18
forms 8:24
formula 13:21
forth 33:16
<b>fortunate</b> 17: <i>4</i> 22: <i>18</i>
<b>forum</b> 34: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> <b>forward</b> 19: <i>15</i>
24.2 20.25
24:2 29:25 33:1 35:4
36:22, 23 94:10
95:2, <i>16</i> 97: <i>5</i> ,
95.2, 76 97.5, 17 100:23
104: <i>16</i> <b>forwarded</b> 92: <i>19</i>
fought 72:8
found 30:16
62:24 85:16
foundation
10:20 43:0
16:20 43:6 83:19, 20 84:3 <b>four</b> 27:4, 7
30: <i>18</i> 41:3
47:2 68:23
69:20 77:4 78:2
frame 10:1
framework 17:21
Francisquito
5: <i>11</i> 46: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> ,
21, 22 47:14, 17
Z1, ZZ 41.14, 17
57:23 58:11 65:15 66:13, 17 Frank 48:5
65.75 66.75, 77
frankly 32:3
79: <i>14</i>
Frederico 88:5
Freeway 28:14,
16 54:18 60:3
75:6 76:13, 20
77:2 79:10
freeways 22:2
50:20 64:13
frenzy 53:15
frequently 69:5
friends 60:12
front 36:14
78:3 96:7
fuel 60:21
full 39:5 106:1
function 34:12
funding 95:4, 4
funds 56:9

```
further 31:14
79:20 94:22, 23,
23 106:19, 25
108:8
future 6:21 7:1
8:1 9:11 17:19,
25 20:17 23:16
27:12 29:1
56:18, 21 60:18
74:16 77:3
79:13 90:4
< G >
Gabellieri 82:18,
20, 22
Gabroud 57:4
gamble 100:13,
14, 18, 22
Garrity 62:10
Gary 75:13
77:18
gate 81:15
gates 85:17
gee 34:14 95:8
97:4
```

gas 22:15 67:25 General 7:12 13:6, 7, 10 16:25 25:3 33:16 36:24 39:18 50:13, 16 59:20 61:5, 8, 18, 19, 25 73:1 77:8 92:3 generally 12:25 generate 61:16 generated 16:5 37:8 60:24 **gentle** 38:23 gentlemen 37:21 41:5 46:13 54:6 57:21 geographic 21:19 Geographics 18:*19* **getting** 28:14 29:13 34:13 58:4 67:10 98:2 **Ghias** 54:4 55:1, 1, 3, 3 **GIS** 18:5, 20 gist 98:10 give 7:4 19:21 25:3, 10, 13 38:11, 11 40:25 41:10 48:22 85:1, 3 91:9 102:13

given 15:7 20:4 54:14 95:11 gives 28:4 **giving** 38:20 53:5 **GLASER** 2:10 3:20, 21 4:1, 4 24:10, 14, 25 31:11 32:3, 12 35:12 86:22 91:6, 13, 24 92:9 99:13, 18 101:5, 8, 21 103:23 104:22 105:7 Glenda 75:13, 14 Glenoaks 60:1 global 22:17 **qo** 11:16 24:2, 18, 21 26:8 32:1 33:25 34:25 37:2 41:20, 23 45:17 55:11 56:9 63:15 67:11 70:18, 24 71:4, 17, 17 72:7, 13 73:21 74:3 89:23 96:22 99:*12* 100:*10*, 13 103:10 104:18 goals 17:21 goes 23:3 45:5, 8 57:17 62:18 63:18 70:19 96:9 100:17, 18 going 3:7, 18 4:1 6:25 7:16 11:5, 16 19:14 24:19 30:2 32:15 34:19 35:13, 14, 14, 16 36:3, 11, 16, 23 41:9 44:24 46:19 49:2, 8 52:11, 21 53:6, 11, 12, 18 55:23 56:7 57:17 62:23, 23 63:2 67:11, 12 70:15 71:3, 16, 25 72:9 73:1 75:19 77:14, 22, 24 78:1 87:7 91:20 94:12, 18 95:6, 19 97:21 99:8, 21 100:21, 23, 25 105:2 **gold** 5:14

golden 5:14

Good 3:20 4:4 19:22 23:13 26:15, 19 33:23 37:21 38:24 39:9 40:19 42:7 48:3 49:16 52:18 53:8 54:6 55:1, 3 57:21 59:5 63:18, 21 67:3 75:7 79:1, 7 83:17 97:14 98:14 gotten 58:1 Government 8:8 63:15 82:4 107:*4* governmental 25:8 36:11 governments 9:4, 5 graded 70:16, 23 73:23 grading 38:25 44:7, 12, 13, 24 50:22 63:1 70:15, 19 **grading's** 45:16 graffiti 64:14 grandfather 57:6 grandmother 57:6 grant 58:19 granted 57:24 Grapevine 79:10 **Great** 4:3 6:18 18:6 36:3 48:16 50:4 57:6 67:1 72:22 99:1 **greater** 29:18 79:19 94:7 green 42:11, 11 greenbelt 21:10, 22 39:25 greenery 86:12 greenhouse 22:15 Greenland 42:11 Greenwood 46:1 82:22 **Greve** 18:18 grocery 83:9 87:20 88:22 89:22 gross 58:18 ground 81:21 97:14 groundwater 53:9, 11

	(
<b>group</b> 37:5 75:17, 22, 23, 24, 24 76:3	
groups 9:12 25:9	
grow 9:19 growing 6:19 8:15	
grown 7:22 growth 6:24, 24 8:4, 7, 10, 13 10:14, 25 11:9, 9 13:1	
Gruen 23:4 Grunauer 37:18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 24 38:3, 3, 8, 10, 12, 12	
guaranteed 95:10	
guess 4:1 44:20 53:3 106:8	
guidance 35:2, 8 guide 17:22 63:25	
guidelines 97:10 guiding 16:16, 18	
guise 17:20 guns 86:5 guy 30:23 guys 30:25 45:1 63:9, 16 70:16 71:9, 16 72:6, 11 80:17	
< H > H18 64:3 H2 58:16, 22	
66:2 half 11:5 25:23 38:14, 20, 21 39:2, 6, 6, 7 42:10, 16 50:19 68:10 71:5	
72:14 halfs 71:4 HAMILTON 2:8	
3:17 24:4, 8, 16 37:15, 18 38:1 43:12 45:25	
48:21 51:21 54:4 56:4 57:1 62:10 65:9 70:10 75:13	

79:5 82:18

18 88:3 91:1

101:6 105:14,

84:20 86:17, 17,

```
16, 20 106:7
107:2
hand 19:19
43:8 108:11
handled 53:20
happen 49:7
52:7 53:18
55:22 87:7
happened 27:14
70:6
happening 41:8
48:13 77:24
happens 62:5
happy 23:19
48:13 76:4
83:13
hard 26:11
65:25 73:4, 5
99:24
Haring 65:10, 11
68:19
Hart 43:17
83:20 84:4
Hasley 5:10
25:16, 23, 24
27:21, 22 28:10,
15 37:4 80:12,
24 83:1 87:16
88:14
Hawkins 46:2,
13, 15
hazardous 15:25
hazards 5:24
6:23 15:8, 25
health 12:3
hear 3:24, 24, 25
4:2 24:19 25:5
37:23 63:21, 22
64:25 73:15
77:21 84:22
96:24 98:9, 18
103:13. 15. 15
heard 8:17
30:19 37:6
77:22, 25 86:24
96:16 98:5, 6
hearing 3:19, 22
4:5, 12, 13, 17
15:23 19:10
32:6 36:17
37:7 45:17
52:22 59:13
65:2 73:5, 17
77:20 88:1, 6
91:23 92:7, 20
93:5 97:3
98:18 101:24
102:3, 9, 11, 15,
16 103:9 104:4,
9, 21 105:5, 9,
23 106:5, 13, 17
```

```
hearings 4:20
32:8 52:20
59:2 91:17, 20
92:8 93:3 99:5
100:23 103:2, 20
heavily 71:14
82:11
heavy 40:14
81:7 88:19
Heffley 46:1
48:3, 6
height 76:21
held 15:14
95:15 106:12, 16
helicopter 12:15
helicopters 16:6
hello 46:13
62:12 65:12
66:24 68:6
80:21 82:20
84:22 87:12
help 31:3 79:20
80:7 95:2
helped 18:12, 19
helping 88:10
Henry 54:4
65:9 66:24
hereunto 108:11
hey 31:16
100:12
Hi 57:3 70:12
72:20 75:14
77:18 88:6
90:11
high 35:20
39:19 40:4, 8,
13 43:18 50:16
67:18 70:3
higher 26:20
47:3 66:14
95:7, 14, 24
highest 56:10
Highway 12:20
14:20, 21, 25
15:1 40:11
49:1, 23 50:25
51:3 56:6, 22
63:24 64:2, 10
68:22 69:1, 2,
19 78:2 79:11
83:25 84:13, 13
highways 16:5
50:20 66:16
69:23
Highway's 45:14
Hill 38:16 58:6
Hillcrest 81:9
hills 47:13, 22
72:3
hillside 13:9, 13,
14 26:23 27:2,
```

```
24 44:5, 10, 15
47:17 67:17
70:18, 20 72:1,
12, 15 83:3, 13
94:16
hire 14:1
hired 73:25
history 5:13, 23
7:5 86:19
hit 26:10
hitting 32:13
Hockenberry
57:5
hold 85:10
104:3
holdings 29:14
holiday 104:24,
24
home 5:16 9:20
38:25 44:6
66:19 75:6
98:23 99:12
100:9
homeowner
24:1, 7
homes 35:20
45:1, 1, 4, 14
49:25 50:2, 4
51:7 58:17
homesteaded
57:7
honor 81:20
hope 29:12
36:25 39:22
51:14 52:22
53:18 59:15
68:2 70:7
77:22 89:25
100:16
hopefully 33:19
60:23 95:18
105:10
hopes 26:19
hoping 26:18
horrible 97:24
horse 46:5, 9
66:3 74:15
100:14
horses 64:17, 19,
20 66:1
hour 81:14
100:7
house 44:9, 25
45:15 57:11, 11,
12, 13 68:12, 15
70:22 71:17
72:7 74:14
78:7, 10, 11, 13,
14, 15, 18 79:2
86:7 95:9
```

households 75:23 housekeeping 105:25 **houses** 17:3 45:2 71:18 78:22 87:8 94:14 95:10, 10 housing 8:14 10:*4*, *4*, *6*, *20* 11:5, 6, 10 21:24 22:4 41:16 50:19 51:9 79:18 **HOV** 67:12 **Howell** 79:6 80:21, 21 how's 34:19 **hub** 51:17 huge 58:5 76:15 **Hughes** 28:22 hundred 62:6 75:23 hundreds 69:22 Hupsch 19:5 husband 48:5, **hustle** 6:15 hydrogen 60:21 Hypothetically 27:5 < l > **i.e** 60:15, 25 **Ice** 41:25 42:1, 15 idea 60:16 67:1, *2* 90:*4* ideally 81:4, 15 **Igor** 62:10, 12 **Imagine** 59:23, 25 60:2 **Immediately** 58:2 61:21 104:22, 22 immensely 40:9 Impact 5:2 18:16, 17 22:17 42:12 56:7, 15 76:15 92:1, 2,

11 93:8 94:5,

impacted 6:4 impacting 93:22

impacts 23:10,

15, 17

11 93:4

implement

16:10 22:13

implementation

8:21 17:12 18:1

implemented
17:18
important 7:5,
<i>14</i> 8:1 11:1, <i>4</i> ,
12, 19 12:5, 17
13:8 14: <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> ,
12, 19 12:5, 17 13:8 14:14, 17, 19 15:5, 7 21:8,
19, 23 22:6, 7
23:1 59:13, 21
96: <i>4</i> 103: <i>17</i>
importantly 7:23
10:22 35:15
impose 66:9
impossible
77:21 82:2
improve 56:16
improvements
17:22
inaccessible
28:18
inactivity 85:7
include 12: <i>11</i>
15: <i>11</i> , 20 18: <i>1</i>
83:5
included 16:13
includes 5:9
6:12 13:9
14: <i>16</i> , <i>25</i> 47: <i>22</i> <b>including</b> 5: <i>9</i> 29: <i>16</i> 43: <i>18</i>
including 5:9
29:16 43:18
59:1 83:1 85:13
income 8:14
68:1
inconsistent
66:12
incorporate
72:23
incorporated
7:10 22:20
23:2 33:15 65:17 67:25
65:17 67:25
76:8
increase 10:20,
20, 22 11:4, 5
40:8 47:11
52:7 53:17, 18
67:7 77:5
increased 67:23
69:13 76:19
increasing 47:4
68:3
indicate 94:25
indicated 19:6
91:10
individual 33:17,
24 34:13, 23, 25
36: <i>4</i> , <i>15</i> 64: <i>4</i>
82: <i>14</i> 96:22, 22
103:24
individually 94:9
mulvidually 34.9

CASIAIC SPOR
individuals 33:4
96:16.23 97:13
indulging 73:10
industrial 50:16
51: <i>1</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>17</i> 64: <i>9</i> 79: <i>16</i> , <i>25</i>
inexpensively
78:16
information 31:7
32:24 37:7 72:25 102:25
103:9
infrastructure
12:2 <i>1</i> 17:23
35:17 52:8
61:6, 11 94:22
95:1, 3, 23 infrastructures
51:2
initial 16:12
inner 41: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i> ,
19
innocent 67:20
input 32:18, 18 33:21 34:8 99:4
instrumental
47:10
integrity 47:12
intelligent 76:1 intend 16:10
52:3 74: <i>16</i>
intended 47:18
<b>intense</b> 13:1
intensity 12·16
intent 29:24 41:14 47:11, 15
93:19
interest 63:11
interested 76:1
108:10
interests 36: <i>13</i> 43: <i>9</i> 97: <i>9</i>
interfacing 76:24
internal 60:16,
19
interrupt 29:9 Interstate 60:2
76:13
invaluable 18:10
investing 26:21
investment
26:15, 19
100: <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> investments
17:23
invests 26:19
invited 16: <i>16</i>
involved 103:18
involvement 16: <i>19</i> 18: <i>6</i>
<b>IO</b> 50:12

```
island 68:16, 17
74:24
issue 22:19
28:20 59:21
60:22 61:2, 5
73:19, 24, 24
80:18 93:18
96:1, 4
issues 12:2
14:14 22:8, 13,
25 23:14 26:14
29:18 31:15
33:22 35:15
52:17 60:13
73:20 105:8, 9
106:3
Item 3:19 19:18
88:24
it'll 80:7, 7
its 6:22 7:10,
12 39:5 41:14
45:3 47:15
50:7 55:18 66:9
< J >
James 43:13
46:1, 3 88:4
Janette 82:18, 22
JANNA 2:9
January 101:17
105:2
Jason 18:11
45:25, 25
Jeff 29:5
Jens 37:19
39:9, 11
Jesser 57:4
Joanne 86:9, 10
88:4
job 22:4 23:13,
23
jobs 10:5, 6, 22
21:24 51:12
69:16 79:18
80:8
Joe 88:3
Joel 79:5 81:19
join 3:8 74:25
joint 7:15 8:1
20:17, 19
jointly 16:11
33:23
Josephine 84:20
87:6
Journal 85:21
journey 59:11
100:8
Joyce 37:18, 19
38:12
judge 96:2
```

```
July 72:25
jurisdiction 4:15,
16
jurisdictions
7:13 8:16, 22
18:2 35:1
< K >
Karl 37:19
40:19 82:18
83:17
keep 30:9
31:22 40:16
50:23 56:22
64:13 67:14, 22
73:4 79:2
81:16 83:1
88:14 89:10
91:16, 16
keeping 66:3
KELLY 25:12, 14,
14
Kenneth 43:12,
13
Kevin 82:18
90:11
key 5:15 14:6
15:24 76:17
kind 12:15 23:5
40:6 64:16
76:10, 21 78:1
80:16 81:25
82:10, 12
kinds 35:17
know 4:10 5:22
7:5 8:8 9:3
20:7 24:9
28:22 31:3, 4
34:15 35:12
40:7 49:13
52:9 60:19
62:2 63:10
64:8 65:1
73:23 78:2
86:16 93:2
94:19 98:6
100:2, 4, 12, 18,
20 102:13
104:15
Knowing 78:20
knowledge 17:15
known 3:23 4:7
8:21 61:6
knows 64:7
< L >
L.A 48:10 64:12,
17 65:1, 3 107:5
La 71:25 79:8
```

Judy 57:1, 22

ladies 54:6 **lady** 93:13 **Lake** 9:6 15:10 21:15 28:22 64:12 85:5 Lancaster 70:13 land 8:22 11:15, 20, 20 12:6, 6, 8, *10*, *15*, *23* 13:*4*, 5, 22 14:3, 6, 15 16:5, 25 26:3, 5, 11, 13, 18, 20, 23 27:1, 11, 14 28:4, 6, 10, 25 33:5, 6, 13 38:5, 23 45:8 46:4, 7 49:22 50:15, 21, 24 55:11, 16, 18 57:16 62:16 64:20 65:13, 19 66:2, 8, 10, 11 71:10 73:1, 7, 25 74:13, 20 83:4, 11 84:8 87:15 88:16, 25 92:3 94:15 95:5 landowner 25:15, 20 29:6 landowners 33:17 55:22 **lands** 4:14 11:3 43:5 lane 15:3 60:4 67:12 68:23 69:1 73:3 78:2 lanes 69:20 77:4 large 62:2 76:14 86:9 95:2 97:9 larger 89:12 **Larios** 88:3, 6, 7 **Las** 100:11 Lastly 13:8 late 5:18 46:24 lateness 100:7 launched 17:6 **Laurel** 60:5 law 16:1 52:9 62:25 laws 41:6 lawsuit 97:7 lay 102:21 lays 97:14 leads 7:3 **leaning** 95:19 leave 27:9, 10, 10 39:23 **led** 3:6 **LEE** 108:3, 16

looking 4:20

loft C: 12 11:10
left 6:13 11:18
98:25 104:17
<b>Legal</b> 43:6
53:19
legally 8:12
<b>Legend</b> 13:4
legislation 22:11
41: <i>1</i> 3
legislative 96:1
Legislature 96:3
LEMKE 2:8
3: <i>15</i> 91: <i>4</i>
92:23, 25, 93:7.
92:23, 25 93:7, 14 102:8 103:3,
8 105:13, 18, 22
106:12, 15, 25
length 86:18
lengthy 5:1
16:11
Leona 69:12
LESLIE 2:3
LESLIE 2:3 lesser 43:5 letter 28:11
letter 28:11
31:10, 19 37:9 44:1 73:9, 14
44:1 73:9, 14
74:2
letters 28:9
32:10 33:3
37:11 40:22, 24
level 22:12
levels 8:14
libraries 95:4
library 94:24
lies 79:9
life 6:18, 22
22:6 23:15
20.20 23.73
28:20 40:3 72:24 76:16
77:10
lifestyle 47:12
65:24 66:1
lifestyles 11:23
28:7
light 24:18
79:16, 25
limit 41: <i>14</i>
limited 28:13
69:1
limiting 42:18
limiting 42:18
limits 6:24
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11 list 83:12 88:19
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11 list 83:12 88:19 101:22
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11 list 83:12 88:19 101:22 listed 83:5
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11 list 83:12 88:19 101:22 listed 83:5 87:17 88:21
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11 list 83:12 88:19 101:22 listed 83:5 87:17 88:21 listen 46:14
limits 6:24 Linda 65:11 line 98:25 lines 12:22 13:2 Lisa 18:11 list 83:12 88:19 101:22 listed 83:5 87:17 88:21

CASIAIC SPOR
listening 62:8
70:8 73:16
little 4:2 7:4
31: <i>10</i> , <i>14</i> 34: <i>4</i> , 5 36: <i>1</i> 3 50:22
73:6, 14 75:17
98:2 102:2 <i>1</i>
104:7
live 9:15, 21, 22
21:6, 20 29:5
39.17 40.20 46:15 48:6
39:17 40:20 46:15 48:6 57:23 63:23
64: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>18</i> 65: <i>14</i> 68: <i>19</i>
65:14 68:19
69:7 70:6, 12
72:20 74:11 77:18 78:6, 10,
18 80:8, 12, 22
81:19 82:1, 22
87:23 88:7
lived 25:21
lived 25:21 48:24 63:14 80:23 89:16
90·11
lives 21:25
78:25 98:21, 25
livestock 66:3
living 62:13 78:5
local 8:16, 21
9:7 58:3 63:17
80:8, 8
located 49:22
55: <i>5</i> location 75: <i>9</i>
logic 28:3
logical 75:9
logically 31:8 Lombardi 65:10
<b>Lombardi</b> 65:10
68: <i>6</i> , <i>6</i> <b>Loneoak</b> 70: <i>13</i>
long 23:10
26:15 32:5, 13,
17 33:20 36:11,
17 53:23 64:7
94:11 99:6
65:25 85:15 94:11 99:6 longer 35:23
look 11:4 34:22
35:22 36:5 42: <i>19</i> 44:3
53:25 55:11
60:11 61:9
73:21, 25 80:17
89:25 94:12
95: <i>18</i> 100: <i>12</i> ,
22, 23 103:21 104:25 105:3 <b>looked</b> 53:9
looked 53:9
00.5

98:5

```
5:22 10:11
12:13 16:4
27:13 33:9
35:1, 19 36:8
76:21 93:17
95:13, 21 96:11
97:8 105:14
looks 10:1, 1
53:2, 4 89:24
105:18
LOS 1:3 32:9
36:1 43:9
46:24 49:22
51:4, 12 55:5
59:18, 22 69:16
82:7, 7 98:19
99:2, 5
loss 50:5 77:20
lost 55:18 99:21
lot 20:7, 15, 20
27:4, 23 28:18
31:17, 17 34:18
35:13 44:7
46:4, 4, 10 47:5,
10 49:8 53:16
56:10, 10 58:6,
14 60:12 67:15
71:3 74:18
80:12 83:21
86:22, 24 88:11,
20 98:12
105:19, 24
lots 44:6, 13, 13
45:16 56:13, 14
68:11 69:8 76:8
low 10:17
lower 5:21 6:14
72:16 84:9
94:25 96:18
Lynne 51:21, 23
< M >
M1 49:12 79:25
macro 29:18
33:8 93:18
madhouse 96:19
mail 99:12, 13
main 18:12
30:13, 13 49:17
maintain 6:22
81:11
maintained
81:13
maintaining
47:12, 16
maintains 8:9
major 12:20
15:15 20:24
```

```
making 27:15
32:17 78:22
82:15
makings 21:11
Mallick 82:19
83:17, 17
malls 87:24
Management
13:14 26:24
27:2, 25 44:5,
10, 15 70:18, 20
72:12, 15 83:3,
13
mandates 15:13
75:8
mankind 42:3
manner 53:24
77:13
map 5:8 7:16
12:7, 23 14:15
15:19, 19, 21
17:12, 16 34:14
49:25 56:13, 14
57:14 58:10
61:17 63:25, 25
mapped 35:6
mapping 33:17
maps 12:13
15:15
march 58:6
marvelous 61:6
MASI 2:9
massive 46:23
52:6
massively 96:4
master 5:20
23:4 35:25
66:16 88:9
materials 15:25
91:18
matter 10:2
13:22 90:2
99:23
matters 4:17
maximum 14:4,
7 35:10 47:6
49:24 58:14
62:1
McBean 66:16
mean 31:6
89:21, 22, 23, 24
102:12
means 16:3, 7
28:14, 23 30:24
59:24 60:9
61:2 94:13
97:22
measure 43:19
measures 43:18
mechanism
```

```
61:11
media 17:4
meet 65:1
70:21 74:6 86:1
meeting 3:4, 7
24:23 25:19
30:17 31:13
32:17 44:2
64:25 97:21
98:16 102:22,
22, 22 106:12,
15, 16 107:6
108:5, 9, 10
meetings 30:22
31:1, 9 46:25
61:17
member 30:15
65:15 99:17
MEMBERS 2:2
29:23, 24, 25
31:18 55:19
mention 11:1
14:25 19:2
20:24
mentioned 6:8
10:8 12:1 13:5
19:8 21:24
22:8 67:10 84:3
mere 93:6, 9
95:8
merely 95:11
Mestre 18:18
met 55:9
Metrolink 13:2
metropolitan
22:22
mic 102:7
microcosm 36:2
microphone 98:7
mid 42:14
46:24 104:23
middle 28:1
68:13, 17 74:24
midpoint 35:11
94:13
Mike 47:9
51:21 54:7
99:14
mile 50:19
miles 8:23
14:17 22:14
42:1 45:2 51:8
81:14
MILLER 108:3,
16
million 27:6, 8
43:18 45:7
56:15
millions 62:21
63:5
Milo 48:21, 24
```

21:9, 13 50:20

61:2 62:1

mind 95: <i>14</i>
103:19
mine 43:7
mine's 34·14
minimal 38.25
minimize /11:15
mine's 34:14 minimal 38:25 minimize 41:15 minimum 35:10
47:6 58:1 <i>4</i>
65:21 103:19
Mining 5:14
minor 19:7
Mint 40: <i>14</i>
minute 53:17
minutes 24:17
25·2 / 0 11
25:3, <i>4</i> , 9, 11 29:10, 22, 25
29.70, 22, 23
30:2, 9 48:17
98:23
misperception
13:18
mistake 74:19
MITCH 2:10
3:20 4:4 20:3,
24 21:24 31:10
32:2 79:23
80:3 86:22
mix 6:11
model 60:11
61:2 67:10
modeling 59:20
modifications
4:22
modify 15:21
MODUGNO 2:5
3:6, 7, 12 24:22
25:6 29:8
30: <i>10</i> 31: <i>25</i> 32: <i>5</i> 37: <i>2</i> 91: <i>6</i> ,
16 92:6, 22, 24
93:6, 9, 15
100:9 101:5, <i>18</i> ,
<i>25</i> 102: <i>6</i> , <i>17</i>
103: <i>7</i> , <i>10</i>
104: <i>17</i> , <i>25</i>
105: <i>13</i> , <i>24</i>
106: <i>8</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>21</i>
Moise 48:21
49:16
<b>Molly</b> 18: <i>14</i>
mom 78:11
moment 41:23
78:10 96:1
MONASABIAN
51:20
Monasebian
48:21, 22 49:16,
17
MONDAY 1:8
4.9 105.17 22
4:9 105: <i>17</i> , <i>2</i> 2,
4:9 105: <i>17</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>23</i>

**money** 26:9 43:7 63:9 67:24 68:2 78:13, 17 100:11, 16 Monica 49:18 Monitoring 61:7 month 47:14 101:13 months 30:16 moot 60:23 mornings 99:5 mosaic 11:22 **motion** 100:6 101:25 102:17, 23 106:4 motivation 68:3 **Mounted** 66:20 Move 3:12, 18 19:15 78:15 95:2 97:5, 17 104:*16* 106:*24* **moved** 21:*20* 41:18 64:12 72:21 moving 22:3 35:9 95:17 multiple 29:25 32:8 mumbling 100:2 < N >**N2** 83:*14* **naked** 7:20

Nadler 79:5, 7, 7 name 19:21, 22, 25 25:13, 14 37:21, 24 38:3, 11 39:11 40:19 43:15 46:15 48:6, 23 49:16 55:1, 3, 4 56:4 57:3 59:5 62:12 65:13 66:24 68:6 70:12 72:20 74:10 77:18 79:7 80:21 81:19 82:21 83:17 85:1, 3, 4 86:16 87:12 88:7 90:11 **names** 48:2 54:3 name's 29:5 46:3 48:24 51:23 54:7 57:22 63:23 89:15

narrative 5:25

national 21:12, 12 27:19 47:7 58:7 63:6 68:24 natural 5:24, 24 6:12, 23 15:7, 8, 25 21:16 42:5 near 13:1 27:8 50:20 77:9 nearby 95:4, 23 nearest 50:19 Nebraska 42:2 necessarily 79:24 105:25 necessary 53:12 necessitate 13:10 **need** 10:8 27:20 33:7 34:25 43:7 44:8 51:9, 9 67:13 68:16 70:2, 3 79:19 80:17 **needed** 28:13 52:8 56:17 needs 7:24 8:2, 6 60:18 67:24 79:24 negates 66:2 90:19 negatively 87:4 neighbor 25:2 54:13, 18 neighborhood 40:12 64:5 76:15 87:15, 16, 22, 24 89:2, 11 96:19 neighborhoods 76:20 77:2, 9 89:23 neighbors 21:4 43:15 54:11 64:11, 19 73:10, 11 78:19, 21, 21 Neil 79:5, 7 neither 96:17, 20 nervous 86:6 **NET** 17:6 never 27:9 52:5 78:10 81:12 94:1 95:10, 24 **new** 8:6 9:17 10:1 13:4, 9, 9 14:3, 10, 25 17:16 35:7 50:3, 8, 13, 16 54:19 57:14 61:8, 19, 22, 25 77:4, 5 82:12

84:14 87:5, 17 89:18 97:16 Newhall 5:17 6:10 11:8, 25 13:3 21:14 23:3 67:16. 16 75:15 96:13 newspaper 17:5 **nice** 48:19 73:14 nightmarish 60:7 **Nikitine** 62:10, 12, 12 nilly 89:20 nine 54:12 nodded 98:5 **Noise** 11:16 16:3, *4*, *4* 18:19 nonconforming 66:12 Nonurban 13:15, 16 **normally** 36:14 99:4 North 8:9, 10 21:16 27:19 28:15 41:25 57:13 58:11 66:11 69:8 74:22 81:9 84:13 northeast 39:15 50:18 northern 28:12, 13, 17 59:18, 22 northernmost 38:22 Northridge 6:3 notably 5:20 **notice** 34:17 49:18 102:13 notification 101:23 **Novak** 99:15 November 52:3 91:11, 15 92:11 101:9 104:19, 23 105:17 106:6 108:12 **number** 17:9 20:23 22:14 49:1 50:1 56:13 58:20 76:12 95:17 numbers 84:1 95:24 **number's** 94:18 numerous 12:11 nursery 49:3 **Nuys** 64:14

< 0 > **o0o** 107:7 Oak 75:14 94:16 Oaks 5:12 **Obama** 63:15 **object** 80:15 observation 81:24 **obtain** 59:16 obtained 59:10 obvious 82:9 obviously 4:25 9:4 12:17 17:18 28:5 102:12 occupancy 64:3 occurred 93:21 o'clock 65:1 OCTOBER 1:8 3:2, 4 107:5 offer 77:11 **Office** 50:12 51:11 79:13 official 24:9, 25 25:8 91:17 93:2, 8 officials 23:25 24:1, 6 offset 56:12, 16 **Oh** 64:23 70:20 71:6 73:1 102:8 oil 5:15 Okay 3:18 4:3 24:8, 15 25:10 30:10 36:25 37:12 43:1 62:4, 14 63:22, 22 71:19 72:6 80:4 84:22 85:*4* 91:*3* 93:*6*, 9, 15 103:7, 10 104:25 105:13, 24 106:24 107:3 **Old** 13:3 28:14 46:8 61:18, 22 63:25 90:14 97:16 older 35:6 98:3 once 34:3, 10, 20 55:8 85:14 98:7 101:24 ones 71:16, 18 103:18 ongoing 17:18, 24 31:22 37:11 80:6 **online** 61:17 **Open** 11:*15* 15:6, 15 17:3 21:14 27:13, 18,

83:5, 8, 11

40 04:00 00 40
19 31:23 36:18
50:2 <i>4</i> 71:9, <i>1</i> 2
91: <i>17</i> 92: <i>4</i>
93: <i>4</i> , <i>25</i> 104: <i>14</i>
opening 59:15
<b>opens</b> 93:11
operating 49:4
opinion 63:13 opinions 59:16
epinions 50:16
<b>opinions</b> 59.76
opportunities
65: <i>4</i> 91:21
opportunity
20:10 21:17
32:18 33:10
36: <i>17</i> , 22 51: <i>16</i>
58:19 65:12
74:6 78:12
04.0 40 40
91:9, 18, 19
96:19 97:5, 6
104:5
<b>oppose</b> 39:22
00.00
90:22
opposed 46:19,
23 81:3 83:10
87:19 89: <i>4</i> , <i>4</i> , 7
07.79 09.4, 4, 7
opposition 28:9
75:18
option 26:10
27:23 61:8
oral 32:20
<b>Orange</b> 98:25
<b>order</b> 24:5
<b>ordered</b> 3:14
<b>Ordinance</b> 13: <i>11</i>
44:11, 15
ordinances 18:2
33:17
organization 5:4
9:13 51:24
original 23:4
50:7 88:9
originally 75:18
86:15
<b>outcome</b> 108: <i>10</i>
<b>outcome</b> 108: <i>10</i> <b>outreach</b> 16: <i>13</i>
59:16
outside 40:6
outskirts 46:20
outstanding
20:8 23:23
OV 28:4
overall 20:16
35:10 93:17
overlay 58:22
61.17 10 07.15
61:17, 19 97:15
overlays 94:16
overriding 22:25
overriding 22:25 Oversight 43:17
overstated 52:13
overstatement

CASTAIC	SPO
OVOV 17:6 26:1, 22 27 21 28:24 3 48:12 56:3 57:9 64:1 owned 80: 90:20 98:1 owner 14: 26:8 29:6 37:25 38:4 39:16 48:5 49:21 55:4 82:20 87:1 owners 17 26:25 27:2 36:4 39:14 49:10 64:4 85:12 86:3 13, 20 87:8 ownership owns 87:6	7:13, 30:15 } 84:15 6 4 1 1 3:8 22, 25 4 4 8, 8, 8, 94:8 21:5
<pre>P&gt; p.m 3:2 1 Pacific 43: package 1 packages packet 102 103:1</pre>	5 9:3 26:10

103:*1* **pad** 73:23 74:15, 22 Page 83:7 **paid** 43:8 **painted** 34:14 Palmdale 69:9, 13 **paper** 49:2 **Paradise** 70:10, 11 72:20, 20 74:10, 10 parallel 93:20 parameters 58:18 parcel 17:9 25:24, 24 27:5 38:7, 13, 18, 19, *20, 21* 39:2, *4, 4*, 6, 7 46:5 48:25 49:1 54:14 72:14 73:8 83:23 90:13 94:15 103:1 parcels 25:23 27:7 28:1, 1 38:6, 12, 14 54:12 70:14 73:22 74:17 80:23, 24 **Pardon** 24:13 43:3

Park 5:11 39:15 63:24 77:19, 25 78:14 85:5, 6, 8 90:14 **Parker** 28:22 **parking** 28:18 parkland 76:23 parks 51:11 86:14, 19 94:23 part 5:25 20:25 32:14 78:25 99:20 103:1 participant 30:5, participants 66:20 participated 24:25 participation 18:8 particular 55:12 57:10 77:24 particularly 60:23 particulars 52:1 **parties** 108:9 partnership 20:6 **parts** 6:10 pass 22:2 33:1 52:*5* 59:*25* 96:*5* **passed** 22:11 41:6 43:19 passports 59:11 **PAT** 2:5 patched 69:5 Patricia 79:5 80:21 Patterson 88:3 **Paul** 18:11 19:12, 23, 25 99:15 pave 56:7, 16, 20 **paved** 38:15 56:18 89:12 pay 45:7 51:2 **paying** 55:13 pedestrian 14:16 **pennies** 26:25 27:15 78:8, 22 **people** 9:15, 20, 21 10:4 20:21 21:20 22:15 24:5 30:7, 18 33:9 35:12, 18, 22 36:12, 12 37:15 41:16 42:3 45:*4* 46:*4* 52:17, 18, 19 55:21 57:8 59:17 63:1, 2, 7,

69:*15* 70:*4*, 6 73:4, 5 80:8, 12 81:13 82:1 86:2, 8 91:18, 19, 21 93:3, 21 96:25 100:10 103:16 106:1 people's 28:7 86:4 **percent** 44:12 71:25 98:4 period 42:6 52:3 91:*14*, *25* 92:10 93:2, 10 101:9, 20 103:25 104:2, 3, 4, 14, 18 105:4 106:*10* periodically 10:9 permanent 32:14 99:20 permit 44:8, 21 65:20 70:15 permits 63:2 permitted 40:25 83:*4* **Perry** 46:1, 3, 3 **person** 13:22 46:6 88:5 108:*4* personal 28:5 29:14 60:14 83:10 87:21 personally 57:15 93:22 PERSONNEL 2:7 **persons** 10:21 19:17 24:19 perspective 7:5 20:23 petition 37:5 73:13 74:5, 7 Phase 47:3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 7, 10 **phases** 46:24 47:2 65:19, 21 66:*4* phasing 47:3 **Phillip** 75:13 phone 44:1 48:16, 17 86:23 **photo** 6:14 pick 99:22 100:*14* picked 90:17 98:3 100:2 picking 99:8 **picks** 45:3 **piece** 34:2, 18 55:10, 12 68:8 73:6 90:14 93:23, 24

**pieces** 71:11 90:12, 16, 18 97:10 **piles** 36:19, 20, place 4:10 6:5 21:5 34:20 55:23 72:22 78:9, 17 82:22 89:21 **places** 67:25 Plambeck 51:21, 23, 23 Plan 3:22 4:6, 20, 24 5:1, 3 6:25 7:4, 7, 10, 12 8:1, 2, 6 9:3, 4, 24 10:1, 11, *11* 11:8, *13*, *14* 12:6, 8, 10, 20 13:5, 6, 7, 10, 17, 19 14:3, 20, 21, 25 16:10, 20, 22, 23, 24 17:11, 17, 20, 22 18:1, 15 19:17 20:21 21:1 22:18, 24 23:2, 4, 6, 14 26:1 27:21 28:24 33:16 39:19 40:13 41:3 49:20 50:3, 7, 8, 10, 13, 16 51:3 52:1, 4 53:8, 15, 20 57:9 58:8 59:20 61:5, 8, 18, 20, 25 66:16 67:3 70:2 73:1 84:14, 16 86:23 88:9 92:3, 15, 18 planned 5:20 46:24 50:17 51:10 68:21, 22 69:19 84:17 planners 41:18 45:20 74:19 PLANNING 1:2 3:4 5:8 7:8, 13 8:9, 22 9:14 16:*4* 18:*1*, *5* 20:4, 11, 14, 15, 20 21:8 22:22 23:9, 13, 22 27:22 33:23 34:11, 22 35:6, 11, 25 36:1, 2 39:10 43:22, 24 44:7, 19 45:11, 12, 18, 21 48:10 50:*6*, 9 51:*14*,

10, 17 65:2

24 59:1, 2
60:18 75:8
76:2 83:21
84:4, 10, 17
86:12 95:12
97:8 101: <i>16</i> 102: <i>1</i> 2 105: <i>1</i> ,
16, 19 108:5
plans 7:14 10:8,
9 11:25 22:13
28:8 33:15
28:8 33:15 44:3 53:23 54:1 69:12 81:8
54:1 69:12 81:8 plant 45:6
plant 45:6   planted 86:11
planted 66.77
players 34:2
<b>plays</b> 5:25
please 3:8
please 3:8 19:18 37:17
42:21 45:22
48:22 54:22 55:20 61:2 14
48:22 54:22 55:20 61:2, 14, 16 65:3 74:25
83:14 87:1, 22
89:5
pleased 20:10
57:25 66:25
Pledge 3:5, 10 Plum 68:23
plus 25:22
52:15
<b>point</b> 10:13
13:8 31:11, 16,
19 32:25 33:12 60:14, 14 62:1
72:9 91:22
92:17 94:12
95:12, 12, 24
101: <i>19</i> 102: <i>2</i> , <i>3</i> ,
25
<b>points</b> 43:22 50:25 61: <i>15</i>
62:3
policies 15:13
17:21
<b>Policy</b> 12:23
18:15
<b>pollution</b> 60:22 77:6
poor 26:21
27:21 53:20
populated 50:18
71:14
population
10:21 52:7, 10 portion 4:13
59:20 61:1
portions 6:19
10: <i>17</i>

CASTAL	C SPOR
position 25:8 78: Posse 6 possessi	20 6:20
81: <i>12</i> <b>possibilit</b> 78:7 104 <b>possible</b> 67: <i>14</i>	4:1
Poster 1 postulate potential 35:20 39	d 60: <i>10</i> 14: <i>12</i> 9: <i>5</i>
potholes power 6 powered 21 powers	0:2 <i>4</i> 60: <i>17</i> ,
PREACH 8 30:9, 1 36:25 3 preached	29:5, 5, 7:3 100:10
predicted prefer 10 preferabl prelimina	1 12:25 05:3 y 61:3 ıry 17:2
prepare prepared 92:11 95 prepared 16:1	18: <i>17</i> 5:2
present 58:8 68: presentat 4:25 5:4	10 t <b>ion</b>
20:3, 25 presented 16:25 18 32:1 78:	<b>d</b> 4:22 3:2 <i>1</i>
presently Preservat 65:16 preserve	tion 21: <i>18</i>
65:23 66 preservin pressure: presume 60:13	g 21:22 s 6:23
presumpt 60:15 pretty 7' prevention	1: <i>15</i> o <b>n</b> 16:2
11:21 price 55 primary	<b>y</b> 6:8 :13 26:17
prime 67 principles 18 prior 104	<b>s</b> 16: <i>16</i> ,

5 (	.01	IPI	ظد	Λ	0
	10, rate bab 5 1 13 24 24 1:16 bler 16 25 ced	16 ly l4: 30 49 98 m 69 96 ns 67	8 119 19:22 16;3 67:4 19:7	6:3 1:1 1:1 2 1:4, 9	2 1 8,
pro 19: pro 11 10 23 5, 1 44: 53: 93: 103 pro	10 ces 20: 22: 26: 7 3 22 19, 5 9 3:18 ces	s 7 5 6, 9 51 20,	16 21 23 9 11, 21 1	20 5 36	) : 18
pro	ces	ses	3	53	23
91: <b>Pro</b>	ces 20 fess 13 13 grai gres	94 9 <b>5io</b> 59	<b>g</b> :9 na :1	3 <sup>2</sup> I	l: <i>4</i>
pro		tiv	е	39	:1
62: 66: 71: 106	ject 21 13 1 7 3:5	63 67 75:	0:0 :4 :10 19	6, 1 6, 1	17
pro		ed	1	0:2	25
11: pro pro 6, 1	ject ject 1				
<b>pro</b> . 9 1 <b>pro</b> . 67:	ject  0: <i>1</i> ject <i>6</i> , <i>1</i> 3 1 mot	8 <b>s</b> 4 105	12 17 76 : <i>1</i> !	:9 :20 :22 9	)
prop prop 34:	ne	6:2 3: 16	2 2:1	18	

```
properties 14:12
26:13 27:16
34:13 62:24
74:22 79:20
80:14 84:23
85:10 86:9
88:17 90:19
93:24 94:3
property 9:20
13:24, 24 14:1
17:8 26:12, 13,
16, 17, 25, 25
27:9 28:5
29:14, 16 30:12
33:18, 19 34:18
35:3, 5 36:4
38:17, 18, 22
39:13, 16 44:5
45:13 46:5, 9
48:5, 18 49:10,
23, 24 50:1, 4, 5,
7, 15, 17, 20, 21
51:8 54:9
55:12 57:5, 7,
10 58:16, 24
68:8 69:21
73:2, 8 74:22
76:5 78:4
79:14, 15, 20
80:1, 3, 6 81:2
82:20 84:14
85:12, 19, 22
86:3, 4, 8, 13
87:5, 8, 13, 23
90:12, 16, 18, 20
93:23 94:8
95:15
proposal 75:19
76:5
proposals 94:4
proposed 12:6
13:7 14:21, 22,
23 15:18 17:10
26:3, 11 27:11,
24 28:10 40:13,
15 47:23 56:5,
9, 19, 20 59:21
61:19, 21 65:13
66:2 76:9, 12
84:12 87:14
90:18
proposing 15:17
protect 96:2
protected 36:24
53:10 62:25
protecting 47:14
53:10
protection 53:6
provide 14:10
18:25 23:21
```

```
25:2 69:3 80:8
93:7
provided 29:10
32:10 36:19
providers 9:6
provides 16:3, 7
providing 14:18
25:9 29:17
52:18
proximity 12:19,
21 54:18
PUBLIC 2:9
3:19, 22 4:5
13:23 18:7
25:4 32:6 37:7
59:2, 13 62:14
63:11 91:20
93:5 98:1
106:5 107:3
pull 35:23
pulling 21:1
purchase 55:14
100:16
purchased
26:12, 20 27:16
49:23 55:11
62:16 73:3
90:16.19
purchases 26:16
purpose 4:11
36:10 65:25
78:5 95:12
pursuant 92:16
107:3
put 33:16 34:2,
16, 20 35:12
45:4 49:6
52:19 63:8
69:22 70:22
71:2, 2, 19, 20,
22 72:1 78:9
99:25, 25 100:11
puts 27:6 67:17
putting 34:17
71:11, 18 95:8
< Q >
Quail 56:8
quality 6:18, 22
12:4 22:6
```

questions 16:9 23:19, 20 91:7 quick 61:5, 15 62:14
quickly 62:13 75:4 98:8
<b>quite</b> 49: <i>6</i> 53:3 76: <i>14</i> 86: <i>22</i>
4 D S

< R > **R1** 85:10, 11 R200701226 106:*5* raise 19:18 Ralph 37:18, 19, 22, 24 38:3 ramifications 77:1 ramp 28:15 **Ranch** 5:10, 12 11:8, 25 21:*14* 23:3 46:17

54:16 57:25 65:15 66:13 67:16, 17 96:14 range 16:19 94:13

**Rangers** 66:19 ranging 9:12 58:14 rapid 60:14 rate 56:10 **rated** 49:2 rates 10:14

**ratify** 16:16 rationale 104:12 Rauch 88:4 89:15, 15

raw 55:10 reach 52:12 **reached** 10:13

reaction 93:21 read 31:4 38:8

readily 7:20 Ready 25:12 32:22

real 26:12, 13, 16 36:10 67:8, 12, 23 82:13 100:19, 20

realize 86:13, 24 89:5

really 6:24 16:8 20:6, 8, 10, 14, 14 21:3, 17 22:12, 24 30:24

31:5 40:3, 7 52:1, 5 53:5, 8 63:10 66:25

67:4, 11 73:14 76:4 77:21

80:7 81:2 85:8 86:23 89:24 95:5, 25 97:18 99:3 100:17 101:*14* 102:*3* 106:1 reason 47:20

50:14 64:17 82:13 88:24 90:21

reasonable 32:25 33:11 77:12 82:2 95:18 97:8

reasons 8:2 42:8, 13 56:8 **rebuilt** 39:17 **receive** 59:13

85:21 101:23 received 33:3 49:18 72:25 73:14, 18 74:2

101:13 receiving 63:2 85:23

recharge 53:9,

**Reckner** 37:19 recognition 23:5 recognize 23:8, 11 60:18

recognized 6:17 recommend 25:9 92:18 101:5

recommendation 25:4 35:2 36:7 91:8 92:6, 9 94:18 103:9

104:12 recommended 29:9 101:25

102:17, 23 recommending 36:2

reconsider 39:3, 23 55:20 57:18 73:18 74:3

reconsidered 46:11 record 32:14

34:17 99:20 recorded 44:6. 13, 14 108:6

recording 101:2 recreational 21:15

redesignation 89:19

**reduce** 8:25 22:14, 15 41:21 67:13, 14 reduced 58:5 reducing 8:23

reevaluate 50:6 reference 41:1 referenced 41:11 references 40:24

14:17 55:17

reflects 23:15 **regarded** 15:*15* regarding 4:17 50:11

reflect 97:1

regardless 14:8.

regards 6:4 76:7 Region 25:16,

21 39:18 Regional 3:4 18:5 39:9

43:22, 24 44:7, 19 45:10, 17, 20 50:9 86:12

108:5

regrade 81:15 regular 4:10 7:14 106:13, 15 regulation 81:25 regulations 82:4,

6, 10, 12 regulatory 85:20, 24, 25 86:3, 21 Reinecker 37:20

40:19, 20 42:22, 24 43:1, 4 **Reinsma** 57:1.

21. 22 reiterate 53:22 related 4:17

relationship 20:9 76:10 released 17:1

remain 47:18, 24, 24 58:24 65:21 66:8 78:4

84:16 101:20 105:9

remainder 50:24 remaining 65:21 remains 47:21

69:1, 19 remember 6:2 16:23 48:22

remembers 85:8 reminded 6:1 removed 58:23 render 60:22

renewal 87:4 renewed 55:9 renotice 102:11, 15, 16, 20

rental 26:17 repave 81:14 repetitive 98:12 **replace** 61:13

Report 5:2 13:5 16:21 18:17 76:4 92:1, 2 93:1, 8 104:11

107:2 **REPORTER** 108:1, 3

reports 3:17 91:3

represent 31:7 83:18 representation 29:19 85:2

representative 24:11 25:21 representatives

24:16 30:3 103:12 representing

18:16 25:16 29:10 36:12 51:24 57:4

75:15 85:5, 5 represents 75:22 77:9

request 52:20 53:6 55:8 58:22 64:24, 24 66:8, 15 73:15 84:16 104:11

requested 4:23 66:6 103:25 requesting

101:*14* requests 50:14 104:9

require 38:25 50:22 required 8:12,

16 52:10 requirement 61:6 98:19

requirements 22:9 45:19 76:21 95:6 requires 8:21

22:12

rerun 17:5 **Reserve** 66:20 reside 54:7 residence 26:17

87:7 residences 64:10 resident 25:15, 20 39:11 66:25 75:5 88:8 residential 39:20 46:6, 9 50:12 67:18 83:3 84:6 87:22 residents 7:23 9:17, 17, 17, 18 16:15 21:6, 20 22:6 23:16

26:3 30:1 46:18, 22 47:1 58:3 62:22 69:7, 8 85:21 resolution 92:18 102:1, 24 105:11

resource 23:21 resources 5:24 12:*4* 15:*8*, *11*, *12* 

respect 41:6 respectfully 58:22 respects 11:19

34:5 respond 8:17 23:18 92:12

responding 22:9 response 22:23 73:19

responses 92:14 103:5 responsibility 31:18 responsive

15:*1*3 rest 19:8 22:21 24:2

restaurants 83:9 87:21 88:22 restricting 54:21 restrictions 66:9 restrictive 54:22

resulting 22:16 51:4 retail 83:10, 12

87:21 88:23 89:17 retailers 9:7 **retain** 65:18

retaining 28:25 **retains** 66:18 retire 81:3 Retirement

26:10 28:7 81:1 return 4:23 15:23 50:7 92:13 100:15 102:1, 20 106:8,

returning 102:23
105: <i>11</i>
revenues 51:12 review 17:19
24:24 44:3
59: <i>1</i> 91:25 97:22
reviewed 26:1
revised 4:24
74:25 revision 41:4
revisions 18:21
19:1, 3, 7
<b>REW</b> 2:4 3:13, 24 100:7
106:18, 22
rezone 39:19 43:4, 7 46:6, 7
43:4, 7 46:6, 7 rezoning 43:2
rich 5:13
Richard 51:21 Richter 62:2
ridge 54:19
Ridgeline 15:19,
19 ridgelines 15:20,
21 27:2
ridges 38:23
ridiculously 71:24 75:19
right 4.1 5.21
6: <i>16</i> 13: <i>4</i> 19: <i>19</i> 28: <i>4</i>
35:16 38:8
39:25 40:12, 13
44:25 45:5, 14, 14 46:3 47:7
49:7 54:11, 16
64:1 68:18
69:2 76: <i>1</i> 2, <i>24</i> 78:3 81: <i>1</i> 3
84:23 85:22
92:22 99: <i>1</i> 101: <i>18</i> 102: <i>6</i> , <i>17</i>
rightfully 33:5
rights 33:7
36:23 96:21 river 62:25
67:18
Riverview 46:16
RL1 57:13 RL10 14:8 26:24
DIO 51.10 11
23 73:18 74:20, 23 75:1 81:6
83:6, 8, 11, 14
87: <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 88: <i>16</i> ,
22 <b>RL20</b> 87:6
<b>RL5</b> 14:7 27:25
45:15 54:10, 21

```
57:10 73:3
74:18, 24 80:15
81:3
road 15:10
28:12, 14, 23
29:1, 6 38:5, 15,
16 40:20 44:24
46:16 48:7
54:7 55:5 56:3,
8, 11, 16, 17, 20
57:6, 23 59:6
60:2 66:17
68:7, 9, 9, 19, 22,
23, 24 69:2, 10,
19 71:25 72:21
74:11 80:22
81:10, 20 82:21,
21, 25 83:23, 25
87:13 88:1, 7
89:9, 16 90:2, 3,
3, 5, 13
roads 60:4
68:21 70:3
71:2, 2, 23, 24
72:4 89:12 95:4
roadway 15:3
roadways 12:20
16:5 17:22
Robb 51:21
Robert 25:14
65:10, 10 68:6
Rock 85:5
Rogers 88:4
role 5:15 9:10
rolls 103:2
Romero 74:14
83:2 88:15
room 12:8
24:20 41:24
73:5, 17 77:21
97:24 98:14, 17,
18 99:23
106:13, 17
Rosalind 99:16
ROSE 2:8
Rosie 46:1 48:6
Rotary 43:16
routes 14:22
ruin 27:11
ruining 78:25
rules 97:14
run 23:11 39:25
99:25
running 67:24
runoff 40:10, 11,
14
rural 6:12
10:17 11:3, 23
13:9, 14, 19
14:6, 12 45:11
47:12, 16, 22, 24
```

```
57:25 65:18
66:1, 17 69:8
70:3 72:4, 23
78:4 83:1, 2
87:17, 22, 24
88:15, 23 89:1,
11, 23 94:20, 25
Russia 63:14
RV 85:5, 6, 15
< S >
sac 38:16
sacrificing 55:21
Sadiq 54:4 55:1,
3
Safety 11:16
15:24 56:18, 20
61:24
sake 56:19
sales 83:10
salute 3:8
saluting 3:9
salvo 59:15
San 5:10 22:3
46:16, 18, 21, 22
47:14, 17 57:23
58:11 59:23, 24
60:1, 3 65:15
66:13, 16 69:10
Sand 54:7
Santa 3:22 4:6,
15 5:6, 13, 15,
23 6:1, 6, 6, 9,
17, 21 7:1, 7, 9,
16, 17 8:11
9:12, 13, 16, 19
10:2, 6, 24
11:14 14:21
15:18, 22 17:10,
25 19:11, 24
20:2, 16 21:10,
18 22:19 23:17
27:17 29:15
30:4 32:8
33:14 34:9
39:12 46:16, 20
48:10 49:17
51:15, 24 58:4
59:7, 9 60:9
61:4 64:18
65:16 66:5, 25
67:20 69:10, 15
71:10, 23 76:4
77:10, 13 79:18
83:18 90:12
94:19 98:22
100:13 103:11
Saugus 6:10
savings 51:4
saw 63:14
```

saying 42:7, 7 72:6 73:14 74:2 89:22 93:22 95:8 97:4 says 44:7, 22 45:11 49:2 72:13 89:10, 19, 24 96:3 **SB** 8:21 12:25 22:10, 10, 11, 23 **SCAG** 22:22 52:5, 10 **Scale** 62:2 scenario 11:1 16:25 **scene** 35:3 **scenic** 15:11 schedule 65:4 105:*5*, *9* scheduled 101:*10*, *24* 104:19 **scheme** 14:10 school 9:7 16:14 25:7 30:18 43:18 50:16 56:18, 21 83:21, 22 84:4, 6 schools 95:5 **Schultz** 65:9 66:24, 24 science 41:4 **Sciences** 18:16 92:11 **Scorza** 75:13 screen 5:17 11:18 12:12 17:13 **Secant** 50:9 **Second** 3:13 21:9 38:14, 19 55:9 59:21 73:8, 23 104:4 106:18 secondary 28:11, 21 50:10 56:6, 22 68:22 69:1, 19 75:5, 8 seconds 24:18 37:1 54:19 Section 3:21 4:5 37:25 38:*4* 39:15 56:7, 19 **sections** 15:1, 2 52:21 see 5:8, 17, 21 6:11 7:12, 17 10:25 11:*17* 13:20 14:4, 6 15:20 17:10 20:21 46:7

51:14 52:13 61:20 67:11 69:18 70:2, 5, 24 71:8 72:8, 10, 16 99:6 105:8 **Seeing** 107:4 seen 15:9 58:10 sees 86:12 **seismic** 12:19 15:25 **Seitz** 19:5 selected 103:18 self 38:22 sell 27:9 63:6 81:2 **Senate** 41:10 send 99:13 sense 20:20 21:5, 5 28:6 35:23 36:5, 7 40:2, 15 sensitive 50:23 sent 28:9, 11 31:10, 19 73:13 76:5 97:25 99:14, 14, 15, 16, 16 sentiments 59:17 separate 21:18 74:15 September 19:4, *5*, *5*, *6* 91:*14* **septic** 45:10 **series** 16:16, 23 17:3 serious 42:17 80:17 85:7 96:7, 8 seriously 89:7, seriousness 36:*4* **serve** 9:9 Service 9:6 services 7:25 12:21 83:10 87:21 94:23 set 33:7 40:24 70:16 93:10 94:17 101:15, 19 102:14 108:11 setting 93:9 **settle** 82:14 seven 29:24 62:1, 3 severely 56:7 **sewer** 45:5 **Shangri** 71:25

share 7:25
76:17
<b>Shaw</b> 75:13
77:18, 18
sheriff's 9:8
81:20
<b>Sherry</b> 65:9, 14 70:10 72:20
shoot 30:12
short 9:23 61:7
shortly 31:20
<b>shortly</b> 31:20 67:11 86:19
104:2 <i>4</i>
shots 59:10
<b>show</b> 61: <i>10</i>
84: <i>15</i> <b>showed</b> 30: <i>18</i>
showing 14:22
shown 58:16
shows 42:12
<b>shut</b> 75:7
shutdown 28:16
side 11:18 34:1
43:20 52:23 57:13 76:13
57:13 76:13
84: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> 103: <i>16</i> <b>sides</b> 68: <i>9</i>
Sides 68:9 Sierra 40:11
45:13 49:1, 23
50:25 51:3
63:24 64:2, 9
83:24 84:13, 13
sign 74:7
Signal 17:5
<b>signed</b> 24:8 73:12, 12 74:5
101:22
significant
12:18 15:16
22:24 23:15
52:16
Significantly
11:7 20:17
Silver 64:12
similar 12:24 27:13 38:6 82:8
simplify 26.5 6
simplify 26:5, 6 single 29:21 sings 93:13
sings 93:13
<b>sir</b> 42:20 45:22
54: <i>5</i> , 25 56: <i>4</i>
61:14 90:10
sister 68:8, 18
<b>sit</b> 43:16 54:11 71:8
site 45:9 83:24
sites 38:25
83:22, 22 84:5
situation 8:5
41:2 <i>1</i> 60:8

CASTAIC SPOR
six 30:16, 22 31:9 90:20 size 15:17 sizes 47:5, 11 58:14 skies 12:14 slide 9:1 slightly 13:15 Sloan 29:6 56:3, 11, 16, 17, 22 57:6 80:22, 25 81:9 82:20, 21, 25 83:2 87:13, 25 88:7, 15 89:9, 16 90:2, 3 98:12 slope 13:21 14:8, 9 44:12 73:19, 24 sloped 71:24 sloped 71:24 slopes 12:17 sloping 38:23 slowly 100:25 small 38:22 46:5, 17 48:5, 25 58:6 62:20, 21 78:3, 13 90:4 96:2 smaller 82:25 88:1 89:9 Smiser 76:5 Smisko 18:11
snow 28:16 75:7 snuck 64:6, 8 solar 60:25 Soledad 55:5 59:6 62:13 84:23
solving 75:25 somebody 95:14 97:3, 15 somebody's 94:14
somewhat 6:15 22:21 95:18 Song 84:20 85:6, 14 86:5, 9 sorry 24:4 25:6 38:3 42:22 63:12, 17 92:24 102:8 103:11 106:14 sort 16:24 33:17 35:10, 12 36:24 53:15 93:13, 17 94:12 95:12, 17 96:5 97:9, 14 100:1 103:16, 20

105: <i>14</i>
sorts 14:1
sound 100:1 source 68:2 south 21:13 28:13 59:24 60:10 61:4
source 68:2
south 21:13
20.42 50.24
28:13 59:24
60: <i>10</i> 61: <i>4</i>
74:23 84:14
Southern 8:8
62:3
<b>Space</b> 11:16
15:6, <i>16</i> 21: <i>14</i>
27: <i>18</i> , <i>20</i> 50: <i>24</i>
68:1
spaces 71:9, 12
sparsely 50:18
<b>speak</b> 24:5, 20 36:15, 15 39:10 12 48:4 57:16
36:15, 15 39:10
12 48:4 57:16
65:4 67:1, 4
75:4 85:22
91:19, 21 96:7
100.24
100:24
speaker 24:17
91:10 103:12
speakers 29:10
11 91:1 97:25
103: <i>11</i>
<b>speaker's</b> 19:15
speaker's 19:15 speaking 19:17
24:11 25:19
30:8 39:13
54:15 86:19
34.13 00.19
<b>specific</b> 8:20 11:8, 25 33:4
34:13 53:23, 25
59: <i>19</i> 79: <i>18</i> 91: <i>25</i> 92: <i>1</i>
91:25 92:1
coocifically
77:24 90:1, 17 speed 97:22 spell 26:24
speed 97:22
enell 26:24
spen 20.27
spend 63:5 spent 30:21
Spent 30.27
44:22 48:16
82:23
spikes 5:14
split 25:25
27:23 30:22
71:1, 3 73:8
81:2
splits 79·10
<b>splits</b> 79:10 <b>spoke</b> 29:9 41:5 86:15
11.5 06.15
00.10
99:11
<b>spoken</b> 29:12
101:23
spokesperson
29:21, 21
SPORTS 1:9
<b>spot</b> 80:16

```
Sprankle 57:1, 3,
4, 4
sprawl 8:25
41:14
spring 17:6
square 72:14
74:17, 21 78:14
STAFF 2:10
4:23, 23 12:12
13:5 17:13
18:5, 6, 10, 23,
24, 25 19:7
20:4, 4, 11
23:13, 20, 22
33:12 36:8
39:10 43:23
50:8, 10 79:11
92:13 99:2, 18
103:23 104:1,
11, 13 105:11
106:8
staffs 34:12
stake 9:11
stakeholders
16:19 17:9
stand 3:8 19:18
43:14
Standard 58:12.
25 71:6 80:11
Standards 57:24
66:18 75:2
88:10
standing 4:2
standpoint 35:25
start 3:5, 7
19:25 20:2
29:1 52:2
72:11 75:18
started 42:3, 14
70:25
starting 95:12
State 8:16 9:1
15:13 22:12
36:22, 23 42:2
55:10 82:5
95:24 96:3, 5
stated 41:14
73:19 88:14
statement 16:17
80:11
states 83:7
88:24
stations 12:22
statistics 10:2
stay 57:17 81:4
step 15:5 22:21
36:22, 23
stepped 95:16
Stetson 54:16
STEVE 2:9 18:7
```

**Steven** 88:3 Stevenson 65:14 stock 100:16, 17 **Stolarik** 65:10, 12, 14 Stolica 65:9 **Stone** 66:4 **stop** 36:21 **stores** 83:9 87:20, 21 89:23 **story** 7:17 62:15 64:6 straight 72:3 strategic 83:21 84:4, 17 strategies 8:22 **Street** 49:17 50:17 54:16 56:6 64:2 78:1 82:25 88:1 89:9 streets 60:1 stress 82:11 strictly 64:9 strikes 5:14 **strip** 87:24 strong 21:5, 5 40:2 99:10 stronger 61:23 101:18 strongly 60:10 61:9 88:14 90:22 Studies 3:21 4:5 **study** 18:6, 18, 19 **stuff** 49:13 63:19 98:12 **sub** 16:9 subdivide 74:16 submit 41:7 44:3 45:18.18. 18 84:18 91:18 submitted 40:21 50:10 93:25 substantial 10:25 51:*4* substantially 52:13, 16 subtracting 96:20 suburban 6:13 11:23 success 76:3 successful 58:4 suddenly 96:18 99:24 sufficient 32:24 102:11, 25

suggest 24:22
55: <i>19</i> 101: <i>16</i> 103: <i>19</i> 104: <i>20</i>
103:19 104:20
suggestion
59: <i>19</i> 97: <i>15</i> 101: <i>18</i> 103:2 <i>4</i>
suggestions
76:8
suitability 12:11,
17
suitable 13:1
sum 42:20, 20,
23, 24 43:1
summarize 5:3
<b>Sunrise</b> 38:16 <b>super</b> 47:9
Supervisor
40:22 66:6
79:12 99:17
SUPERVISORIAL
2:3, <i>4</i> , 5
Supervisors
17:19 33:2
34:8 44:18 46:25 47:19, 21 53:16 65:1, 22 91:23 92:5, 19,
40.20 47.19, 21 53:16 65:1 22
91.23 92.5 19
<i>20</i> 97:6 102: <i>1</i> .
24 105:12
supplies 77:7
<b>supply</b> 23:11
53:12, 12
supplying 52:17
<b>support</b> 23:22 28:11, 21 35:18
36:6 37:6, 8
39:21 79:21
39:21 79:21 80:5 81:10
83:14 87:23
supportive 83:8
87:19
supports 39:18
suppose 97:7 sure 32:17, 23
37:3, 12 85:20
99:7, 10 100:12
surface 60:1
surfaces 99:24
surprises 28:25
surrounded 7:18
surrounding
27:14 30:7
47: <i>13, 17</i> 69: <i>21</i> 76: <i>20</i> 77:2
surrounds 21:23
surveys 16:14
Susan 18:15
88:4 89:15
<b>Susie</b> 38:2
suspect 32:7, 23

```
Swanson 88:4
sworn 19:19, 20
Sylmar 6:3
sync 20:16
System 39:14,
16 48:16 61:7
systems 45:10
< T >
table 19:15
take 4:18 10:14
22:12, 24 33:21
38:1 40:3 49:9
51:16 55:23
61:18 68:2
70:7 71:3
80:17 89:25
94:6 97:21
101:14, 19
102:15 103:21
taken 34:7, 9
36:3 57:9, 9
73:24 78:8, 15
94:2 97:2, 3
takes 67:17
talk 4:11 30:14
31:7 41:2
70:16 80:4
talked 41:5
44:21 73:9, 10
talking 43:2
46:19 65:5
tapes 99:7
101:1
Tapia 26:23
40:20 66:13
81:20
target 35:11
tax 51:12 67:24
taxpayers 45:6
51:5 52:9
teams 18:13
Tebow 18:15
technical 16:21
19:3, 7
technically 53:19
technology
51:11
tell 12:15 30:24
37:10 44:16
62:15 75:17
77:19 86:21
tells 7:17 51:8
temperature 42:9
ten 14:8 27:7
32:3 38:19, 20
45:1, 1, 14
72:21 74:13
80:24 81:21
87:13
tend 94:24
```

tentative 49:25 56:14 term 23:10 26:15 32:5 terms 4:25 23:13 29:19, 20 34:14 59:16 94:9 95:21, 21 97:13, 15 98:1, 7 101:9 104:9 105:*4*, *6* terrible 69:3 terrific 99:3 terrorism 16:1 **Tesoro** 28:22 46:23 47:23 58:3, 9, 23 65:19 75:9 **testify** 37:15 93:3 96:25 104:5 106:2 testimonies 47:1 testimony 18:23 23:25 32:20, 21 36:18, 20 61:1 92:16 97:18 **Testing** 63:22 **Texas** 90:13, 20 thank 4:9 19:16, 21 23:24 25:12, 18 29:3, 4 37:13, 14 39:8, 10 40:17, 18 43:9, 11 45:24 46:12, 13 47:24 48:1, 3, 9, 13, 14, 18, 20 49:14, 15 51:19, 20 54:1, 2. 23. 24 55:24. 25 56:1, 2, 24, 25 57:19, 20, 21 59:3, *4*, *7*, 12 61:15 62:4, 7, 9 63:19, 20 64:21, 22, 23, 23, 23, 25 65:8, 12 66:22, 23 68:4, 5 70:8, 9 72:18, 19 74:8, 9, 10 75:11, 12 77:16, 17 79:3, 4 80:19, 20 81:17, 18 82:16, 17 83:15, 16 84:18, 19 87:9, 10, 11 88:1, 2, 6 89:13, 14 90:8, 9, 24, *25* 99:5 101:2, *4* Thanksgiving 104:23 105:23 theirs 27:10, 11

theme 11:21 21:9 23:1 themes 20:24 thick 42:1 thing 26:1, 2 27:14 31:17 40:10 42:17 55:10 71:15 72:2 79:17 80:10 81:23 82:3 **things** 35:13 40:*16* 41:*7* 67:11 85:12 100:21 think 20:6, 16, 20, 21 21:16, 19, 19 22:5, 18, 19, 23 23:12, 22 25:1 26:21 27:17 30:3 33:5, 21 34:4, 16 37:16 41:24 43:9 46:11 49:6 52:15 53:25 54:13, 21 57:14, 17 60:18 68:16 71:15 73:11 79:23 80:7, 15, 16 93:16, 21 94:7 96:15, 21 97:1, 8, 11, 19, 24 98:3, 10, 13 99:18, 23 102:2 103:*4*, *5*, *17* 104:9, 10 third 59:21 106:7 Thirteen 27:3 **Thomas** 63:25 **THOMPSEN** 54:6, 7 Thompson 51:22 thousand 51:7 threatening 28:20 three 24:17 25:4 30:2, 9 31:1 32:1, 4 37:16 41:2 44:6, 22, 25 45:10 51:8 54:14 61:25 75:3 80:23 84:5 93:20 98:2 thrown 89:20 tied 14:15 **Tim** 62:10 time 4:10 6:1 9:25 10:7

17:*15* 26:*8* 28:23, 24 29:17, 18 30:4 31:3 33:12 34:24 36:13, 15 40:17 43:21 46:14 47:9, 21 48:15 52:1, 24 55:10 59:8 64:7 72:9 76:25 81:17 86:22 89:25 91:22 100:1 104:*16* 105:*4*, *6*, 8, 10 times 26:11 40:7 70:1 **timing** 34:4 today 6:6, 7 10:3 21:21 47:22 60:20 88:6 92:16 104:10 toilets 45:4 told 26:5 44:18 74:20 85:11, 13 **Tomorrow** 31:*12* tone 98:8 tonight 4:8, 19, 20, 25 7:1 18:7, *14*, *16* 19:*9*, *17* 24:11 25:19, 20 30:14 31:12 41:2, 9 75:15 79:24 83:18 101:23 102:10 103:6 tonight's 4:12 5:*4* 31:21 tool 17:7 top 44:25 61:20 97:16 Topanga 60:6 **topic** 32:7 topics 11:17 15:9, 11 topography 38:24 toss 89:25 total 63:13 totally 57:14 touch 48:15 **Town** 6:16 9:9 13:3, 3 23:5 24:2, 6, 15, 16, 21, 23, 24 25:1, 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20 29:11, 20, 22 30:5, 7, 13, 14, 15, 21, 24 31:6, 7, 23 32:23 33:24 34:21

35.1 37.1 5
35:1 37:4, 5 40:6 43:20 45:5 46:8
45:5 46:8
51:25 75:1, 2 79:12 84:24 85:2 103:13, 14
79:12 84:24
85:2 103: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>
104:8
tract 50:19
54:15 58:5
65:19
tracts 68:12
traffic 18:18 23:12 40:5, 9 59:19 60:4
59:19 60:4
67:9. 10 69:14
67:9, 10 69:14 75:7 76:18 77:5 87:24
77:5 87:24
<b>Trail</b> 66: <i>4</i> , <i>20</i>
Trails 9:12
14:16 65:16, 17
66:5, 6, 21
transcribed
108:6 transcript
100:24 101:1
transit 13:1
transportation
5:15 41:15
60: <i>14</i> 80:2
96: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i>
trapped 69:25
travel 14:17
22:2
traveled 8:24
travelers 28:19 traveling 69:16
treated 34:19
treatment 35:17
45:6 96:23
97:12
97: <i>12</i> <b>trees</b> 86: <i>11</i> , <i>11</i>
94:17
tremendous 8:4
76:3
tremendously
80: <i>13</i> <b>tried</b> 21: <i>1</i> 48: <i>15</i>
62:16
trillions 82:5
triple 15:17
trouble 59:8
67:12
truckers 28:18
true 42:4 78:2
truly 65:6, 6 trust 63:11
trust 63:77 truth 13:22
truth 13:22 try 4:1 21:3
24:19 42:8
53:7 70:24
106:2

```
trying 30:23
31:13 33:21
43:21 63:16
95:20 96:1
99:3 104:11
Twenty 106:7
two 13:20
15:15 16:9
19:5 25:23, 23
28:1, 2, 2 36:1
38:6, 19 40:22
42:1 43:22
48:2 50:25
54:3, 12 57:12,
12 58:17 60:4
61:3, 15 64:6
65:22 66:5
68:12 69:1
70:14 71:4, 5,
17, 18 73:13
74:16, 17 79:19
80:24 83:22
84:5, 23 90:12
95:9, 10 97:19
98:6 103:12, 20
105:20
type 78:5 96:23
97:12 99:12
typewriting
108:7
Typically 10:9
< U >
ultimate 10:13
14:23 60:9
94:18
unacceptable
76:6
unanimous
65:23
unbuilt 58:8
uncomfortable
102:21
understand
20:21, 22 30:25
31:3, 21 36:25
37:3 53:4
63:18 68:23
89:18
understanding
14:11 19:10
97:12
understood
52:21
undertaken
20:12, 19 23:9
undeveloped
26:17
unfair 57:14
unimproved
56:19
```

```
unincorporated
5:9 6:8 7:9, 19,
24 9:10 22:20
48:7
unique 7:18
10:11 11:22
70:5
unit 13:19 14:7,
8 38:18, 20, 21
39:1
units 10:4, 20
11:5, 6, 10
13:23 27:3
39:4, 18, 21
45:12, 12, 14
55:17, 17 58:13,
18, 20, 24 64:6
96:13
unlimited 43:24
45:19
unsuccessful
62:17
Update 3:22
4:6 7:11, 14
8:6 9:3, 24
11:13
updated 7:10
8:3 10:8, 9
updating 7:4
upfront 29:17
upgrading 33:19
upheld 65:22
upper 47:8
urban 6:15
11:23 12:21
41:14 45:11
urge 60:11 61:9
URICH 56:1, 5
Urick 54:4
use 8:22 11:15,
20, 20 12:6, 6, 8,
10, 16, 23 13:4,
5 14:3, 15
16:25 26:3, 5,
12, 13, 20, 23
27:1, 11 28:4, 6,
10, 25 44:8, 21
50:11 60:14
65:13, 20 66:2
69:9, 11 74:15,
20 83:11 84:8
87:15 88:25
90:17, 22 92:3
uses 12:15
16:5 66:3, 10,
10, 12, 19 83:4,
5, 9, 12, 13
87:17, 20, 20
88:20, 20 89:1,
18
```

```
usually 6:1
utilized 69:2
< V >
vacant 11:3
49:21
vacuum 74:1
Val 5:10
Valencia 5:20,
22 6:10, 16 13:3
Valle 46:23
58:3, 9, 23 65:19
Valley 3:22, 23
4:6, 7, 14 5:7,
13, 16 6:2, 4, 4,
6, 7, 7, 17, 22, 22
7:2, 6, 7, 19
8:11, 11 9:12,
13, 16, 20, 21, 23
10:3, 7, 12, 12,
17, 19, 24 11:2,
14, 21, 22 14:14,
22 15:8, 18, 22
16:15, 16 17:3,
10 20:16 21:2,
7, 11, 18, 23
22:1, 3, 7, 19, 20
23:6, 17 29:15
31:25 33:22, 25
34:1 35:7
38:23 39:12
49:19 50:3, 6
51:3, 16 52:19,
21 53:13 54:8
55:20 56:8
58:4, 10, 17
59:9, 23, 24
60:9 61:4 67:1,
8, 22 69:9, 10,
11, 12, 13, 14, 15
76:7 77:11
83:7 93:18
96:8, 9 103:15
valuable 9:10
value 20:22
21:21 27:6
43:5 50:5
55:18 61:9
Van 64:14
varied 66:18
various 14:6
29:11
vary 38:24
Vasquez 38:5,
15 40:1, 11
68:9, 20, 25
69:2, 8, 11, 18
70:14 83:22, 25
Vegas 100:11
```

vehicle 8:23 14:17 22:14 28:19 60:17 vehicles 60:21, 21, 24 **Venta** 79:8 verbally 99:11 **Verde** 5:10 Vernon 57:1, 3 versus 95:20 102:22 104:18 vet 32:18 vetted 36:8 **VICE** 2:4 vicinity 38:5 Victor 23:4 **views** 36:3 Village 79:8 villages 6:7 11:2*1*, 22 21:2, 7 violation 85:25 violations 85:20, 23 Virginia 84:20 87:12 virtually 82:10 visa 100:8 Vision 3:23 4:7, 14 16:17, 18 31:25 33:22 35:7 49:20 50:3, 6 51:3, 15 55:20, 23 58:10, 17 67:1, 22 76:8 83:7 93:18 **voice** 98:8 voluminous 60:4 volunteered 31:2 **vote** 31:22 65:23 **voted** 31:2 vulnerable 6:5 < W > waiting 35:21 walk 64:19 95:11

< W > waiting 35:21 walk 64:19 95:11 walkability 21:7 walkable 21:4 wall 17:13 want 4:11 18:3 24:5, 20 25:18 30:25 33:12 35:5 36:20 37:3, 10 41:17, 20 42:8 43:7 44:25 46:5 48:9, 14 53:17, 17 54:9 64:11, 14, 14, 16, 20 67:4 70:21

71:6 17 10 21
71:6, 17, 19, 21 72:6, 6, 10 73:7,
21 75:4 79:11
80:3 81:2 84:7
93:3 94:10
96:16, 17 99:10
106:1
wanted 31:11
36:11 37:11
39:21 48:9
72:5 88:1 <i>4</i>
<b>wants</b> 26:8 36:15 39:19
36:15 39:19
68:11, 18 102:12 warm 42:3, 6
warm 42:3, 6
warming 22:17 warrant 95:6
warrant 95:6
wash 40:14
<b>Water</b> 9:6, 7
12.22 22.11
25:7 35:16, 17
39:14, 14, 16, 20
25:7 35:16, 17 39:14, 14, 16, 20 40:10 45:2, 8 52:17 53:12
52:17 53:12
62:6 77:7
62:6 77:7 95:25 96:3
way 8:25 13:13
27:7, 17 31:4
34: <i>14</i> 38: <i>5</i> , <i>15</i>
42:2 44:19, 25
45:3 53:2, 3, 20,
25 56:17, 23
58:7 62:3
65: <i>14</i> 71: <i>1</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>13</i> 79:2 100: <i>19</i>
13 79:2 100:19
108: <i>10</i>
<b>Wayman</b> 99:16
<b>Wayman</b> 99: <i>16</i> <b>WAYNE</b> 2: <i>4</i>
wealth 96:20, 20
wealthy 46:6
73:4
wear 99:25
Webber 18:11
website 12:7
17:7
Wednesday
99:5 107:5
weeks 73:13
weeks 73:13 Welch 84:20
87:6
welcome 3:3
74:6
well 5:25 8:12
16:17 18:5, 22
27:24 32:3
41:7, 24 51:10
61:17 80:7
89.1 94.20
89:1 94:20 95:17
wells 45:9
10110 40.0
I

```
went 20:18
27:16 33:16
72:3 85:16 98:7
We're 3:7, 18
4:1, 18 5:25
10:11 15:17
20:10 22:16
23:21 24:19, 23
30:23 31:12, 16,
20 32:15 33:8,
21, 21 35:1, 19
37:11 39:15
46:8 52:12
53:12 64:17
65:2 67:12, 20,
20 68:13, 13, 16
72:9 73:4 76:1,
4, 18, 23 77:5, 6
79:14 84:7
85:18 94:12
95:9, 13, 17, 20
96:1, 17, 18, 20
97:3 98:2, 3
107:4
West 5:10
33:25 58:2
65:14 66:11
74:23 103:15
Westlake 79:8
we've 18:6, 8, 12
20:5, 7 21:1, 2,
21 23:9 31:1
34:11 36:19
67:5, 19 69:24,
25 70:14 73:25
74:19 76:6
81:13 84:5, 9
96:16, 25 97:7,
18
WHEREOF
108:11
White 75:14
85:5
White's 72:2
wide 16:15, 19
53:8
widths 14:23
15:3
wife 25:25
74:13 86:5
William 70:10,
12 83:20 84:4
willing 19:7
50:23
willy 89:20
wind 60:25
wise 102:2
wish 9:19
49:12 65:18
66:8, 15 81:23
```

```
wishing 37:15
WITNESS 108:11
witnesses 19:20
WOHLLEBEN
63:21 64:23
Wohlleblen
62:11
Woleben 63:23
Wolf 84:21
87:12, 12
wondering 63:3
words 19:12, 14
76:9 98:9
work 9:16, 23
12:14 18:20
20:10, 13 68:17
73:4 77:12, 13
82:2 86:24
93:11 96:10, 11
105:21
workday 65:2, 3
worked 12:12
20:5 73:5 76:6
88:11 94:19, 20
working 15:21
20:9 31:12, 16,
21 37:11 65:2
67:2 74:12
76:3 82:23
84:5, 9 93:19, 20
WORKS 2:9
18:7 83:20
workshops
16:13, 24
worried 77:6
worse 67:10
worth 26:25
27:6, 8, 15
worthless 55:16
wrap 45:22
61:12, 14 87:1,
2 93:11
wrestling 5:2
write 44:1
written 32:20
36:18, 20 44:14
99:9
wrong 42:13
58:21 63:3
wrote 18:15
73:9
W's 5:3
< Y >
yard 78:3
Yeah 32:3 36:6
42:25 48:24
```

75:20 101:12 104:14 years 5:18 6:19 7:22 10:10 25:22, 25 32:1, 4 41:25 42:6, 9 47:16 48:25 49:8 51:18 55:15 57:7 67:5 70:15 72:22 74:12, 13 75:3 78:12 80:6, 23 81:13 82:23 84:6, 10 86:10, 14 88:8 89:16 90:21 93:20 94:4 95:15 96:6 **yellow** 58:10 Young 84:20 85:6 86:5, 9 < Z > zone 26:4 50:22 72:6, 16 73:20 85:9, 18 88:20

**zoned** 35:6 39:6 47:23 49:24 58:13 66:11 79:15, 25 **zones** 12:19, 19 **Zoning** 13:*10* 17:12, 14, 16, 17 33:6, 17 35:11 39:23 47:2, 17, 20 50:3 55:12, 13, 15 58:23 61:18.20 67:7 68:10 70:17, 25 72:10, 13 73:2, 18 76:7 79:13 80:14, 16, 25 81:4, 22 83:3 85:10, 23 86:15, 20 87:4, 7 88:25 90:17, 21, 23 92:3 94:3, 14 97:16, 16 **zonings** 81:5

100:*14* 

year 6:1 17:1, 8

32:3 44:22